Detailed meeting agendas and meeting transcripts are available on the NRC Web site at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/acrs. Information regarding topics to be discussed, changes to the agenda, whether the meeting has been canceled or rescheduled, and the time allotted to present oral statements can be obtained from the Web site cited above or by contacting the identified DFO. Moreover, in view of the possibility that the schedule for ACRS meetings may be adjusted by the Chairman as necessary to facilitate the conduct of the meeting, persons planning to attend should check with these references if such rescheduling would result in a major inconvenience.

Dated: June 12, 2013.
Antonio Dias,
Technical Advisor, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards.

[BILLING CODE 7590–01–P]

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS); Meeting of the ACRS Subcommittee on Plant Operations and Fire Protection; Notice of Meeting

The ACRS Subcommittee on Plant Operations and Fire Protection will hold a meeting on July 24, 2013, at the U.S. NRC Region I Office, 2100 Renaissance Blvd., Suite 100, King of Prussia, PA 19406–2713. The meeting will be open to public attendance.

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

Wednesday, July 24, 2013—8:15 a.m. Until 12:00 p.m.

The Subcommittee will meet with Region I staff to discuss items of mutual interest. The Subcommittee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff and other interested persons regarding this matter. The Subcommittee will gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the Full Committee.

Members of the public desiring to provide oral statements and/or written comments should notify the Designated Federal Official (DFO), Quynh Nguyen (Telephone 301–415–5844 or Email: Quynh.Nguyen@nrc.gov) five days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made. Thirty-five hard copies of each presentation or handout should be provided to the DFO thirty minutes before the meeting. In addition, one electronic copy of each presentation should be emailed to the DFO one day before the meeting. If an electronic copy cannot be provided within this timeframe, presenters should provide the DFO with a CD containing each presentation at least thirty minutes before the meeting. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public. Detailed procedures for the conduct of and participation in ACRS meetings were published in the Federal Register on October 18, 2012, (77 FR 64146–64147).

[FR Doc. 2013–14928 Filed 6–20–13; 8:45 am]

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

Missing Participants in Individual Account Plans

AGENCY: Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

ACTION: Request for information.

SUMMARY: PBGC is soliciting information from the public to assist it in making decisions about implementing a new program to deal with benefits of missing participants in terminating individual account plans. PBGC is interested in stakeholders’ views on topics such as the extent of the demand for such a program, the demand for a database of missing participants, the availability of private-sector missing participant services, potential program costs and fees, electronic filing, and the contours of diligent search requirements.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 20, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

  • Email: reg.comments@pbgc.gov.
  • Fax: 202–326–4220.
  • Mail or Hand Delivery: Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20005–4026.

Comments received, including personal information provided, will be posted to www.pbgc.gov. Copies of comments may also be obtained by writing to Disclosure Division, Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20005–4026 or calling 202–326–4040 during normal business hours. (TTY and TDD users may call the Federal relay service toll-free at 1–800–877–8339 and ask to be connected to 202–326–4040.)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Catherine B. Klion, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Suite 12300, 1200 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20005–4026, klion.catherine@pbgc.gov or 202–326–4024. (For TTY–TDD users, call the Federal relay service toll-free at 1–800–877–8339 and ask to be connected to 202–326–4024.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Before the Pension Protection Act of 2006, section 4050 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) required the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (PBGC) to operate (and pension plans to use) a missing participants program limited to single-employer plans covered by title IV of ERISA. The Pension Protection Act of 2006 amended section 4050 to provide for a similar mandatory program for covered multiemployer plans and an optional program for non-covered plans, both individual account plans (defined contribution plans) and defined benefit plans not covered by title IV. It also authorized PBGC to require non-covered plans to submit information to PBGC about missing participants’ benefits.

Before making decisions about implementing a missing participants program for terminating individual account plans (which represent the vast majority of non-covered plans), PBGC requires an understanding of the demand for such a program and how that demand might be affected by fees, minimum benefit requirements, and information requirements, measured against private providers of similar services.

PBGC has made some efforts to conduct research in this area by contacting financial institutions, plan recordkeeping service providers, and PBGC has made some efforts to conduct research in this area by contacting financial institutions, plan recordkeeping service providers, and...
companies that provide benefit processing services, and sponsors of
terminated individual account plans, but found it difficult to draw useful
conclusions from these contacts. In addition, PBGC wants input reflecting
participant interests. Accordingly PBGC is issuing this request for information.2

Request for Information

PBGC is soliciting information from the public on issues related to missing
participants in terminating individual account plans. PBGC seeks comments on
any and all relevant issues, including the following:

• For pension consultants: Among
  individual account plans that you are
  familiar with, what proportion has
  participants they cannot find? Among
  such plans, what is the average number
  of participants the plan cannot find? In
  your experience, what is the average
  account balance, and what is the range
  of account balances, for participants that
  cannot be found?

• What if any services for missing
  participants in individual account plans
  are unavailable in the competitive
  private marketplace (for example,
  handling very small benefits or QISA
  benefits)? Why are they unavailable (for
  example, because it is not cost-effective
  to provide them)?

• If PBGC provided services for
  missing participants’ accounts in
  terminating individual account plans
  that were comparable to the services
  provided by the private sector and
  charged comparable fees, would you be
  likely to choose the PBGC program or
  the private sector program and why?
  Would it make a difference if PBGC
  provided a narrower range of services
  than typical private-sector providers?

• How would individual account
  plans’ choice to use a PBGC missing
  participants program for such plans—
  rather than a private-sector service—be
  affected by (1) The level of fees PBGC
  might charge, (2) the minimum benefit
  size PBGC might accept, (3) optional or
  mandatory electronic filing, and (4)
  other possible program features?

• What impact would a PBGC missing
  participants program for individual
  account plans have on private-sector
  benefit processing firms?

• How would you view the value
  (such as convenience and reliability) of
  a single database of missing
  participants’ benefits in terminated

individual account plans, maintained by
PBGC, compared to the burden on plans
  to provide the data and the burden
  on PBGC to maintain the database? How
would the comparison change if plan
reporting of data were voluntary rather
than mandatory, making the database
less comprehensive? What information
should be in the database?

• ERISA section 4050(b)(2) defines a
  missing participant as “a participant or
  beneficiary under a terminating plan
  whom the plan administrator cannot
  locate after a diligent search.” What
  “diligent search” requirements should
  apply for individual account plans?
  Should PBGC offer diligent search
  services for a fee or post on its Web site
  the names of private sector companies
  that provide diligent search services?

• What special concerns do small
  plans or their sponsors or participants
  have regarding the treatment of missing
  participants in individual account plans?

In addressing these issues, to the extent
possible, commenters are requested to
provide qualitative as well as
qualitative support or analysis where
applicable.

Issued in Washington, DC, this 17th day of
June 2013.

Joshua Gothaum,
Director, Pension Benefit Guaranty
Corporation.

[FR Doc. 2013–14834 Filed 6–20–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7709–01–P

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Sunshine Act; Notice of Public Meeting

Notice is hereby given that the Railroad Retirement Board will hold a
meeting on June 27, 2013, 10:00 a.m. at the
Board’s meeting room on the 8th
floor of its headquarters building, 844
North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois
60611. The agenda for this meeting is as
follows:

Portion open to the public:

(1) Disability Annuities

The person to contact for more
information is Martha P. Rico, Secretary
to the Board, Phone No. 312–751–4920.

Dated: June 18, 2013.

Martha P. Rico,
Secretary to the Board.

[FR Doc. 2013–14973 Filed 6–19–13; 11:15 am]
BILLING CODE 7705–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available
From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Investor

Extension:
Rule 206(4)–7, OMB Control No. 3235–
0585, SEC File No. 270–720.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant
to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995
(44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the Securities
and Exchange Commission (the
“Commission”) has submitted to the
Office of Management and Budget
(“OMB”) a request for extension of the
previously approved collection of
information discussed below.

The title for the collection of
information is “Investment Advisers Act
rule 206(4)–7 (17 CFR 275.206(4)–7),
Compliance procedures and practices.”
Rule 206(4)–7 requires each investment
adviser registered with the Commission
to (i) Adopt and implement internal
compliance policies and procedures, (ii)
review those policies and procedures
annually, (iii) designate a chief
compliance officer, and (iv) maintain
certain compliance records. Rule
206(4)–7 is designed to protect investors
by fostering better compliance with the
securities laws. The collection of
information under rule 206(4)–7 is
necessary to assure that investment
advisers maintain comprehensive
internal programs that promote the
advisers’ compliance with the
Investment Advisers Act of 1940. The
information collection in the rule also
assists the Commission’s examination
staff in assessing the adequacy advisers’
compliance programs. This collection of
information is found at 17 CFR
275.206(4)–7 and is mandatory.

The information documented
pursuant to rule 206(4)–7 is reviewed by the
Commission’s examination staff; it
will be accorded the same level of
confidentiality accorded to other
responses provided to the Commission
in the context of its examination and
oversight program. An agency may not
conduct or sponsor, and a person is not
required to respond to, a collection of
information unless it displays a
currently valid control number.

The respondents to this information
collection are investment advisers
registered with the Commission. Our
latest data indicate that there were
10,773 advisers registered with the
Commission as of February 1, 2013. The
Commission has estimated that

2 PBGC is developing amendments to its current
missing participants regulation (29 CFR part 4050)
to implement the mandatory multiemployer
program and to improve the existing single-
employer program, regardless of what decisions are
made about the optional programs for non-covered
plans.