

Scalable beyond 0.18 µm Generation and Desirable for Ultra High Speed Operation” does not anticipate the asserted claims of the ’805 patent. Regarding the ’134, ’937, and ’477 patents, the Commission affirms the following findings: (1) Cypress failed to prove that the accused products infringe the asserted claims; (2) Cypress failed to establish the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement; and (3) Respondents failed to establish by clear and convincing evidence that the cited prior art references anticipate the asserted claims. The Commission adopts the ID and RID in their entirety as modified and/or supplemented by the Commission opinion. The investigation is terminated.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.42–46 and 210.50 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42–46 and 210.50).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 7, 2013.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2013–14011 Filed 6–12–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE–13–013]

### Sunshine Act Meetings

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** United States International Trade Commission

**TIME AND DATE:** June 18, 2013 at 12:00 p.m.

**PLACE:** Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205–2000.

**STATUS:** Open to the public.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agendas for future meetings: none.
  2. Minutes.
  3. Ratification List.
  4. Vote in Inv. No. 731–TA–1110 (Review) (Sodium Hexametaphosphate from China). The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination and Commissioners’ opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before June 28, 2013.
  5. Outstanding action jackets: none.
- In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

Issued: June 11, 2013.

By order of the Commission.

**William R. Bishop,**

*Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2013–14177 Filed 6–11–13; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Pistoia Alliance, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on May 16, 2013, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Pistoia Alliance, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Nicola Fantini (individual), Zurich, SWITZERLAND; and Ingrid Akerblom (individual), Lansdale, PA, have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Pistoia Alliance, Inc. intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On May 28, 2009, Pistoia Alliance, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on July 15, 2009 (74 FR 34364).

The last notification was filed with the Department on March 8, 2013. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on April 3, 2013 (78 FR 20141).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2013–14002 Filed 6–12–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–11–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—ASTM International Standards

Notice is hereby given that, on May 10, 2013, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), ASTM International (“ASTM”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing additions or changes to its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, ASTM has provided an updated list of current, ongoing ASTM standards activities originating between February 2013 and May 2013 designated as Work Items. A complete listing of ASTM Work Items, along with a brief description of each, is available at <http://www.astm.org>.

On September 15, 2004, ASTM filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on November 10, 2004 (69 FR 65226).

The last notification was filed with the Department on February 11, 2013. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 7, 2013 (78 FR 14836).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2013–13995 Filed 6–12–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–11–P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos.: 50–454, 50–455, 50–456, 50–457; NRC–2013–0126]

### Byron Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, and Braidwood Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** License renewal application; Notice of receipt and availability.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has received an application, dated May 29, 2013, from Exelon Generation Company, LLC, filed