exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Disclosure

We will disclose the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in this proceeding in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Notification to Importers Regarding The Reimbursement of Duties

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(I) of the Act.

Dated: June 5, 2013.
Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix—Issues & Decision Memorandum

I. General Issues

Issue 1: Respondent Selection
Issue 2: Surrogate Country Selection
Issue 3: Calculation of the Surrogate Financial Ratios
Issue 4: Calculation of a Separate Rate

II. Company-Specific Issues

Issue 5: Treatment of the DuPont Group’s Reintroduced PET Chip
Issue 6: Calculation of the DuPont Group’s U.S. Indirect Selling Ratio
Issue 7: Calculation of the DuPont Group’s Foreign Brokerage and Handling Expenses
Issue 8: Calculation of the DuPont Group’s Margin Using the Average-to-Transaction Method
Issue 9: The DuPont Group’s Billing Adjustments
Issue 10: Green Packing’s By-Product Offsets

II. Company-Specific Issues

Issue 4: Calculation of a Separate Rate
Issue 2: Surrogate Country Selection
Issue 1: Respondent Selection

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[A–580–809]

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On December 7, 2012, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe (CWP) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) for the period November 1, 2010, through October 31, 2011. For these final results, we find that subject merchandise has been sold at less than normal value.

DATES: Effective Date: June 12, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Kolberg or Jennifer Meek, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–1785 or (202) 482–2778, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 7, 2012, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on CWP from Korea. On February 19, 2013, we received case briefs from Husteel Co., Ltd. (Husteel), Hyundai HYSCO (HYSCO), United States Steel Corporation, and Wheatland Tube Company. On February 28, 2013, we received rebuttal briefs from these four interested parties.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is circular welded non-alloy steel pipe and tube. For a full description of the scope of the order, see Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The written description is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

The comments received in the case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues raised and to which we have responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Import Administration’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). Access to IA ACCESS is available to registered users at http://iaaccess.trade.gov and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at http://www.trade.gov/ia/. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes From the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the comments received from interested parties, we have changed our calculation methodology for Husteel’s and HYSCO’s dumping margins, by reallocating certain costs and revising the targeted dumping analysis, conversion factors, and general and administrative and financial expenses. See the Issues and Decision Memorandum and the company-specific calculation memoranda dated concurrently with this notice.

Final Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period November 1, 2010, through October 31, 2011:

### Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

For assessment purposes, Husteel and HYSCO reported the name of the importer of record and the entered value for all of their sales to the United States during the period of review (POR). Accordingly, we calculated importer-specific ad valorem antidumping duty assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer’s examined sales and the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or de minimis, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

The Department clarified its “automatic assessment” regulation on May 6, 2003. This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Husteel and HYSCO for which they did not know were destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Husteel and HYSCO will be equal to the respective weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which that manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 4.80 percent, the “all others” rate established pursuant to a court decision. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Disclosure

We will disclose calculation memoranda used in our analysis to parties to these proceedings within five days of the date of the release of this notice pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(b).

### Final Results of Administrative Review (2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer/exporter</th>
<th>Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Husteel Co., Ltd</td>
<td>3.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyundai HYSCO</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Administrative Review: 2011

Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On February 6, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the “Department”) published the preliminary results of the administrative review (“AR”) of wooden bedroom furniture from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) covering the period of review (“POR”) January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the Preliminary Results. After reviewing interested parties’ comments and the information received, we made no changes for the final results of this review. In these final results of review we determined that six companies, including the two mandatory respondents, failed to establish eligibility for separate-rate status and, thus, we treated these companies as part of the PRC-wide entity; six companies made no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR and will retain their separate-rate status, two companies are U.S. importers and, therefore, we rescinded the review of

---


2. The two mandatory respondents are Shanghai MAOJI Import and Export Corp. Ltd. (“MAOJI”), and Dongguan Huansheng Furniture Co., Ltd. (“Huansheng”).