

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Husteel Co., Ltd .....	3.99
Hyundai HYSCO .....	0.80

### Disclosure

We will disclose calculation memoranda used in our analysis to parties to these proceedings within five days of the date of the release of this notice pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(b).

### Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

For assessment purposes, Husteel and HYSCO reported the name of the importer of record and the entered value for all of their sales to the United States during the period of review (POR). Accordingly, we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* antidumping duty assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales and the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003. This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Husteel and HYSCO for which they did not know were destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative

review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Husteel and HYSCO will be equal to the respective weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which that manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 4.80 percent, the "all others" rate established pursuant to a court decision.<sup>4</sup> These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These final results of administrative review are issued and published in

<sup>4</sup> See *Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From Korea: Notice of Final Court Decision and Amended Final Determination*, 60 FR 55833 (November 3, 1995).

accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 5, 2013.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

### Appendix

#### List of Issues Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

Targeted Dumping Allegation  
Cost Reallocation  
Conversion Factors  
G&A  
Date of Sale  
Pipe Grade  
Warranty Expense  
Interest Revenue

[FR Doc. 2013-13989 Filed 6-11-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-890]

#### Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On February 6, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") published the preliminary results of the administrative review ("AR") of wooden bedroom furniture from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") covering the period of review ("POR") January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011.<sup>1</sup> We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. After reviewing interested parties' comments and the information received, we made no changes for the final results of this review. In these final results of review we determined that six companies, including the two mandatory respondents,<sup>2</sup> failed to establish eligibility for separate-rate status and, thus, we treated these companies as part of the PRC-wide entity; six companies made no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR and will retain their separate-rate status, two companies are U.S. importers and, therefore, we rescinded the review of

<sup>1</sup> See *Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011*, 78 FR 8493 (February 6, 2013) ("*Preliminary Results*").

<sup>2</sup> The two mandatory respondents are Shanghai Maoji Import and Export Corp. Ltd. ("Maoji"), and Dongguan Huansheng Furniture Co., Ltd. ("Huansheng").

these companies, and three companies have demonstrated eligibility for separate-rate status and have been assigned antidumping duty margins. The final antidumping duty margins for this review are listed below in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* June 12, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Patrick O'Connor, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0989.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On February 6, 2013, the Department published its *Preliminary Results* of the AR of the antidumping order on wooden bedroom furniture from the PRC covering the period January 1, 2011, through December 31, 2011.

**Analysis of the Comments Received**

All issues raised in the case briefs are addressed in the memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People's Republic of China" ("I&D Memorandum"), which is dated concurrently with this notice and which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues addressed in the I&D Memorandum is appended to this notice. The I&D Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Import Administration's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Services System ("IA ACCESS"). Access to IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit of the main Commerce Building, Room 7046. In addition, a complete version of the I&D Memorandum is accessible on the Department's Web site at <http://www.trade.gov/ia/>. The signed I&D Memorandum and electronic version of the I&D Memorandum are identical in content.

**Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

We made no changes from the *Preliminary Results*.

**Scope of the Order**

The product covered by the order is wooden bedroom furniture, subject to certain exceptions. Imports of subject merchandise are currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") subheadings: 9403.50.9042, 9403.50.9045, 9403.50.9080, 9403.50.9041, 9403.60.8081, 9403.20.0018, 9403.90.8041, 7009.92.1000 or 7009.92.5000. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description in the Order remains dispositive.<sup>3</sup>

**Final Partial Rescission**

In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department stated that it intends to rescind the AR with respect to Foliot Furniture Pacific Inc. ("Foliot Pacific") and Foliot Furniture Corporation ("Foliot Corporation") because these companies were identified as U.S. importers and the Department does not conduct ARs of U.S. importers. No parties commented on our intent to rescind the AR with respect to these two companies. Because there is no information or argument on the record of the current AR that warrants reconsidering our intent to partially rescind this AR, we are rescinding this AR with respect to Foliot Pacific and Foliot Corporation.

**Final Determination of No Shipments**

As noted in the *Preliminary Results*, we determined that the following companies did not have any reviewable transactions during the POR: (1) Clearwise Company Limited ("Clearwise"); (2) Dongguan Yujia Furniture Co., Ltd. ("Yujia"); (3) Golden Well International (HK) Ltd. ("Golden Well"); (4) Hangzhou Cadman Trading Co., Ltd. ("Cadman"); (5) Yeh Brothers World Trade, Inc. ("Yeh Brothers"); and (6) Zhejiang Tianyi Scientific and Educational Equipment Co., Ltd. ("Zhejiang Tianyi").<sup>4</sup> No parties commented on this issue, and we have not received any information that contradicts these companies' claims of no-shipments. We continue to find that these companies did not have shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. We will issue instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") for any suspended entries under these companies' antidumping duty case numbers as noted below.

<sup>3</sup> For a complete description of the scope of the order, see I&D Memorandum.

<sup>4</sup> See *Preliminary Results*, 78 FR at 8494.

**Final Results of Review**

The Department has determined that the following dumping margins exist for the period January 1, 2011, through December 31, 2011:

Exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Baigou Crafts Factory of Fengkai .....	41.75
Foliot Furniture Inc./Meubles Foliot Inc .....	41.75
Hualing Furniture (China) Co., Ltd.; Tony House Manufacturing (China) Co., Ltd.; Buysell Investments Ltd.; and Tony House Industries Co., Ltd .....	41.75
PRC-wide Entity <sup>5</sup> .....	216.01

**Assessment**

The Department has determined, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this AR. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of these final results of review. Pursuant to a recently announced refinement to the Department's assessment practice in NME cases, where we determined that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries under the exporter's antidumping case number (*i.e.*, entries suspended at the exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate. For a full discussion of this practice, see *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results of this AR for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the companies listed in the "Final Results of Review" section above, the cash deposit rate will be the rate listed above for the company; (2) for Clearwise, Yujia, Golden Well, Cadman,

<sup>5</sup> The PRC-wide entity includes, among other companies: Shanghai Maoji Import and Export Corp. Ltd. Dongguan Huansheng Furniture Co., Ltd. Alexandre International Corp.; Southern Art Development Ltd.; Alexandre Furniture (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd.; Southern Art Furniture Factory; Billy Wood Industrial (Dongguan) Co., Ltd.; Great Union Industrial (Dongguan) Co., Ltd.; Time Faith Ltd.; Dongying Huanghekou Furniture Industry Co., Ltd.; Sheng Jing Wood Products (Beijing) Co., Ltd.; and Telstar Enterprises Ltd.

Yeh Brothers, and Zhejiang Tianyi, which had no shipments, the cash deposit rate will remain unchanged from the rate assigned to these companies in the most recently completed review of the companies; (3) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters who are not under review in this segment of the proceeding but who have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (4) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, including Maoji, Huansheng, Alexandre Furniture (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd.; Southern Art Furniture Factory; Billy Wood Industrial (Dong Guan) Co., Ltd.; Great Union Industrial (Dongguan) Co., Ltd.; Time Faith Ltd.; Dongying Huanghekou Furniture Industry Co., Ltd.; Sheng Jing Wood Products (Beijing) Co., Ltd.; and Telstar Enterprises Ltd., the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 216.01 percent; and (5) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter(s) that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under the APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice of the final results of the administrative review is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: June 5, 2013.

#### Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

#### Appendix

Comment 1: Whether Maoji has Demonstrated Eligibility for Separate-Rate Status

Comment 2: The Appropriate Dumping Margin to Apply to Maoji as Part of the PRC-Wide Entity

Comment 3: Whether the Department Should Determine that Maoji's Suppliers are the Price Discriminators

Comment 4: Potential Evasion of Antidumping Duties on Huansheng's Subject Merchandise

[FR Doc. 2013-13987 Filed 6-11-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-964]

#### Seamless Refined Copper Pipe and Tube From the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Revocation of 2010/11 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On August 7, 2012, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on seamless refined copper pipe and tube ("copper pipe and tube") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). The period of review ("POR") is November 22, 2010 through October 31, 2011. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made no changes to the margin calculations for these final results. We continue to find that certain exporters have sold subject merchandise at less than normal value during the POR.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* June 12, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas Martin or Robert Bolling, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3936, and (202) 482-3434, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Background

On August 7, 2012, the Department published *Seamless Refined Copper Pipe and Tube From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the First Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Intent To Rescind in Part*, 77 FR 47030 (August 7, 2012) ("*Preliminary Results*").

On August 21, 2012, Cerro Flow Products, LLC, Wieland Copper Products, LLC, Mueller Copper Tube Products, Inc., and Mueller Copper Tube Company, Inc. (collectively, "Petitioners") submitted additional surrogate value information for valuing factors of production. On August 27, 2012, Golden Dragon Precise Copper Tube Group, Inc. ("Golden Dragon") also submitted additional surrogate value information for valuing factors of production. On August 27, 2012, the Department extended the deadline for filing comments on the *Preliminary Results* until September 13, 2012, and until September 18, 2012, for rebuttal comments. On September 5, 2012, Luvata Alltop (Zhongshan) Ltd. and Luvata Tube (Zhongshan) Ltd., (collectively "Luvata") filed comments on the *Preliminary Results*. The separate rate respondent Hong Kong Hailiang Metal Trading Limited, Zhejiang Hailiang Co., Ltd., and Shanghai Hailiang Copper Co., Ltd. (collectively "Hailiang"), and Petitioners submitted case briefs with the Department on September 13, 2012. On September 17, 2012, the Department extended the deadline for rebuttal briefs until September 21, 2012. On September 21, 2012, Petitioners and Golden Dragon filed rebuttal briefs.

On April 23, 2013, the Department requested additional factual documentation from Golden Dragon,<sup>1</sup> which was submitted on April 24, 2013. On May 2, 2013, in response to an opportunity to comment from the Department, Petitioners and Golden Dragon submitted comments in response to Golden Dragon's April 24, 2013, factual submission. On May 6, 2013, Petitioners and Golden Dragon submitted rebuttal comments.

The Department's original deadline for this final determination was December 5, 2012. As explained in the memorandum from the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, the Department exercised its discretion to toll deadlines for the duration of the closure of the Federal Government from October 29, through October 30, 2012.

<sup>1</sup> See Memorandum from Christian Marsh to the File, "Telephone Conversation with Counsel for Golden Dragon Precise Copper Tube Group, Inc. et al.," dated April 23, 2013.