

Any party having a substantial interest in these proceedings may request a public hearing on the matter. A written request for a hearing must be submitted to the Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms Division, Room 71030, Economic Development Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230, no later than ten (10) calendar days following publication of this notice.

Please follow the requirements set forth in EDA's regulations at 13 CFR 315.9 for procedures to request a public hearing. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance official number and title for the program under which these petitions are submitted is 11.313, Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms.

Dated: June 5, 2013.

Michael DeVillo,
Eligibility Examiner.

[FR Doc. 2013-13766 Filed 6-10-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-WH-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[S-87-2013]

Foreign-Trade Zone 262—Southaven, Mississippi; Application for Subzone; Milwaukee Electric Tool Corporation; Olive Branch, Greenwood and Jackson, Mississippi

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board by Northern Mississippi FTZ, Inc., grantee of FTZ 262, requesting special-purpose subzone status for the facilities of Milwaukee Electric Tool Corporation (METCO) located in Olive Branch, Greenwood and Jackson, Mississippi. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally docketed on June 5, 2013.

The proposed subzone would consist of the following sites: *Site 1* (39 acres)—Olive Branch Distribution/Kitting Facility, 12385 Crossroads Drive, Olive Branch (DeSoto County); *Site 2* (16 acres)—Greenwood Manufacturing Facility, 1003 Sycamore Street, Greenwood (Leflore County); and, *Site 3* (12 acres)—Jackson Manufacturing Facility, 4355 Milwaukee Street, Jackson (Hinds County). A notification of proposed production activity has been docketed (B-22-2013). The proposed subzone would be subject to the existing activation limit of FTZ 262.

In accordance with the FTZ Board's regulations, Camille Evans of the FTZ

Staff is designated examiner to review the application and make recommendations to the Executive Secretary.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the FTZ Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is July 22, 2013. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to August 5, 2013.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230-0002, and in the "Reading Room" section of the FTZ Board's Web site, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz.

For further information, contact Camille Evans at Camille.Evans@trade.gov or (202) 482-2350.

Dated: June 5, 2013.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-13868 Filed 6-10-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-967; C-570-968]

Aluminum Extrusions From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Scope Ruling and Notice of Amended Final Scope Ruling Pursuant to Court Decision

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On May 23, 2013, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) sustained the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) final results of remand redetermination in which it determined that certain drapery rail kits are outside of the scope of the antidumping (AD) and countervailing duty (CVD) orders on aluminum extrusions,¹ pursuant to the CIT's remand order in *The Rowley Company v. United States Court No. 12-00055* (Ct. Int'l Trade November 30,

¹ See *Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Order*, 76 FR 30650 (May 26, 2011) and *Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order*, 76 FR 30653 (May 26, 2011) (*Orders*).

2012) (*Remand Order*). See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand *Rowley Company v. United States Court No. 12-00055* (February 27, 2013) (*Remand Results*). Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (Federal Circuit) in *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*), as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*), the Department is notifying the public that the final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the Department's Final Scope Ruling on Drapery Rail Kits² and is amending its final scope ruling.

DATES: *Effective Date:* June 3, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Terpstra, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC, 20230; telephone (202) 482-3965.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Background

On November 16, 2011, the Rowley Company (Rowley) submitted a scope request claiming that certain drapery rail kits which it imports are outside the scope of the *Orders*. The Department issued its Final Scope Ruling on Drapery Rail Kits on February 3, 2012; in that ruling, the Department determined that certain drapery rail kits are within the scope of the *Orders*.

On August 10, 2012, Rowley filed its brief with the Court. On October 19, 2012, the Department asked the Court to grant it a voluntary remand that would allow it to re-examine the determination it reached in its Final Scope Ruling on Drapery Rail Kits. On November 30, 2012, the Court granted the Department's request for a voluntary remand. In the Remand Results, we found that the drapery rail kits described in the Scope Request constituted "finished goods kits" as described in the scope of the *Orders*, and, thus, fall outside the scope. The Department found that the drapery rail kits are designed to incorporate readily interchangeable drapes or curtains that can change with users' needs and are intended to be customizable. On May 23, 2013, the CIT sustained the Department's Remand Results.³

² See Memorandum to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, "Final Scope Ruling on Drapery Rail Kits" (February 3, 2012) (Final Scope Ruling on Drapery Rail Kits).

³ See *Remand Order*.