other rural residents. 7 CFR Part 1942, Subpart G, is a Rural Business-Cooperative Service (RBS) regulation which covers the administration of this program including eligibility requirements and evaluation criteria to make funding selection decisions.

**Need and Use of the Information:** RBS will use this information to determine (1) Eligibility; (2) the specific purposes for which grant funds will be utilized; (3) time frames or dates by which actions surrounding the use of funds will be accomplished; (4) who will be carrying out the purposes for which the grant is made; (5) project priority; (6) applicants experience in administering a rural economic development program; (7) employment improvements; and (8) mitigation of economic distress of a community through the creation or salvation of jobs or emergency situations. If the information were not collected, RBS would not be able to determine the eligibility of applicant(s) for the authorized purposes. Collecting this information infrequently would have an adverse effect on the Agency’s ability to administer the grant program.

**Description of Respondents:** Business or other for profit; not-for-profit institutions.

**Number of Respondents:** 720.

**Frequency of Responses:** Record-keeping; reporting: Monthly, on occasion, quarterly.

**Total Burden Hours:** 28,692.

**Charlene Parker,**
Departmental Information Collection Clearance Officer
[FR Doc. 2013–13342 Filed 6–5–13; 8:45 am]

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A–570–954]

**Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks From the People’s Republic of China: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** Effective Date: June 6, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jerry Huang, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4047.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On September 4, 2012, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain magnesia carbon bricks from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) covering the period September 1, 2011, through August 31, 2012.1 The Department received a timely request for review of Yingkou Bayuquan Refractories Co., Ltd. (“Yingkou Bayuquan”) from Vesuvius USA Corporation (“Vesuvius”), a U.S. importer of magnesia carbon bricks from the PRC.2 Fengchi Imp. & Exp. Co., Ltd. of Haicheng City (“Fengchi”) and its producer Fengchi Refractories Co., of Haicheng City also timely requested a review of Fengchi.3 On October 31, 2012, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain magnesia carbon bricks from the PRC with respect to Fengchi and Yingkou Bayuquan.4 On December 21, 2012, Fengchi and Fengchi Refractories Co., of Haicheng City timely withdrew their request for review of Fengchi.5 On January 7, 2013, Vesuvius timely withdrew its request for review of Yingkou Bayuquan.6

**Rescission**

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. Both parties timely submitted withdrawal requests within the 90-day period (i.e., before January 29, 2013). Because we received no other requests for review of Fengchi and Yingkou Bayuquan or any other company subject to the order, we are rescinding this administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain magnesia carbon bricks from the PRC in full, consistent with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

**Assessment**

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Fengchi and Yingkou Bayuquan shall be assessed

---


DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–570–851]
AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.
DATES: Effective Date: June 6, 2013. SUMMARY: On March 12, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the People’s Republic of China (PRC) covering the period February 1, 2011, through January 31, 2012. This review covers the following three companies: Blue Field (Sichuan) Food Industrial Co., Ltd. (Blue Field); Dujiangyan Xingda Foodstuffs Co., Ltd. (Xingda); and Zhejiang Iceman Group (Iceman Group). We provided interested parties an opportunity to comment on the Preliminary Results. We received no comments. The Final Results are unchanged from the Preliminary Results. The final weighted-average dumping margins for this review are listed below in the “Final Results of Review” section of this notice.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael J. Heaney, or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2475 or (202) 482–0649, respectively.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Background
On March 12, 2013, the Department published the Preliminary Results of the instant review. By virtue of their failure to respond to our antidumping questionnaire, Xingda and Iceman Group failed to establish that they are separate from the PRC-wide entity. Consequently, the Department examined the PRC-wide entity, which included Xingda and Iceman Group, among other companies, for the Preliminary Results and assigned a preliminary weighted-average dumping margin of 308.33 percent.
We invited interested parties to comment on the Preliminary Results. We received no comments from interested parties.
Scope of the Order
The products covered by this order are certain preserved mushrooms, whether imported whole, sliced, diced, or as stems and pieces. The certain preserved mushrooms covered under this order are the species Agaricus bisporus and Agaricus bitorquis. “Certain Preserved Mushrooms” refers to mushrooms that have been prepared or preserved by cleaning, blanching, and sometimes slicing or cutting. These mushrooms are then packed and heated in containers including, but not limited to, cans or glass jars in a suitable liquid medium, including, but not limited to, water, brine, butter or butter sauce. Certain preserved mushrooms may be imported whole, sliced, diced, or as stems and pieces. Included within the scope of this order are “brined” mushrooms, which are pre-salted and packed in a heavy salt solution to provisionally preserve them for further processing.
Excluded from the scope of this order are the following: (1) All other species of mushroom, including straw mushrooms; (2) all fresh and chilled mushrooms, including “refrigerated” or “quick blanched mushrooms”; (3) dried mushrooms; (4) frozen mushrooms; and (5) “marinated,” “acidified,” or “pickled” mushrooms, which are prepared or preserved by means of vinegar or acetic acid, but may contain oil or other additives.

\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{4} Id.\textsuperscript{5} See Preliminary Results, 78 FR at 15685.\textsuperscript{6} On June 19, 2000, the Department affirmed that “marinated,” “acidified,” or “pickled” mushrooms containing less than 0.5 percent acetic acid are within the scope of the antidumping duty order. See Recommendation Memorandum-Final Ruling of Request by Tak Fat, et al. for Exclusion of Certain Marinated, Acidified Mushrooms from the Scope of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People’s Republic of China,” dated June 19, 2000. On February 9, 2005, the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit upheld this decision. See Tak Fat v. United States, 396 F.3d 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2005).}