

incorporating a permanent riving knife design. The revised standard also specified detailed design and performance requirements for the modular blade guard, riving knife, and anti-kickback device(s). The effective date for the new requirements in UL 987 was January 31, 2010.

In the ANPR, the Commission expressed concern that the requirements in the voluntary standard for table saws, UL 987, which include a permanent riving knife and the new modular blade guard system, may not adequately address the operator blade contact injuries associated with table saw use. The Commission stated that:

While we support the recent progress UL has made in improving the voluntary standard to address blade contact injuries by focusing solely on prevention of skin-to-blade contact, the standard requirements do not appear to address adequately the number or severity of blade contact injuries that occur on table saws, nor do they address the associated societal costs. In addition, while we believe that the new modular guard design is a significant improvement over the old guard design, the effectiveness of any blade guard system depends upon an operator's willingness to use it. Safety equipment that hinders the ability to operate the product likely will result in consumers bypassing, avoiding, or discarding the safety equipment. In addition, of the 66,900 table saw operator blade contact injuries in 2007 and 2008, approximately 20,700 (30.9%) of the injuries occurred on table saws where the blade guard was in use. The current voluntary standard for table saws does not appear to address those types of injuries. Accordingly, we are particularly interested in obtaining information regarding current or developing voluntary standards that would address table saw blade contact injuries.

76 FR 62683. Currently, the CPSC does not know how consumers are using the new modular blade guard. Because the usage patterns are directly linked to the safety of the user, additional data are needed to understand how consumers use the modular blade guard to determine how effective the design will be in preventing future injuries. The data collected from this survey will be used to help CPSC staff understand better how consumers are using the modular blade guard system, such as when consumers install and remove the blade guard, what type of cuts are being made without the blade guard, and/or what may be preventing the use of the blade guard. With additional information, the Commission will be able to evaluate the role of modular blade guards in the proposed rule. The data, along with testing results, subject matter input analysis, and other study information, will be used by the Commission to develop the proposed

rule addressing consumer injuries associated with table saws.

To gather the information, the CPSC will conduct a survey of consumers who own table saws with a modular blade guard system. Because the population of owners of table saws that were purchased with a modular blade guard is a specific and hard-to-reach population, the survey will be based on a convenience sample of participants recruited by various advertisement strategies. No results from the survey will be generalized to the population. To recruit respondents, advertisements will be placed on popular Web sites, in woodworking magazines, and posted in woodworking guilds with their cooperation. Respondents will have the option to go through a screening process, either online, or via the telephone. Respondents meeting the criteria of the survey—owners of table saws with the modular blade guard system—will participate in the follow-up, full-scale Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) survey about their usage of, and opinions about, the modular blade guard system. After completion of the full-scale CATI survey, each respondent will be sent a \$50 check for completing the survey. CPSC staff anticipates that approximately 100 eligible respondents will be interviewed. Up to an additional 100 respondents may be interviewed, if additional funding becomes available.

A final report will summarize the data about modular blade use collected from the surveyed table saw owners. Any patterns that emerge can be considered in conjunction with other testing, subject matter expert analyses, and any other data gathered as part of the rulemaking process, to assess the potential effectiveness of the modular blade guard design and to inform rulemaking. Any patterns that emerge may also be used by CPSC staff to develop future studies.

B. Burden Hours

CPSC staff estimates that the recruitment stage time required to verify whether the respondent fits the study's target group of consumers will not exceed 10 minutes, and the actual survey will not exceed 25 minutes. Thus, total time per eligible respondent is estimated not to exceed 35 minutes. For the 100 anticipated eligible respondents, time required in connection with the survey would be estimated at approximately 58 hours (100 × 0.58 hours) in the aggregate. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, March 2013, <http://www.bls.gov/news.release/ecec.nr0.htm>, the average compensational hourly rate

is \$28.89. The total cost burden for this study is estimated at \$1,676. If an additional 100 respondents were interviewed, the total burden hours would be estimated at \$3,352.

The estimated cost to the federal government is \$182,159.87 for the costs of recruiting respondents and conducting the survey. In addition, one full-time CPSC employee will spend an estimated 600 hours of labor for an estimated cost of \$49,488, the equivalent of a GS-14 Step 5 employee with an additional 30.8 percent added for benefits for an hourly compensation rate of \$82.48. (U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, "Employer Costs for Employee Compensation," December 2012, Table 1, percentage of wages and salaries for all civilian management, professional, and related employees, <http://www.bls.gov/ncs>). Accordingly, the total estimated cost to the federal government is \$231,647.87 (\$182,159.87 plus \$49,488). If an additional 100 respondents are surveyed, the additional estimated cost to the federal government is \$98,000 (\$31,000 for recruiting + \$67,000 for conducting survey), for a total estimated cost to the federal government of \$329,647.87.

C. Request for Comments

The CPSC invites comments on these topics:

- Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of CPSC's functions, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- The accuracy of CPSC's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
 - Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
 - Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques, when appropriate, and other forms of information technology.

Dated: May 22, 2013.

Todd A. Stevenson,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013-12552 Filed 5-24-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

Notice of Second Prehearing Conference; Update

AGENCY: U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission.

In the Matter of Baby Matters, LLC,
CPSC Docket No. 13–1.

Federal Register Citation of
Previous Announcement:

Vol. 78, No. 93, Tuesday, May 14,
2013, page 29205.

*Announced Time and Date of Second
Prehearing Conference:* Thursday, May
23, 2013, 11:00 a.m. Eastern.

The prehearing conference scheduled
for May 23, 2013 will be continued to
a later date, if necessary.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR ADDITIONAL
INFORMATION:** Regina Maye, Paralegal
Specialist, U.S. Coast Guard ALJ
Program, (212) 825–1230.

Dated: May 22, 2013.

Todd A. Stevenson,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–12575 Filed 5–24–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Docket ID: DOD–2013–OS–0111]

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Defense Logistics Agency, DoD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the *Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995*, the Defense Logistics Agency announces a proposed public information collection and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by July 29, 2013.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by docket number and title, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

- *Mail:* Federal Docket Management System Office, 4800 Mark Center Drive, 2nd Floor, East Tower, Suite 02G09, Alexandria, VA 22350–3100.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name, docket number and title for this **Federal Register** document. The general policy for comments and other submissions from members of the public is to make these submissions available for public viewing on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> as they are received without change, including any personal identifiers or contact information.

Any associated form(s) for this collection may be located within this same electronic docket and downloaded for review/testing. Follow the instructions at <http://www.regulations.gov> for submitting comments. Please submit comments on any given form identified by docket number, form number, and title.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request more information on this proposed information collection or to obtain a copy of the proposal and associated collection instruments, please write to the Defense Logistics Agency Headquarters, ATTN: Mr. Thomas Reinard, DLA Installation Support, 8725 John J. Kingman Rd., Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060–6221; or call (703) 767–5419.

Title; Associated Form; and OMB Number: Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) Police Center Records (POLC); OMB Control Number 0704–TBD.

Needs and Uses: DLA police require an integrated police records management system, PoliceCenter (POLC), to automate and standardize all of the common record keeping functions of DLA police. POLC shall provide records management of police operations, including property, incident reports, blotters, qualifications, dispatching, and other police information management considerations. The tool will allow authorized users the capability to collect, store, and access sensitive law enforcement information gathered by Police Officers. The tool will allow DLA Police to automate many police operational functions and assist with crime rate and trend analysis. Relevant law enforcement matters include, but are not limited to; traffic accidents, illegal parking, firearms records, suspicious activity, response to calls for service, criminal activity, alarm activations, medical emergencies, witnesses, victims, or suspect in a police matter, or any other situation which warrants police contact as outlined in DoD Directives and DLA Policy.

In addition to those disclosures generally permitted under 5 U.S.C.

552a(b) of the Privacy Act of 1974, these records contained therein may specifically be disclosed outside the DoD as a routine use pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(b)(3) as follows:

- To Federal, State, and local agencies having jurisdiction over or investigative interest in the substance of the investigation, for corrective action, debarment, or reporting purposes.

- To Government contractors employing individuals who are subjects of an investigation.

- To DLA contractors or vendors when the investigation pertains to a person they employ or to a product or service they provide to DoD when disclosure is necessary to accomplish or support corrective action.

Affected Public: Individuals and Households: Members of the public who are involved in any law enforcement or security matter on DLA property which requires DLA Police response or contact.

Annual Burden Hours: 225.

Number of Respondents: 450.

Average Burden per Response: 30 minutes.

Frequency: On occasion.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Summary of Information Collection

This POLC system contains the following categories of records: Individual's name, address and telephone number; social security number (not in all matters); driver's license number; Reports of Preliminary Inquiry; Criminal Information Reports; Reports of Investigation; Police Incident Reports; Crime Vulnerability Assessments; statements of witnesses, subjects, and victims; photographs; data collection reports; and other related papers by DLA Police Officers, Federal, State, and local law enforcement and investigative agencies.

Dated: May 22, 2013.

Aaron Siegel,

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison
Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 2013–12549 Filed 5–24–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Transmittal Nos. 13–22]

36(b)(1) Arms Sales Notification

AGENCY: Defense Security Cooperation Agency, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense is publishing the unclassified text of a