on the high seas, if the owner is subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, must promptly report to the District Commander, in whose jurisdiction the obstruction is located, the action they are taking to mark it in accordance with this subchapter. The reported information must contain the information listed in paragraph (c) of this section, including the information required by 46 CFR 4.05.

(e) Owners and/or operators of other obstructions may report the existence of such obstructions and mark them in the same manner as prescribed for sunken vessels.

(f) Owners and/or operators of marine pipelines that are determined to be hazards to navigation must report and mark a hazardous portion of those pipelines in accordance with 49 CFR parts 192 or 195, as applicable.

(g) All markings of sunken vessels, rafts, or crafts and other obstructions established in accordance with this section must be reported to and approved by the appropriate District Commander.

(h) Should the District Commander determine that these markings are inconsistent with part 62 of this subchapter, the markings must be replaced as soon as practicable with approved markings.

3. Revise § 64.13 to read as follows:

§ 64.13 Approval for waiver of markings.

(a) Owners and/or operators of sunken vessels, rafts or other craft sunk in navigable waters may apply to the District Commander, in whose jurisdiction the vessel, raft, or other craft is located, for a waiver of the requirement to mark them with a light at night as required under § 64.11(a) of this subpart. Information on how to contact the District Commander is available at http://www.uscg.mil/top/units.

(b) The District Commander may grant a waiver if it is determined that—

(1) marking the wrecked vessel, raft or other craft with a light at night would be impractical, and

(2) the granting of such a waiver would not create an undue hazard to navigation.

Dated: May 21, 2013.

Dana A. Goward,
Director, Maritime Transportation Systems, U.S. Coast Guard.

[FR Doc. 2013–12545 Filed 5–24–13; 8:45 am]
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48 CFR Parts 501, 538, and 552

[GSAR Case 2012–G501; Docket 2013–0006; Sequence 1]

RIN 3090–AJ36

General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation (GSAR);
Electronic Contracting Initiative (ECI)

AGENCY: Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The General Services Administration (GSA) is proposing to amend the General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation (GSAR) to add a Modifications (Federal Supply Schedule) clause, and an Alternate I version of the clause that requires electronic submission of modifications for FSS contracts managed by GSA. This change is the result of modernized technology that will improve the process for submission of modifications under the Federal Supply Schedules Program, and was developed by GSA to satisfy customer demands.

The basic clause (previously at GSAR 552.238–72) was removed during the initial GSAR rewrite under proposed rule 2006–G507 published in the Federal Register at 74 FR 4596 on January 26, 2009. The initial GSAR rewrite proposed amendments to the GSAR to update text addressing GSAR Part 538. Withdrawal of GSAR case 2006–G507 was published in the Federal Register at 77 FR 76446 on December 28, 2012.

The basic clause is being reinstated at GSAR 552.238–81, Modifications (Federal Supply Schedule). The alternate version of the clause implements and mandates electronic submission of modifications, and only applies to FSS contracts managed by GSA. The alternate version of the clause links to GSA’s electronic tool, eMod at http://eoffr.gsa.gov/. Use of eMod will streamline the modification submission process for both FSS contractors and contracting officers.

Use of eMod will establish automated controls in the modification process that will ensure contract documentation is completed and approved by all required parties. Additionally, eMod will foster GSA’s Rapid Action Modification (RAM), which allows contracting officers to process certain modification requests to the FSS contract (e.g., administrative changes) as unilateral modifications with no requirement for contractor signature on the Standard Form 30, Amendment of Solicitation/Modification of Contract (SF30).

Current and new FSS contractors will be required to obtain a digital certificate in order to comply with submission of information via eMod. A digital certificate is an electronic credential that asserts the identity of an individual and enables eMod to verify the identity of the individual entering the system and signing documents. The certificate will be valid for a period of two years, after which, contractors must renew the
certificates at the associated cost during that time. At present, two FSS vendors are authorized to issue digital certificates that facilitate the use of eMod, at a price of $119 per issuance and at renewals every two years. Having a digital certificate creates digital signatures which are verifiable. GSA has developed training on eMod, and obtaining a digital certificate. This information is posted on GSA’s eOffer Web site at http://eoffer.gsa.gov.

The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) does not have access to eMod, and is therefore not required to comply with the requirements of the Alternate I version of GSAR clause 552.238–81, Modifications (Federal Supply Schedule). VA will continue to utilize the basic version of the clause in management of their FSS contracts.

GSA is in the process of rewriting each part of the GSAR and GSAM, and as each GSAR part is rewritten, GSA will publish it in the Federal Register for comments. This rule covers the rewrite of GSAR Part 538, Electronic Contracting Initiative (Modifications).

On December 17, 2012, GSA published in the Federal Register at 77 FR 74631 a request for public comments on an information collection requirement for a new OMB clearance. One comment was received and is addressed in the Paperwork Reduction Act section of this notice.

II. Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

Executive Orders (E.O.s) 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). E.O. 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. This is not a significant regulatory action and, therefore, was not subject to review under Section 6(b) of E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, dated September 30, 1993. This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804.

III. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The General Services Administration does not expect this proposed rule to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq., because the proposed rule will implement a streamlined, electronic process for submission and processing of modification requests pertaining to FSS contracts managed by GSA. However, small businesses will be positively impacted by this initiative in that the process for submitting information is simplified, more structured and easy to use, and processing time is significantly reduced. For example, submission of a paper modification request is often a labor intensive process that involves repeated exchanges of information via standard mail and/or facsimile. The electronic process will include controls to prevent submission of incomplete requests that require follow-up. Contractors will be able to offer the latest products and services to the Federal Government faster and more often due to this streamlined submission process.

Contractors will be required to obtain a digital certificate in order to comply with the eMod requirement. The cost of the digital certificate will impose some economic impact on all contractors, both small and other than small, doing business under Federal Supply Schedule contracts managed by GSA. Therefore, an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA) has been prepared consistent with 5 U.S.C. 603, and is summarized as follows:

The General Services Administration (GSA) is proposing to amend the General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation (GSAR) to add clause 552.238–81, Modifications (Federal Supply Schedule) back into the GSAR, and an alternate version of the clause that requires electronic submission of modifications for Federal Supply Schedule (FSS) contracts managed by GSA via eMod. The addition of the basic clause is an administrative change that reinstates a previous clause inadvertently removed from the GSAR. The alternate clause has never received public comment. The alternate version of this clause mandates electronic submission of modifications through GSA’s electronic tool, eMod. Use of eMod establishes automated controls in the modification process that will ensure contract documentation is completed and approved by all required parties. Additionally, eMod will foster Rapid Action Modification (RAM), which allows contracting officers to process certain modifications (e.g., administrative changes) as unilateral modifications with no requirement for contractor signature on the Standard Form 30, Amendment of Solicitation/Modification of Contract (SF30). eMod will streamline the process and result in modification actions being processed more timely and efficiently.

In addition to adding automated controls into the modification process, mandating the electronic submission of modifications will support several Federal Acquisition Service (FAS) initiatives that are currently underway to enhance the MAS Program’s ability to transition to a completely electronic contracting environment. These initiatives include but are not limited to digitization of Multiple Award Schedule (MAS) contract files, Contracts Online, and the Enterprise Acquisition Solution (EAS). eMod is consistent with the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act (E–SIGN), enacted on June 20, 2000, and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Memoranda M–00–015, Guidance on Implementing the Electronic Signatures, dated September 25, 2000.

All of GSA’s FSS contractors (19,000) will be required to obtain a digital certificate in order to comply with this requirement. Approximately 80 percent (15,200) GSA FSS contracts are held by small businesses. A digital certificate is an electronic credential that enables eMod to verify the identity of the individual entering the system and signing documents. The certificate will be valid for a period of two years, after which, contractors must renew the certificate. At present, two FSS vendors are authorized to issue digital certificates that facilitate the use of eMod, at a price of $119 per issuance. The alternate version of this requirement does not apply to FSS contracts managed by the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) because the VA does not utilize or have access to eMod.

The Regulatory Secretariat has submitted a copy of the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA) to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration. A copy of the IRFA may be obtained from the Regulatory Secretariat. GSA invites comments from small business concerns and other interested parties on the expected impact of this rule on small entities.

GSA will also consider comments from small entities concerning the existing regulations in subparts affected by this rule in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 610. Interested parties must submit such comments separately and should cite 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq. (GSAR Case 2012–G501), in correspondence.

IV. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) applies. The proposed rule contains information collection requirements. Accordingly, the Regulatory Secretariat submitted a request for approval of a revised information collection requirement concerning (GSAR 2012–G501; Electronic Contracting Initiative) to the Office of Management and Budget.

The 1st notice of the information collection requirement was published in the Federal Register at 77 FR 74631 on December 17, 2012. The comment period closed on February 15, 2013. One comment was received. The commenter suggested that GSA increase the estimated burden hours per response to reflect the additional time required for
complex modification requests. The commenter also recommended that the number of estimated respondents per year be reduced, based on the logic that companies with zero sales under their contracts are not likely to submit modification requests.

GSA responded that the estimate of five burden hours per response already takes into consideration that modification requests can range from simple administrative changes to more complex changes involving the award of additional products and services. Additionally, the current estimate of 20,500 respondents per year is based on the total number of contracts awarded under the Federal Supply Schedule program, and is utilized consistent with other Federal Supply Schedule burden calculations for clauses and provisions applicable to all Federal Supply Schedule contracts. No change to the burden estimate was made as a result of the comment.

However, the notice indicated that 20,500 contractors would use the basic clause with an associated burden of 5 hours per response. This notice revises the collection to explain that 1,500 contractors (VA contractors) will use the basic clause with 5 hours of burden, and 19,000 contractors (GSA contractors) will use the alternate clause with 4 hours of burden. This will result in a total burden reduction for this collection of 57,000 burden hours.

A 2nd notice of the information collection requirement was published in the Federal Register at 78 FR 18285 on March 26, 2013. The comment period closed on April 25, 2013. No comments were received.

A. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 5 hours per response for manual modification requests and 4 hours per response for eMod requests, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The annual reporting burden is estimated as follows:

552.238–81 Modifications (VA FSS Contractors Manual process)

Respondents: 1,500.
Responses per Respondent: 3.
Total Responses: 4,500.
Hours per Response: 5.
Total Burden Hours: 22,500.

552.238–81 Modifications Alternate I (GSA FSS Contractors eMod Electronic process)

Estimated Respondents/yr: 19,000.
Number of Submissions per Respondent: 3.

Total Responses: 57,000.
Estimated Hours/Response: 4.
Total Burden Hours: 228,000.


Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the GSAR, and will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Requesters may obtain a copy of the supporting statement from the General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat (MVCB), ATTN: Hada Flowers, 1275 First Street NE., 7th Floor, Washington, DC 20417. Please cite OMB Control Number 3090–0302, Modifications (Multiple Award Schedules); GSAR Part Affected: 552.243–72, in all correspondence.

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 501, 538, and 552

Government procurement.


Steven J. Kempf,
Acting Senior Procurement Executive, Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration.

Therefore, GSA proposes to amend 48 CFR parts 501, 538, and 552 as set forth below:

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR parts 501, 538, and 552 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 40 U.S.C 121(c).

PART 501—GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION ACQUISITION REGULATION SYSTEM

501.106 [Amended]

2. Amend section 501.106 in the table, by adding in sequence, GSAR Reference “552.238–81” and its corresponding OMB Control Number “3090–0320”.

PART 538—FEDERAL SUPPLY SCHEDULE CONTRACTING

3. Amend section 538.273 by adding paragraph (b)(3) to read as follows:

538.273 Contract clauses.

(b) * * * * * * * * *

(3) 552.238–81, Modifications (Federal Supply Schedule). Use alternate I for Federal Supply Schedules that only accept electronic modifications.

PART 552—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES

4. Add section 552.238–81 to read as follows:

552.238–81 Modifications (Federal Supply Schedule).

As prescribed in 538.273(b), insert the following clause:

Modifications (Federal Supply Schedule) (DATE)

(a) General. The Contractor may request a contract modification by submitting a request to the Contracting Officer for approval, except as noted in paragraph (d) of this clause. At a minimum, every request shall describe the proposed change(s) and provide the rationale for the requested change(s).

(b) Types of Modifications. (1) Additional items/additional SINs. When requesting additions, the following information must be submitted:

(i) Information requested in paragraphs (1) and (2) of the Commercial Sales Practice Format to add SINs.

(ii) Discount information for the new item(s) or new SIN(s). Specifically, submit the information requested in paragraphs 3 through 5 of the Commercial Sales Practice Format. If this information is the same as the initial award, a statement to that effect may be submitted instead.

(iii) Information about the new item(s) or the item(s) under the new SIN(s) as described in 552.212–70, Preparation of Offer (Multiple Award Schedule), is required.

(iv) Delivery time(s) for the new item(s) or the item(s) under the new SIN(s) must be submitted in accordance with FAR 52.211–78, Commercial Delivery Schedule (Multiple Award Schedule).

(v) Production point(s) for the new item(s) or the item(s) under the new SIN(s) must be submitted if required by FAR 52.215–6, Place of Performance.

(vi) Hazardous Material information (if applicable) must be submitted as required by FAR 52.223–3 (Alternate I), Hazardous Material Identification and Material Safety Data.

(vii) Any information requested by FAR 52.212–3(f), Offeror Representations and Certifications—Commercial Items, that may be necessary to assure compliance with FAR 52.225–1, Buy American Act—Balance of Payments Programs—Supplies, is required.

(2) Deletions. The Contractors shall provide an explanation for the deletion. The
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Surface Transportation Board

49 CFR Part 1333
[Docket No. EP 707]

Demurrage Liability

AGENCY: Surface Transportation Board, DOT.

ACTION: Initial regulatory flexibility analysis and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Board is publishing this initial regulatory flexibility analysis to aid the public in commenting on the impact on small rail carriers, if any, of the proposed rules on demurrage liability.

DATES: Comments are due by June 27, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Amy C. Ziehm at (202) 245–0391. Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at (800) 877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: By decision served on May 7, 2012, the Surface Transportation Board (the Board) issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPR) regarding demurrage liability. Specifically, the Board announced a proposed rule providing that any person receiving rail cars from a rail carrier for loading or unloading who detains the cars beyond a specified period of time may be held liable for demurrage if that person has actual notice of the terms of the demurrage tariff providing for such liability prior to the carrier’s placement of the rail cars. Demurrage Liability, EP 707, slip op. at 10 (STB served May 7, 2012). The NPR did not include an initial regulatory flexibility analysis (IRFA) pursuant to the Regulatory Flexibility Act, but instead included a certification that the proposed rules would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Id., slip op. at 17–18. The certification was based on the fact that rail carriers would be required to provide a one-time notice (electronic or written) to their customers, and the Board noted that these types of notices are generally already provided, often electronically. A review of the 2011 Waybill Sample reveals that small rail carriers, as defined by the Small Business Administration, have an average of 10 terminating stations, which generally equates to 10 customers. As such, the burden imposed would be to provide approximately 10 notices of a carrier’s demurrage tariff, either electronically or in writing, which is not significant. Additionally, to the extent that their existing tariffs conflict with the proposed rules, rail carriers would need to update their demurrage tariffs to conform to the proposed rules.

In response to the NPR, the American Short Line and Regional Railroad Association (ASLRRA) submitted comments in which it questioned the necessity of imposing the actual notice requirement on small carriers. ASLRRA summarized argued that “small railroads . . . often communicate with shippers by telephone,” that Class III carriers are “sometimes less electronically sophisticated,” and that “small railroads, particularly those who are acting as handling lines, may not even know who the receiver is.”

The Board continues to believe that its certification in the NPR is appropriate because the impact of the proposed rules would not be significant. Nevertheless, the Board has decided to publish the following analysis to provide further information and opportunity for public comment on the impact on small rail carriers, if any, of the rules. The Board notes that it already afforded a period of public comment on the proposed rules and that this solicitation of comments is limited to the impact on small rail carriers, if any, of the rules.

1 The Paperwork Reduction Act and Regulatory Flexibility Act certification by the NPR assumed that rail carriers would only need to provide a one-time notice. See, e.g., NPR at 21 (calculating burden hours by assuming that it would take “eight hours to provide initial notice to its customers”). Many commenters asked for clarification on whether rail carriers would need to provide notice with each delivery of rail cars, or whether a one-time notice would suffice. In this IRFA, we are not deciding this issue, but only noting that the analyses contained in the NPR were based on the assumption that rail carriers would only need to provide a one-time notice.

2 The Small Business Administration’s Office of Size Standards has established a size standard for rail transportation, pursuant to which a “line-haul railroad” is considered small if its number of employees is 1,500 or less, and a “short line railroad” is considered small if its number of employees is 500 or less. 13 CFR 121.201 (industry subsector 482).

3 ASLRRA’s Comments 3–4.