questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202–205–2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS. 5

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of sections 201.10 and 210.8(c) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.8(c)).

Issued: May 20, 2013.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton.
Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013–12372 Filed 5–23–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1110 (Review)]

Sodium Hexametaphosphate From China; Scheduling of an Expedited Five-Year Review


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on sodium hexametaphosphate from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: Effective Date: May 7, 2013.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On May 7, 2013, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (78 FR 7452, February 1, 2013) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review. 1 Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act. Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on May 31, 2013, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission’s rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission’s rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution, 2 and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before June 5, 2013 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by June 5, 2013. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce’s final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce’s results. If comments contain business propriety information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. Please be aware that the Commission’s rules with respect to electronic filing have been amended. The amendments took effect on November 7, 2011. See 76 Fed. Reg. 61937 (Oct. 6, 2011) and the newly revised Commission’s Handbook on E-Filing, available on the Commission’s Web site at http://edis.usitc.gov.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.

Issued: May 20, 2013.

Lisa R. Barton.
Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013–12342 Filed 5–23–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–854]

Enforcement Proceeding; Certain Two-Way Global Satellite Communication Devices, System and Components Thereof; Notice of Institution of Formal Enforcement Proceeding


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has instituted a formal enforcement proceeding relating to the April 5, 2013, consent order issued in the above-captioned investigation.

BriarTek asserts that DeLorme has violated the consent order by the continued practice of prohibited activities such as selling or offering for sale within the United States after importation two-way global satellite communication devices, systems, or components thereof that infringe one or more of the asserted claims of the ‘380 patent.

Having examined the complaint seeking a formal enforcement proceeding, and having found that the complaint complies with the requirements for institution of a formal enforcement proceeding contained in Commission rule 210.75, the Commission has determined to institute formal enforcement proceedings to determine whether DeLorme is in violation of the April 5, 2013 consent order issued in the investigation, and what, if any, enforcement measures are appropriate. The following entities are named as parties to the formal enforcement proceeding: (1) BriarTek, (2) respondent DeLorme, and (3) the Office of Unfair Import Investigations.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain two-way global satellite communication devices, system and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,991,380 (‘‘the ’380 patent’’). The complaint further alleged the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission’s notice of investigation named as respondents Yellowbrick Tracking, Ltd. (‘‘Yellowbrick’’) of Essex, United Kingdom; DeLorme Publishing Company, Inc.; and DeLorme InReach LLC (collectively, ‘‘DeLorme’’), both of Yarmouth, Maine. On December 7, 2012, Yellowbrick was terminated from the investigation based on a settlement agreement.

On April 5, 2013, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the presiding administrative law judge’s initial determination granting DeLorme’s motion to terminate the investigation based on a consent order stipulation and issued a consent order. The consent order prohibits the importing or selling for importation in the United States, or selling or offering for sale within the United States after importation any two-way global satellite communication devices, systems, or components thereof that infringe one or more of claims 1, 2, 5, 10–12, and 34 of the ’380 patent.

On April 10, 2013, BriarTek filed a complaint for enforcement proceedings under Commission Rule 210.75.