All comments will be posted on www.regulations.gov. If you wish to include within your comment confidential business information (CBI) as defined in the Privacy and Use Notice/User Notice at www.regulations.gov, and you do not want that information disclosed, you must submit the comment by either Mail or Hand Delivery. In the submission, you must highlight the information that you consider CBI and explain why you believe this information should be withheld as confidential. SBA will make a final determination, in its sole discretion, as to whether the information is CBI and therefore will be published or withheld.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edward Halstead, by telephone at (202) 205–9885, or by email at edward.halstead@sba.gov.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 8(a)(17) of the Small Business Act (Act), 15 USC 637(a)(17), and SBA’s implementing regulations require that recipients of Federal contracts for supplies which are set aside for small businesses, Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned (SDVO) small businesses, Women-Owned Small Businesses (WOSBs), or Participants in SBA’s 8(a) BD Program must provide the product of a small business manufacturer or processor, if the recipient is other than the actual manufacturer or processor of the product. This requirement is commonly referred to as the Nonmanufacturer Rule. 13 CFR 121.406(b). Section 8(a)(17)(B)(iv)(II) of the Act authorizes SBA to waive the Nonmanufacturer Rule for any class of products for which there are no small business manufacturers or processors available to participate in the Federal market.
In order to be considered available to participate in the Federal market for a class of products, a small business manufacturer must have been awarded or have performed a contract to supply a specific class of products to the Federal Government within 24 months from the date of the request for waiver, either directly or through a dealer, or have submitted an offer on a solicitation for that class of products within that time frame. 13 CFR 121.1202(c). SBA defines “class of products” as an individual subdivision within a (NAICS) Industry Number as established by the Office of Management and Budget in the NAICS Manual. 13 CFR 121.1202(d). In addition, SBA uses (PSCs) to further identify particular products within the NAICS code to which a waiver would apply.
On July 12, 2012, SBA received a request to waive the Nonmanufacturer Rule for commercial ovens and broilers, PSC 7310, under NAICS code 333319 (Other Commercial and Service Industry Machinery Manufacturing). SBA notes that at the time of the request, these items were classified under NAICS code 333319. However, effective October 1, 2012, SBA published revised NAICS codes and Small Business Size Standards, for purposes of Government procurement. As a result of this change, NAICS code 333319 is eliminated from the 2012 NAICS code listing and the items requested for waiver are now listed under the 2012 NAICS code 333318.
The public is invited to comment or provide source information to SBA on the proposed waiver of the Nonmanufacturer Rule for the products described in this notice within 45 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register.
Dated: May 16, 2013.
Kenneth W. Dodds,
Director, Office of Government Contracting.
BILLING CODE 8025–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Approval of Noise Compatibility Program for Tweed-New Haven Regional Airport

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announces its findings on the noise compatibility program submitted by the Tweed-New Haven Airport Authority under the provisions of Title I of the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 (Pub. L. 96–193) and 14 CFR part 150. These findings are made in recognition of the description of federal and non-federal responsibilities in Senate Report No. 96–52 (1980). On November 26, 2012, the FAA determined that the noise exposure maps submitted by the City of Portland under Part 150 were in compliance with applicable requirements. On May 9, 2013, the New England Region Airports Division Regional Manager approved the noise compatibility program. Seventeen of the proposed program elements were disapproved, or approved in part. Four of the elements were disapproved.

DATES: Effective Date: The effective date of the FAA’s approval of the Tweed-New Haven Regional Airport noise compatibility program is May 9, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard Doucette, Federal Aviation Administration, New England Region, Airports Division, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, Massachusetts 01803, Telephone (781) 238–7613. Documents reflecting this FAA action may be obtained from the same individual.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice announces that the FAA has given its overall approval to the Tweed-New Haven Regional Airport noise compatibility program, effective May 9, 2013.

Under Section 104(a) of the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 (hereinafter the Act), an airport operator who has previously submitted a noise exposure map may submit to the FAA a noise compatibility program which sets forth the measures taken or proposed by the airport operator for the reduction of existing non-compatible land uses and prevention of additional non-compatible land uses within the area covered by the noise exposure maps.

The Act requires such programs to be developed in consultation with interested and affected parties including local communities, government agencies, airport users, and FAA personnel.

Each airport noise compatibility program developed in accordance with Federal Aviation Regulation (FAR), Part 150 is a local program, not a federal program. The FAA does not substitute its judgment for that of the airport proprietor with respect to which measures should be recommended for action. The FAA’s approval or disapproval of FAR Part 150 program recommendations is measured according to the standards expressed in Part 150 and the Act, and is limited to the following determinations:
(a) The noise compatibility program was developed in accordance with the provisions and procedures of FAR Part 150;
(b) program measures are reasonably consistent with achieving the goals of reducing existing non-compatible land uses around the airport and preventing the introduction of additional non-compatible land uses;
(c) program measures would not create an undue burden on interstate or foreign commerce, unjustly discriminate against types or classes of aeronautical uses, violate the terms of airport grant agreements, or intrude into areas...
preempted by the federal government; and
(d) program measures relating to the use of flight procedures can be implemented within the period covered by the program without derogating safety, adversely affecting the efficient use and management of the navigable airspace and air traffic control systems, or adversely affecting other powers and responsibilities of the Administrator as prescribed by law.

Specific limitations with respect to FAA’s approval of an airport noise compatibility program are delineated in FAR Part 150, Section 150.5. Approval is not a determination concerning the acceptability of land uses under Federal, state, or local law. Approval does not by itself constitute a FAA implementing action. A request for Federal action or approval to implement specific noise compatibility measures may be required, and an FAA decision on the request may require an environmental assessment of the proposed action. Approval does not constitute a commitment by the FAA to financially assist in the implementation of the program nor a determination that all measures covered by the program are eligible for grant-in-aid funding from the FAA under the Airport and Airway Improvement Act of 1982. Where Federal funding is sought, requests for project grants must be submitted to the FAA Regional Office in Burlington, Massachusetts.

The Tweed-New Haven Airport Authority submitted to the FAA, on November 13, 2012, noise exposure maps, descriptions, and other documentation produced during the noise compatibility planning study conducted from 2010 to 2012. The Tweed-New Haven Regional Airport noise exposure maps were determined by FAA to be in compliance with applicable requirements on November 26, 2012. Notice of this determination was published in the Federal Register on February 6, 2013.

The Tweed-New Haven Regional Airport study contains a proposed noise compatibility program comprised of actions designed for implementation by airport management and adjacent jurisdictions from the date of study completion to beyond the year 2018. The Tweed-New Haven Airport Authority requested that the FAA evaluate and approve this material as a noise compatibility program as described in Section 104(b) of the Act. The FAA began its review of the program on November 26, 2012, and was required by a provision of the Act to approve or disapprove the program within 180 days (other than the use of new flight procedures for noise control). Failure to approve or disapprove such a program within the 180-day period shall be deemed to be an approval of such a program.

The submitted program contained 21 proposed actions for noise mitigation on and off the airport. The FAA completed its review and determined that the procedural and substantive requirements of the Act and FAR Part 150 have been satisfied. The New England Region Airports Division Manager therefore approved the overall program effective May 9, 2013.

FAA’s determinations are set forth in detail in a Record of Approval endorsed by the Acting Associate Administrator on May 9, 2013. The Record of Approval, as well as other evaluation materials and the documents comprising the submittal, are available for review at the FAA office listed above and at the administrative offices of Tweed-New Haven Regional Airport.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts on May 9, 2013.

Mary Walsh,
Manager, Airports Division, FAA New England Region.

[FR Doc. 2013–12178 Filed 5–21–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Federal Railroad Administration
(Docket Number FRA–2013–0028)

Petition for Waiver of Compliance

In accordance with Part 211 of Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), this document provides the public notice that by a document received on March 19, 2013, the North Shore Railroad Company (NSHR) has petitioned the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) for a waiver of compliance from certain provisions of the Federal railroad safety regulations contained at 49 CFR part 223. FRA assigned the petition Docket Number FRA–2013–0028.

NSHR petitioned FRA to grant a waiver of compliance from the safety glazing provisions of 49 CFR 223.15, Requirements for existing passenger cars. NSHR seeks this relief for a 1954 M500-type coach car, Number ORXX 3247, which is being purchased from a private owner, Ontario Rail (ORRX), and is intended to be operated on the NSHR system.

ORXX 3247 is equipped with laminated safety glazing (plated outside and laminated inside). None of the windows opens; however, the two emergency exit windows on each end of ORXX 3247 are clearly marked and have hammers mounted on them to break out glazing under emergency conditions.

The car is 80 feet 6 inches long, and each coach has four sections with windows on either side. Windows are 27″ × 61″ and five are 27″ × 25″. Each window has dual-pane-style laminated safety glazing (plated outside and laminated inside). None of the windows opens; however, the two emergency exit windows on each end of ORXX 3247 are clearly marked and have hammers mounted on them to break out glazing under emergency conditions.

A copy of the petition, as well as any written communications concerning the petition, is available for review online at www.regulations.gov and in person at the U.S. Department of Transportation’s (DOT) Docket Operations Facility, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., W12–140, Washington, DC 20590. The Docket Operations Facility is open from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

Interested parties are invited to participate in these proceedings by submitting written views, data, or comments. FRA does not anticipate scheduling a public hearing in connection with these proceedings since the facts do not appear to warrant a hearing. If any interested party desires an opportunity for oral comment, they should notify FRA, in writing, before the end of the comment period and specify the basis for their request.

All communications concerning these proceedings should identify the appropriate docket number and may be submitted by any of the following methods:
- Web site: http://www.regulations.gov
- Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.