limited. Attendees must present valid identification and will be subject to security screening to access the Department of Labor for the meeting.

Agenda: Agenda items will include a presentation by the Council on the discussions held during the ministerial LAC meeting, and an opportunity for questions from the public on matters related to the implementation of the Labor Chapter of the U.S.-Colombia TPA.

Public Participation: The LAC will receive oral comments and questions on the agenda listed above from the audience during the meeting. The Department of Labor is also open to written comments or questions, submitted to Ryan Carrington at the address listed above, by May 28, 2013. Such written submissions will be provided to Council members and will be included in the record of the meeting.

Signed at Washington, DC, the 14th day of May 2013.

Carol Pier, Acting Deputy Undersecretary, Bureau of International Labor Affairs.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Mine Safety and Health Administration

Fees for Testing, Evaluation, and Approval of Mining Products

AGENCY: Mine Safety and Health Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) is using new authority to collect fees for services performed under 30 CFR parts 6 through 36. Section 1503 of the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act of 2013 (Pub. L. 113–6) contains new authority for 30 CFR part 5, Fees for testing, evaluation, and approval of mining products; it allows MSHA to collect fees up to $2,499,000 for the testing, evaluation, and approval of certain mining equipment. MSHA is continuing to collect these fees for 2013 as calculated according to existing 30 CFR part 5.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George F. Triebsch, Director, Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances, MSHA, at triebsch.george@dol.gov (email); 202–693–9440 (voice); or 202–693–9441 (facsimile). (These are not toll-free numbers.)

Dated: May 16, 2013.

Joseph A. Main, Assistant Secretary of Labor for Mine Safety and Health.

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

Draft 2013 Report to Congress on the Benefits and Costs of Federal Regulations and Unfunded Mandates on State, Local, and Tribal Entities

AGENCY: Executive Office of the President, Office of Management and Budget.

ACTION: Notice of availability and request for comments.


OMB requests that comments be submitted electronically to OMB by July 31, 2013 through www.regulations.gov.

DATES: To ensure consideration of comments as OMB prepares this Draft Report for submission to Congress, comments must be in writing and received by July 31, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments by one of the following methods:

• Fax: (202) 395–7285.
• Mail: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attn: Mabel Echols, NEOB, Room 10202, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503. To ensure that your comments are received, we recommend that you submit comments on this draft report be electronically submitted. All comments and recommendations submitted in response to this notice will be made available to the public, including by posting them on OMB’s Web site. For this reason, please do not include in your comments information of a confidential nature, such as sensitive personal information or proprietary information. The www.regulations.gov Web site is an “anonymous access” system, which means OMB will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. For further information, contact: Mabel Echols, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, NEOB, Room 10202, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503. Telephone: (202) 395–3741.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Congress directed the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to prepare an annual Report to Congress on the Costs and Benefits of Federal Regulations. Specifically, Section 624 of the FY 2001 Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act, also known as the “Regulatory Right-to-Know Act,” (the Act) requires OMB to submit a report on the costs and benefits of Federal regulations together with recommendations for reform. The Act states that the report should contain estimates of the costs and benefits of regulations in the aggregate, by agency and agency program, and by major rule, as well as an analysis of impacts of Federal regulation on State, local, and tribal governments, small businesses, wages, and economic growth. The Act also states that the report should be subject to notice and comment and peer review.

Dominic J. Mancini, Acting Administrator, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs.

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Proposal Review Panel Physics; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting.

Name: NSF Site Visit Review of the National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, #1208.

Date and Time: June 18, 2013—8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.; June 19, 2013—8:30 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.

Place: Michigan State University; East Lansing, MI.

Type of Meeting: Partial Closed.
A. Matters To Be Considered

These deficiencies adversely impact the quality of the safety related design information in the FSAR that is based on B&V’s tests, investigations, or other safety-related activities. Because the NRC may base its licensing decision on safety-related design information in the FSAR only if it has reasonable assurance of the quality of that information, it may not lawfully issue the COL until the deficiencies have been adequately corrected by the Applicant, or until the Applicant demonstrates that the deficiencies do not affect the quality of safety-related design information in the FSAR.

Contention 15A:

Although DTE claims that in February 2008 it adopted a QA program that conforms to Appendix B, DTE has failed to implement that program in the manner required to properly oversee the safety-related design activities of B&V. This demonstrates an ongoing lack of commitment on the part of DTE’s management to compliance with NRC QA regulations. The NRC cannot support a finding of reasonable assurance that the plant, as built, can and will be operated safely.

1 Letter from Jack M. Davis, DTE, to NRC, Detroit Edison Company Submittal of a Combined License Application for Fermi 3 (NRC Project No. 757) (Sept. 18, 2008) (ADAMS Accession No. ML082730763).