SUMMARY: This rule establishes, amends, suspends, or revokes Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAPs) and associated Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle Departure Procedures; Miscellaneous Amendments.

DATES: This rule is effective May 14, 2013. The compliance date for each SIAP, associated Takeoff Minimums, and ODP is specified in the amendatory provisions.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of May 14, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Availability of matters incorporated by reference in the amendment is as follows:

For Examination—
1. FAA Rules Docket, FAA Headquarters Building, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591;
2. The FAA Regional Office of the region in which the affected airport is located;
3. The National Flight Procedures Office, 6500 South MacArthur Blvd., Oklahoma City, OK 73169 or,
4. The National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to:

Availability—All SIAPs and Takeoff Minimums and ODPs are available online free of charge. Visit http://www.faa.gov to register.

Additionally, individual SIAP and Takeoff Minimums and ODP copies may be obtained from:

DURING: FAA Headquarters Building, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591; or
2. The FAA Regional Office of the region in which the affected airport is located.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Richard A. Dunham III, Flight Procedure Standards Branch (AFS–420), Flight Technologies and Programs Divisions, Flight Standards Service, Federal Aviation Administration, Mike Monroney Aeronautical Center, 6500 South MacArthur Blvd., Oklahoma City, OK 73169 (Mail Address: P.O. Box 25082, Oklahoma City, OK 73125) Telephone: (405) 954–4164.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This rule amends Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations, part 97 (14 CFR part 97), by establishing, amending, suspending, or revoking SIAPs, Takeoff Minimums and/or ODPS. The complete regulators description of each SIAP and its associated Takeoff Minimums or ODP for an identified airport is listed on FAA form documents which are incorporated by reference in this amendment under 5 U.S.C. 552(a), 1 CFR part 51, and 14 CFR part 97.20. The applicable FAA Forms are FAA Form 8260–3, 8260–4, 8260–5, 8260–15A, and 8260–15B when required by an entry on 8260–15A.

The large number of SIAPs, Takeoff Minimums and ODPs, in addition to their complex and the need for a special format make publication in the Federal Register expensive and
**The Rule**

This amendment to 14 CFR part 97 is effective upon publication of each separate SIAP, Takeoff Minimums and ODP as contained in the transmittal. Some SIAP and Takeoff Minimums and ODP amendments may have been issued previously by the FAA in a Flight Data Center (FDC) Notice to Airmen (NOTAM) as an emergency action of immediate flight safety relating directly to published aeronautical charts. The circumstances which created the need for some SIAP and Takeoff Minimums and ODP amendments may require making them effective in less than 30 days. For the remaining SIAPs and Takeoff Minimums and ODPs, an effective date at least 30 days after publication is provided.

Further, the SIAPs and Takeoff Minimums and ODPs contained in this amendment are based on the criteria contained in the U.S. Standard for Terminal Instrument Procedures (TERPS). In developing these SIAPs and Takeoff Minimums and ODPs, the TERPS criteria were applied to the conditions existing or anticipated at the affected airports. Because of the close and immediate relationship between these SIAPs, Takeoff Minimums and ODPs, and safety in air commerce, I find that notice and public procedures before adopting these SIAPs, Takeoff Minimums and ODPs are impracticable and contrary to the public interest and, where applicable, that good cause exists for making some SIAPs effective in less than 30 days.

**Conclusion**

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) is not a “significant regulatory action” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. For the same reason, the FAA certifies that this amendment will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

**List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 97**

Air Traffic Control, Airports, Incorporation by reference, and Navigation (Air).

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 26, 2013.

John M. Allen, Director, Flight Standards Service.

**Adoption of the Amendment**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations, part 97 (14 CFR part 97) is amended by establishing, amending, suspending, or revoking Standard Instrument Approach Procedures and/or Takeoff Minimums and/or Obstacle Departure Procedures effective at 0900 UTC on the dates specified, as follows:

**PART 97—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES**

1. The authority citation for part 97 continues to read as follows:

   Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40106, 40113, 40114, 40120, 44502, 44514, 44701, 44719, 44721–44722.

2. Part 97 is amended to read as follows:

   * * * Effective 30 MAY 2013

   Orlando, FL, Orlando Sanford Intl, ILS OR LOC RWY 9L, Amdt 4
   Orlando, FL, Orlando Sanford Intl, ILS OR LOC RWY 9R, Amdt 1
   Orlando, FL, Orlando Sanford Intl, ILS OR LOC RWY 27R, Amdt 3
   Orlando, FL, Orlando Sanford Intl, RNAV (GPS) RWY 9L, Amdt 3
   Orlando, FL, Orlando Sanford Intl, RNAV (GPS) RWY 9R, Amdt 1
   Orlando, FL, Orlando Sanford Intl, RNAV (GPS) RWY 27R, Amdt 3

   Sebring, FL, Sebring Rgnl, RNAV (RNP) Rwy 19, Amdt 1
   Zephyrhills, FL, Zephyrhills Muni, RNAV (GPS) RWY 4, Orig-B
   Atlanta, GA, Hartsfield—Jackson Atlanta Intl, RNAV (RNP) Z RWY 8R, Amdt 1
   Atlanta, GA, Hartsfield—Jackson Atlanta Intl, RNAV (RNP) Z RWY 9L, Amdt 1
   Atlanta, GA, Hartsfield—Jackson Atlanta Intl, RNAV (RNP) Z RWY 9R, Amdt 1
   Atlanta, GA, Hartsfield—Jackson Atlanta Intl, RNAV (RNP) Z RWY 10, Amdt 2
   Atlanta, GA, Hartsfield—Jackson Atlanta Intl, RNAV (RNP) Z RWY 26L, Amdt 1

   Atlanta, GA, Hartsfield—Jackson Atlanta Intl, RNAV (RNP) Z RWY 26R, Amdt 1
   Atlanta, GA, Hartsfield—Jackson Atlanta Intl, RNAV (RNP) Z RWY 27L, Amdt 2
   Atlanta, GA, Hartsfield—Jackson Atlanta Intl, RNAV (RNP) Z RWY 27R, Amdt 1
   Camilla, GA, Camilla-Mitchell County, RNAV (GPS) RWY 26, Amdt 1A
   Chicago/West Chicago, IL, Dupage, RNAV (GPS) RWY 10, Orig-B
   Chicago/West Chicago, IL, Dupage, RNAV (GPS) RWY 20L, Orig-A
   Frankfort, KY, Capital City, RNAV (GPS) RWY 7, Amdt 2
   Frankfort, KY, Capital City, RNAV (GPS) RWY 25, Amdt 2
   Lafayette, LA, Lafayette Rgnl, ILS OR LOC/DME RWY 4R, Amdt 2
   Kaiser Lake Ozark, MO, Lee C Fine Memorial, RNAV (GPS) RWY 4, Amdt 1
   Kaiser Lake Ozark, MO, Lee C Fine Memorial, RNAV (GPS) RWY 22, Amdt 1
   Petersburg, AK, Petersburg James A Johnson, LDA/DME–D, Amdt 7
   Petersburg, AK, Petersburg James A Johnson, Petersburg One, Graphic DP
   Petersburg, AK, Petersburg James A Johnson, RNAV (GPS)–B, Amdt 1
   Petersburg, AK, Petersburg James A Johnson, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 4
   Petersburg, AK, Petersburg James A Johnson, ZARUT ONE, Graphic DP, CANCELED
   Wrangell, AK, Wrangell, LDA/DME–C, Amdt 8
   Wrangell, AK, Wrangell, LDA/DME–D, Amdt 7
   Wrangell, AK, Wrangell, LEVEL ISLAND TWO, Graphic DP
   Wrangell, AK, Wrangell, RNAV (GPS) RWY 10, Orig
   Wrangell, AK, Wrangell, RNAV (GPS)–A, Orig, CANCELED
   Dothan, AL, Dothan Rgnl, COPTER VOR RWY 36, Amdt 1
   Miami, FL, Miami Intl, ILS OR LOC RWY 9, Amdt 10
   Miami, FL, Miami Intl, RNAV (GPS) RWY 9, Amdt 1
   Mayfield, KY, Mayfield Graves County, RNAV (GPS) RWY 19, Amdt 1
   Mayfield, KY, Mayfield Graves County, RNAV (GPS) Y RWY 1, Amdt 1
   Mayfield, KY, Mayfield Graves County, RNAV (GPS) Z RWY 1, Orig
   Mayfield, KY, Mayfield Graves County, Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle DP, Amdt 3
DEPARTMENT OF STATE

22 CFR Part 62

RIN 1400—AD28

[Public Notice 8322]

Exchange Visitor Program—Fees and Charges

AGENCY: Department of State.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of State (Department) is revising regulations to increase the Application Fee for Sponsor Designation or Redesignation and the Administrative Fee for Exchange Visitor (J–1 Visa Holder) Benefits assessed for providing Exchange Visitor Program services, in order to recoup the costs incurred by the Department’s Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs associated with operating the Exchange Visitor Program.

DATES: Effective Date: This rule is effective June 13, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robin J. Lerner, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Private Sector Exchange, U.S. Department of State, SA–5, Floor 5, 2200 C Street NW., Washington, DC 20522. 202–632–9290, or email at JExchanges@state.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department published a proposed rule on January 30, 2013 (RIN 1400–AD28; 78 FR 6263), with a request for comments, to amend 22 CFR 62.17 (“Fees and Charges”) to increase fees to recover the costs of administrative processing of requests for program designation or redesignation, and certain services for exchange visitor benefits. These costs were calculated by an independent, certified public accounting firm following the guidelines set forth in Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A–25 regarding such fee calculation.

The Department received thirteen comments and is now promulgating a final rule with no changes from the proposed rule. Thus, the application fee charged to U.S. corporate entities will increase to $3,982.00 for program designation and redesignation. The individual program services fee paid by foreign nationals will increase to $367.00 for services such as change of program category, program extensions and reinstatements.

Comment Analysis

The Department received thirteen comments. One comment suggested that the Exchange Visitor Program be shut down and the other, from a foreign national, requested assistance on visas and travel. These comments were not responsive to the proposed rule.

Three comments represented the academic community and supported the proposed rule. One commenter stated that the fees should be adopted and believes that the Department cannot prevent abuses to the program if the Office of Designation limits itself, as it does now, to some 13 staff members monitoring more than 1,400 separate and distinct sponsors. Two comments did not object to the increases, but requested that sufficient time be allowed so that academic institutions could properly budget for the 47% increase in the application fee. The Department’s fee schedule is reviewed and implemented on a two-year cycle. Delaying the fee increases for all sponsors is not feasible.

In addition, one of the three commenters who expressed support for the proposed rule requested clarification as to whether designation fees paid by private sector program sponsors were also meant to cover the cost of administering U.S. Government exchange programs. Designation fees paid by private sector program sponsors do not currently fund the administration of U.S. Government exchange programs, and the Department does not anticipate that private sector programs would cover the cost of administering such exchange programs in the future.

A total of eight comments oppose the proposed increase in fees. One comment inquired about the purpose of increasing the application fee since the Department has imposed a moratorium on new sponsor applications for the Summer Work Travel category of the Exchange Visitor Program. Once the Department has completed the comprehensive review of the Summer Work Travel category, it is anticipated that the moratorium will be lifted.

Another comment opposed the increase and stated that the opposition was “due to the Department’s failure to adequately demonstrate its best use of resources and lack of timely and knowledgeable response time to questions and application requests.” According to this commenter, the requirement to provide increased oversight of the Exchange Visitor Program over the last two years has diverted resources away from the administrative processing of stakeholder requests. The increase in fees is designed to facilitate the hiring of additional staff to manage the administrative workload in a timely fashion, increase the Office of Designation’s efficiency and enhance the office’s customer service. Five