DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–933]

Frontseating Service Valves From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (“the Department”) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on frontseating service valves from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”). The period of review (“POR”) is April 1, 2011, through March 31, 2012. The review covers two exporters of subject merchandise, Zhejiang DunAn Hetian Metal Co., Ltd. (“DunAn”) and Zhejiang Sanhua Co., Ltd. (“Sanhua”). The Department preliminarily finds that DunAn did not have reviewable transactions during the POR. In addition, we preliminarily determine that Sanhua made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value during the POR.

DATES: Effective Date: May 13, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laurel LaCivita, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4243.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is frontseating service valves, assembled or unassembled, complete or incomplete, and certain parts thereof of any size, configuration, material composition or connection type.1 Frontseating service valves are classified under subheading 8481.80.1095, and also have been classified under HTSUS subheadings 8481.90.1000, 8481.90.3000, or 8481.90.5000. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, but the written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.2

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments for DunAn

DunAn submitted a timely-filed certification indicating that it had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.3 Consistent with its practice, the Department asked U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) to conduct a query on potential shipments made by DunAn during the POR; CBP did not provide any evidence that contradicts DunAn’s claim of no shipments.4 Further, on August 2, 2012, the Department released to interested parties the results of the CBP query that it intended to use for corroboration of DunAn’s no shipment claims.5 The Department received no comments from interested parties concerning the results of the CBP query.

Based on DunAn’s certification and our analysis of CBP information, we preliminarily determine that DunAn did not have any reviewable transactions during the POR. In addition, the Department finds that, consistent with its recently announced refinement to its assessment practice in non-market economy (“NME”) cases, it is appropriate not to rescind the review in part in this circumstance, but rather to complete the review with respect to DunAn and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of the review.6

Methodology

The Department has conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”). Constructed

1 See Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review issued concurrently with this notice for a complete description of the Scope of the Order.


4 See CBP Message Number, 2240301, dated 08/27/2012.


export prices have been calculated in accordance with section 772(b) of the Act. Because the PRC is a NME within the meaning of section 771(18) of the Act, normal value has been calculated in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act. Specifically, the respondents’ factors of production have been valued in the Philippines (when available), which is a market economy country that is economically comparable to the PRC and is a significant producer of comparable merchandise.7 To determine the appropriate comparison method, the Department applied a “differential pricing” analysis and has preliminarily determined to use the average-to-average method in making comparisons of constructed export price and normal value for Sanhua.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our preliminary determinations, please see “Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of 2011–2012 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Frontseating Service Valves from the People’s Republic of China,” from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated concurrent with this notice (“Preliminary Decision Memorandum”) and hereby adopted by this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Import Administration’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (“IA ACCESS”). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at http://iaaccess.trade.gov and in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at http://www.trade.gov/ia/. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### Preliminary Results of Review

The Department preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the POR April 1, 2011, through March 31, 2012:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter</th>
<th>Weighted average dumping margin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zhejiang Sanhua Co., Ltd. ...</td>
<td>9.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department intends to disclose to the parties the calculations performed for these preliminary results within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Interested parties may submit written comments no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results of review.8 Rebuttals to written comments may be filed no later than five days after the written comments are filed.9

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice.10 Hearing requests should contain the following information: (1) The party’s name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230.11

Unless otherwise extended, the Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

### Deadline for Submission of Publicly Available Surrogate Value Information

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3)(ii), the deadline for submission of publicly available information to value factors of production under 19 CFR 351.408(c) is 20 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.301(c)(1), if an interested party submits factual information less than ten days before, on, or after (if the Department has extended the deadline), the applicable deadline for submission of such factual information, an interested party may submit factual information to rebut, clarify, or correct the factual information no later than ten days after such factual information is served on the interested party. However, the Department generally will not accept in the rebuttal submission additional or alternative surrogate value information not previously on the record, if the deadline for submission of surrogate value information has passed.12 Furthermore, the Department generally will not accept business proprietary information in either the surrogate value submissions or the rebuttals thereto, as the regulation regarding the submission of surrogate values allows only for the submission of publicly available information.13

### Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results of this review, the Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.14 The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

For any individually examined respondent whose weighted-average dumping margin is above de minimis, we will calculate importer-specific ad valorem duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer’s examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).15 For duty assessment rates calculated on this basis, we will direct CBP to assess the resulting ad valorem rate against the entered customs values for the subject merchandise.

The Department recently announced a refinement to its assessment practice in NME cases. Pursuant to this refinement in practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate. In addition, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter’s case

---

7 See Memorandum to Eugene Degnan, “Request for a List of Surrogate Countries for an Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Frontseating Service Valves (‘FSV’) from the People’s Republic of China (‘China’), dated August 29, 2012 (‘Surrogate Country Memorandum’).

8 See 19 CFR 351.309(c).

9 See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

10 See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

11 See 19 CFR 351.310(d)(1).

12 See, e.g., Glycine from the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Recision, in Part, 72 FR 58809 (October 17, 2007), and accompanying issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 2.

13 See 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3).

14 See 19 CFR 351.312(b)(1).

15 In these preliminary results, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).
number (i.e., at that exporter’s rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.\textsuperscript{16}

The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements, when imposed, will apply to all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For DunAn, which claimed no shipments, the cash deposit rate will remain unchanged from the rate assigned to DunAn in the most recently completed review of the company; (2) for Sanhua, which has a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the one established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or de minimis, then zero cash deposit will be required); (3) for any previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporter that is not under review in this segment of the proceeding but that received a separate rate in a previous segment, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (4) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 55.62 percent, which is rate assigned to the PRC-Wide Entity in the investigation;\textsuperscript{17} and (5) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter(s) that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing notice of these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 2, 2013.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix I—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Background
2. Scope of the Order
3. Non-Market Economy Country
4. Separate Rates
5. Surrogate Country and Surrogate Value Data
6. Surrogate Country
7. Economic Comparability
8. Significant Producers of Identical or Comparable Merchandise
9. Data Availability
10. Date of Sale
11. Comparisons to Normal Value
12. Constructed Export Price
13. Normal Value
14. Factor Valuations
15. Currency Conversion

\[\text{FR Doc. 2013–11194 Filed 5–10–13; 8:45 am}\]

BILLING CODE 3510–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648–BD25
Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Amendment 39 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Reef Fish Resources of the Gulf of Mexico

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare a draft environmental impact statement (DEIS); notice of public hearings; request for comments.

SUMMARY: NMFS, Southeast Region, in collaboration with the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council (Council) intends to prepare a DEIS to describe and analyze a range of alternatives for management actions to be included in Amendment 39 to the Fishery Management Plan (FMP) for the Reef Fish Resources of the Gulf of Mexico (Amendment 39). The purpose of this NOI is to inform the public of upcoming opportunities to provide comments on the actions to be addressed in the DEIS, as specified in this notice.

DATES: Written comments on the scope of issues to be addressed in the DEIS must be received by NMFS by June 12, 2013.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments on this document, identified by “NOAA–NMFS–2013–0078”, by any of the following methods:

Electronic Submission: Submit all electronic public comments via the Federal e-Rulemaking Portal. Go to www.regulations.gov/#docketDetail?D=NOAA-NMFS-2013-0078, click the “Comment Now!” icon, complete the required fields, and enter or attach your comments.

Mail: Submit written comments to Cynthia Meyer, Southeast Regional Office, NMFS, 263 13th Avenue South, St., Petersburg, FL 33701.

Instructions: Comments sent by any other method, to any other address or individual, or received after the end of the comment period, may not be considered by NMFS. All comments received are a part of the public record and will generally be posted for public viewing on www.regulations.gov without change. All personal identifying information (e.g., name, address, etc.), confidential business information, or otherwise sensitive information submitted voluntarily by the sender will be publicly accessible. NMFS will accept anonymous comments (enter “N/A” in the required fields if you wish to remain anonymous). Attachments to electronic comments will be accepted in Microsoft Word, Excel, or Adobe PDF file formats only.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cynthia Meyer: phone: (727) 824–5305; email: Cynthia.Meyer@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Currently, Federal management measures for the recreational harvest of red snapper are consistent for the entire Gulf exclusive economic zone (EEZ). These management measures include a fishing season, bag limit, and minimum size limit. Since 1996, the recreational fishing season for red snapper has become progressively shorter. Shorter seasons have continued despite an annual increase in the quota since 2010, because the quota continues to be harvested in a shorter amount of time.

In Amendment 39, the Council is considering management measures to modify and facilitate management of the recreational red snapper component of the reef fish fishery by reorganizing the Federal fishery management strategy to better account for biological, social, and economic differences among the regions of the Gulf of Mexico (Gulf), Regional


\textsuperscript{17} See Prousealting Service Valves from the People’s Republic of China: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Negative Determination of Critical Circumstances, 74 FR 10886 (March 13, 2009) (“Final Determination”).