

collocating equipment on antennae structures owned by non-Federal entities, it can only implement BMPs for the structure in accordance with the owner's willingness, structural capability, and zoning restrictions.

#### *Additional Clarifications*

In section V, "Implementation," CBP made minor wording changes to further clarify that the selected alternative describes the lines of activity that CBP believes it would take in response to future changes in the threat environment and security priorities.

Also, in section II, "Factors Considered in the Decision," the ROD now reiterates the theme that partnerships and intelligence are a vital part of resolving emerging cross-border threats prior to them reaching the border.

#### **Technical Corrections to the PEIS**

During its deliberations, CBP found that certain technical corrections to the Final PEIS were needed. These technical corrections to the PEIS ensure that the PEIS accurately describes CBP activities and the preparation of the PEIS itself. The technical corrections are confined to: (1) The description of certain technologies used for inspecting vehicles and cargo, and (2) the list of government personnel involved in the preparation of the Final PEIS and Final ROD.

The technical corrections CBP is making to the Final PEIS do not change any impact determinations in the PEIS. Accordingly, CBP will not reissue the PEIS for public input. CBP has incorporated the technical corrections, as they are described below, into the online version of the PEIS.

#### *Gamma imaging and X-ray Inspection Technologies*

On page 2–11 and in the table on page 2–12 of the Final PEIS, the discussion of inspection technologies included in the Detection, Inspection, Surveillance, and Communications Technology Expansion Alternative was amended to better describe CBP's use of gamma imaging inspection systems and X-ray technologies.

The bullet at the bottom of page 2–11 explains why CBP evaluates the usefulness of commercial off the shelf technologies. In order to reflect the proper application of X-ray scanners by CBP, the bullet at the bottom of page 2–11 was amended so it now reads as follows: "Performing inspections using more personal radiation detectors (PRD), RIIDs and NII tools such as gamma imaging inspection systems, and low and high energy x-ray inspection

systems (see box on page 2–12). (CBP completed Programmatic Environmental Assessments (EA) on the deployment of various types of NII technology in 2010 and recently published a programmatic EA for the use of low energy x-ray inspection systems to scan personally owned vehicles (POVs) with the driver/passenger in the vehicle.)"<sup>1</sup>

Page 2–12 of the PEIS discusses gamma imaging inspection systems and uses Vehicle and Cargo Inspection System® (VACIS) as the operative example. "Gamma imaging inspection system" is the general description of the impacting technology. VACIS® is merely the proprietary name for a particular brand of gamma imaging inspection system. Therefore, the PEIS should have used the more general term "gamma imaging inspection system" throughout the discussion. Accordingly, the relevant passage on page 2–12 was amended so it now reads: "Gamma Imaging Inspection Systems—The gamma imaging inspection system is used to scan cargo. It can be delivered as a portal or on tracks for POEs, or mounted on a truck to be used at multiple, temporary, and/or remote locations as well as POEs. The truck-mounted system can be especially useful for those situations where the container itself is fixed."<sup>2</sup>

The discussion of X-Ray inspection technologies on page 2–12 of the PEIS incorrectly asserted that high energy X-Ray inspections systems (HEXRIS) were used by CBP to perform body scans. Neither high energy nor low-energy X-ray systems are used for body scan imaging. LEXRIS are used to scan personally owned vehicles at ports of entry while the drivers or passengers remain in their vehicles. Therefore, the discussion of HEXRIS was revised to state: "X-Ray Imaging Systems—High Energy X-Ray Inspection Systems (HEXRIS) is a non-intrusive inspection technology for use to aid in inspecting high-density cargo containers. Low Energy X-Ray Systems are utilized to

<sup>1</sup> This passage previously stated: "Processing visitors and cargo more rapidly while maintaining strict security by using more and improved personal radiation detectors (PRD), RIIDs, and NII tools, such as high-energy container scanners and full-body scanners (see box). (CBP completed a programmatic Environmental Assessment (EA) on the deployment of various types of NII technology in 2009 and recently published EAs for the use of high-energy scanners for both cargo and people.)"

<sup>2</sup> This passage previously stated: "Vehicle and Cargo Inspection System—This is a gamma-ray backscatter imaging system used for inspecting cargoes. It can be delivered as a portal for POEs or mounted on a truck to be used at multiple, temporary, and/or remote locations. The truck-mounted system can be especially useful for those situations where the container itself is fixed, such as a railroad car."

scan personally owned vehicles (POVs)."<sup>3</sup>

Also, on page 8–197, in the paragraph beginning, "Use NII Technology," the phrase "high-energy X-ray imaging systems" should be "high-energy inspection systems."

#### *List of Preparers*

A number of government personnel who contributed to the preparation of the Final PEIS were inadvertently omitted from the Chapter 11 List of Preparers in the Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement. This notice amends the Final PEIS Preparers table to add the following personnel according to their name and description of their associated professional experience:

- Paula Bienenfeld (Parsons), Ph.D., Anthropology—32 years: archeology; NHPA Section 106 consultation, NEPA document preparation, analysis, and review;
- Jennifer Hass (CBP), M.S. Environmental Law; J.D.—6 years: environmental planning, environmental program management, environmental issue advocacy, NEPA document preparation, analysis, and review;
- John Petrilla (CBP), B.S. Environmental Economics and Policy, M.P.P. Policy Studies—5 years: environmental planning and compliance; NEPA document preparation, analysis, and review; and
- Joseph Zidron (CBP), Masters of Public Administration—5 years: environmental planning and compliance; NEPA document preparation, analysis, and review.

Dated: May 6, 2013.

**Karl H. Calvo,**

*Executive Director, Facilities Management and Engineering, Office of Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2013–11115 Filed 5–9–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9111–14–P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT**

[Docket No. FR–5681–N–19]

### **Federal Property Suitable as Facilities To Assist the Homeless**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

<sup>3</sup> This passage previously stated: "High-Energy X-Ray Imaging Scanners—High-energy imaging scanners scan a passenger by rastering or moving a single high-energy X-ray beam rapidly over the body. The signal strength of detected backscattered X-rays from a known position then allows a highly realistic image to be reconstructed (EPIC, 2010)."

**SUMMARY:** This Notice identifies unutilized, underutilized, excess, and surplus Federal property reviewed by HUD for suitability for use to assist the homeless.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Juanita Perry, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street SW., Room 7266, Washington, DC 20410; telephone (202) 402-3970; TTY number for the hearing- and speech-impaired (202) 708-2565 (these telephone numbers are not toll-free), or call the toll-free Title V information line at 800-927-7588.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In accordance with 24 CFR part 581 and section 501 of the Stewart B. McKinney Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11411), as amended, HUD is publishing this Notice to identify Federal buildings and other real property that HUD has reviewed for suitability for use to assist the homeless. The properties were reviewed using information provided to HUD by Federal landholding agencies regarding unutilized and underutilized buildings and real property controlled by such agencies or by GSA regarding its inventory of excess or surplus Federal property. This Notice is also published in order to comply with the December 12, 1988 Court Order in *National Coalition for the Homeless v. Veterans Administration*, No. 88-2503-OG (D.D.C.).

Properties reviewed are listed in this Notice according to the following categories: Suitable/available, suitable/unavailable, and suitable/to be excess, and unsuitable. The properties listed in the three suitable categories have been reviewed by the landholding agencies, and each agency has transmitted to HUD: (1) Its intention to make the property available for use to assist the homeless, (2) its intention to declare the property excess to the agency's needs, or (3) a statement of the reasons that the property cannot be declared excess or made available for use as facilities to assist the homeless.

Properties listed as suitable/available will be available exclusively for homeless use for a period of 60 days from the date of this Notice. Where property is described as for "off-site use only" recipients of the property will be required to relocate the building to their own site at their own expense. Homeless assistance providers interested in any such property should send a written expression of interest to HHS, addressed to Theresa Ritta, Office of Enterprise Support Programs, Program Support Center, HHS, room 12-07, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857; (301) 443-2265. (This is not

a toll-free number.) HHS will mail to the interested provider an application packet, which will include instructions for completing the application. In order to maximize the opportunity to utilize a suitable property, providers should submit their written expressions of interest as soon as possible. For complete details concerning the processing of applications, the reader is encouraged to refer to the interim rule governing this program, 24 CFR part 581.

For properties listed as suitable/to be excess, that property may, if subsequently accepted as excess by GSA, be made available for use by the homeless in accordance with applicable law, subject to screening for other Federal use. At the appropriate time, HUD will publish the property in a Notice showing it as either suitable/available or suitable/unavailable.

For properties listed as suitable/unavailable, the landholding agency has decided that the property cannot be declared excess or made available for use to assist the homeless, and the property will not be available.

Properties listed as unsuitable will not be made available for any other purpose for 20 days from the date of this Notice. Homeless assistance providers interested in a review by HUD of the determination of unsuitability should call the toll free information line at 1-800-927-7588 for detailed instructions or write a letter to Ann Marie Oliva at the address listed at the beginning of this Notice. Included in the request for review should be the property address (including zip code), the date of publication in the **Federal Register**, the landholding agency, and the property number.

For more information regarding particular properties identified in this Notice (i.e., acreage, floor plan, existing sanitary facilities, exact street address), providers should contact the appropriate landholding agencies at the following addresses: *Agriculture:* Ms. Brenda Carignan, Department of Agriculture, Reporters Building, 300 7th Street SW., Room 337, Washington, DC 20024, (202) 401-0787; *Coast Guard:* Commandant, United States Coast Guard, Attn: Jennifer Stomber, 2100 Second St. SW., Stop 7901, Washington, DC 20593-0001; (202) 475-5609; *NASA:* Mr. Frank T. Bellinger, Facilities Engineering Division, National Aeronautics & Space Administration, Code JX, Washington, DC 20546, (202) 358-1124; (This is not toll-free numbers).

Dated: May 2, 2013.

**Mark Johnston,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Special Needs.*

**TITLE V, FEDERAL SURPLUS PROPERTY PROGRAM FEDERAL REGISTER REPORT FOR 05/10/2013**

**Suitable/Available Properties**

*Building*

California

Laufman Wildlife Office  
446525 Milford Grade Rd.  
Milford CA 96121

Landholding Agency: Agriculture  
Property Number: 15201320003  
Status: Unutilized

Comments: Off-site removal; 1,285 sf.; office; 60 months vacant; very poor conditions; repairs a must; rodents w/Hanta virus presence

Water Treatment Building  
446525 Milford Grade Rd.  
Milford CA 96121

Landholding Agency: Agriculture  
Property Number: 15201320005  
Status: Excess

Comments: Off-site removal; 216 sf.; utility water treatment; 240 months vacant; very poor conditions; major repairs needed; Hanta virus presence

Laufman Paper Storage  
446525 Milford Grade Rd.  
Milford CA 96121

Landholding Agency: Agriculture  
Property Number: 15201320006  
Status: Unutilized

Comments: Off-site removal; 85 sf.; storage; 240 months vacant; very poor conditions; rodents w/Hanta virus

Office  
446525 Milford Grade Rd.  
Milford CA 96121

Landholding Agency: Agriculture  
Property Number: 15201320008  
Status: Unutilized

Comments: Off-site removal; 2,057 sf.; office; 36 months vacant; very poor conditions; repairs a must; rodents w/Hanta virus presence

Laufman Engine Bay Warehouse  
446525 Milford Grade Rd.  
Milford CA 96121

Landholding Agency: Agriculture  
Property Number: 15201320009  
Status: Unutilized

Comments: Off-site removal; 2,801 sf.; storage; 60 months vacant; very poor conditions; repairs a must; rodents w/Hanta virus

Laufman Flammable Storage  
446525 Milford Grade Rd.  
Milford CA 96121

Landholding Agency: Agriculture  
Property Number: 15201320010  
Status: Excess

Comments: Off-site removal; 36 months vacant; fire station; very poor conditions; major repairs needed; rodents w/Hanta virus presence

Laufman Barracks  
446525 Milford Grade Rd.  
Milford CA 96121

Landholding Agency: Agriculture

Property Number: 15201320011  
 Status: Unutilized  
 Comments: Off-site removal; 2,112 sf.; barracks; 60 months vacant; very poor conditions; need major repairs; rodents w/ Hanta virus presence

Laufman Warehouse  
 446525 Milford Grade Rd.  
 Milford CA 96121  
 Landholding Agency: Agriculture  
 Property Number: 15201320012  
 Status: Unutilized  
 Comments: Off-site removal; 1,836 sf.; storage; 60 months vacant; lead-based paint; very poor conditions; unidentified chemical spills; rodents w/Hanta virus presence

Laufman Fuelwood Storage  
 446525 Milford Grade Rd.  
 Milford CA 96121  
 Landholding Agency: Agriculture  
 Property Number: 15201320013  
 Status: Unutilized  
 Comments: Off-site removal; 176 sf.; storage; dilapidated; 60 months vacant; major repairs needed; rodents w/Hanta virus presence

Laupman Timber Office  
 446525 Milford Grade Rd.  
 Milford CA 96121  
 Landholding Agency: Agriculture  
 Property Number: 15201320014  
 Status: Excess  
 Comments: Off-site removal; 1,028 sf.; office; 60 months vacant; deteriorated; no roof; repairs a must; rodents w/Hanta virus presence

Shed  
 446525 Milford Grade Rd.  
 Milford CA 96121  
 Landholding Agency: Agriculture  
 Property Number: 15201320015  
 Status: Unutilized  
 Comments: Off-site removal; 80 sf.; shed; 120 months vacant; very poor conditions; rodents w/Hanta virus presence

Laufman Fire Office  
 446525 Milford Grade Rd.  
 Milford CA 96121  
 Landholding Agency: Agriculture  
 Property Number: 15201320016  
 Status: Unutilized  
 Comments: Off-site removal; 700 sf.; storage; 60 months vacant; very poor conditions; lead-based paint; repairs a must; rodents w/Hanta virus presence

Laufman Silviculture  
 446525 Milford Grade Rd.  
 Milford CA 96121  
 Landholding Agency: Agriculture  
 Property Number: 15201320017  
 Status: Excess  
 Comments: Off-site removal; 1,478 sf.; 60 months vacant; very poor conditions; repairs a must; rodents w/Hanta virus presence

Laufman Upper Pumphouse  
 446525 Milford Grade Rd.  
 Milford CA 96121  
 Landholding Agency: Agriculture  
 Property Number: 15201320019  
 Status: Unutilized  
 Comments: Off-site removal; 96 sf.; utility; 60 months vacant; very poor conditions; rodents w/Hanta virus presence

### Unsuitable Properties

*Building*  
 Ohio  
 2 Buildings  
 Glenn Research Center  
 Rye Beach Island OH 44839  
 Landholding Agency: NASA  
 Property Number: 71201320001  
 Status: Unutilized  
 Directions: Facilities 8132 and 8170  
 Comments: w/in secured area; public access denied & no alternative method to gain access without compromising nat'l security  
 Reasons: Secured Area  
 Tennessee  
 U.S. Coast Guard Paris Landing  
 700 Coast Guard Rd.  
 Buchanan TN 38222  
 Landholding Agency: Coast Guard  
 Property Number: 88201320003  
 Status: Excess  
 Comments: Public access denied & no alternative method to gain access w/out compromising nat'l security  
 Reasons: Secured Area  
 [FR Doc. 2013-10865 Filed 5-9-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement

[13XE1700DX EEEE600000  
 EX1SF0000.DSA000]

### Final Safety Culture Policy Statement

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement (BSEE), Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement (BSEE) issues this Final Statement of Policy to announce its expectation that individuals and organizations performing or overseeing activities regulated by BSEE establish and maintain a positive safety culture commensurate with the significance of their activities and the nature and complexity of their organizations and functions. The BSEE defines safety culture as the core values and behaviors of all members of an organization that reflect a commitment to conducting business in a safe and environmentally responsible manner. Further, it is important for all lessees, the owners or holders of operating rights, designated operators or agents of the lessee(s), pipeline right-of-way holders, State lessees granted a right-of-use and easement, and contractors to foster in personnel an appreciation for the importance of safety and environmental stewardship, emphasizing the need for

their integration into performance objectives to achieve optimal protection and production.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Keith Petka, Safety and Environmental Management Systems Branch at (703) 787-1736, or by email at [SEMS@bsee.gov](mailto:SEMS@bsee.gov).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

On December 20, 2012, BSEE published a Notice in the **Federal Register** requesting comments on its Draft Statement of Policy announcing the expectation that individuals and organizations performing or overseeing activities regulated by BSEE establish and maintain a positive safety culture commensurate with the significance of their activities and the nature and complexity of their organizations and functions [77 FR 75443]. The comment period for this notice closed on March 20, 2013.

#### II. Summary of Comments on Draft Safety Culture Policy Statement

In response to the **Federal Register** notice, BSEE received 32 sets of comments from oil and gas companies (operators and contractors), industry associations, environmental organizations, and individuals. In the following section, we address the general comments by topic and discuss any changes made to the Policy Statement based on these comments. Comments that are not related to the notice or that are outside the scope of the policy statement are not addressed. All of the comments BSEE received are posted on [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), under docket number BSEE-2012-0017.

#### Comments by Topic

##### Support for BSEE's Issuance of Draft Safety Culture Policy Statement

A majority of commenters approved of BSEE's publication of the draft safety culture policy statement and identified it as an important starting point to initiate substantial discussions focused on improving the safety culture on the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS).

##### Nine Safety Culture Characteristics

The majority of commenters expressed agreement with the nine characteristics of safety culture that BSEE listed in the policy statement. Some commenters recommended modifications to the safety culture characteristics, such as the need for equipment control and integrity. In response to these comments, BSEE has altered the title of characteristic two from "Problem Identification and