

automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Norman S. Dong,
Deputy Controller.

[FR Doc. 2013-10993 Filed 5-8-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3110-01-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Comment Request: Antarctic Conservation Act Application Permit Form

AGENCY: National Science Foundation.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The National Science Foundation (NSF) is announcing plans to request renewed clearance of this collection. In accordance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we are providing opportunity for public comment on this action. After obtaining and considering public comment, NSF will prepare the submission requesting OMB clearance of this collection for no longer than 3 years.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be received by July 8, 2013 to be assured of consideration. Comments received after that date will be considered to the extent practicable.

ADDRESSES: Written comments regarding the information collection and requests for copies of the proposed information collection request should be addressed to Suzanne Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Rm. 295, Arlington, VA 22230, or by email to splimpto@nsf.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Suzanne Plimpton on (703) 292-7556 or send email to splimpto@nsf.gov. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-

8339, which is accessible 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days a year (including federal holidays).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title of Collection: "Antarctic Conservation Act Application Permit Form."

OMB Approval Number: 3145-0034.
Expiration Date of Approval: August 31, 2013.

Type of Request: Intent to seek approval to extend an information collection for three years.

Proposed Project: The current Antarctic Conservation Act Application Permit Form (NSF 1078) has been in use for several years. The form requests general information, such as name, affiliation, location, etc., and more specific information as to the type of object to be taken (plant, native mammal, or native bird).

Use of the Information

The purpose of the regulations (45 CFR 670) is to conserve and protect the native mammals, birds, plants, and invertebrates of Antarctica and the ecosystem upon which they depend and to implement the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978, Public Law 95-541, as amended by the Antarctic Science, Tourism, and Conservation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-227.

Burden on the Public: The Foundation estimates about 25 responses annually at 45 minutes per response; this computes to approximately 11.25 hours annually.

Dated: May 6, 2013.

Suzanne H. Plimpton,

Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 2013-11012 Filed 5-8-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-361 and 50-362; NRC-2013-0083]

Southern California Edison, San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3 Request for Action

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Request for action; receipt.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is giving notice that by petition dated June 18, 2012, Friends of the Earth (FOE, the petitioner) has requested that the NRC take action with regard to the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station. The petitioner's requests are included in the

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2013-0083 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may access information related to this document, which the NRC possesses and is publicly available, using the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2013-0083. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301-492-3668; email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual(s) listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may access publicly available documents online in the NRC Library at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public Documents" and then select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to PDR.Resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced in this notice (if that document is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that a document is referenced.

- *NRC's PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 18, 2012 (ADAMS Accession No. ML12171A409), the petitioner requested that the NRC take action with regard to San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3. The petitioner supplemented its petition on November 16, 2012 (ADAMS Accession No. ML12325A748). The petitioner met with the Petition Review Board (PRB) on January 16, 2013, to discuss the petition, and supplemented its petition on February 6, 2013 (ADAMS Accession No. ML13109A075). On February 12, 2013 (ADAMS Accession No. ML13116A265), FOE requested that Mitsubishi Heavy Industries' Report entitled *Root Cause Analysis Report for tube wear identified in the Unit 2 and Unit 3 Steam Generators at San Onofre Generating Station* and other specified documents be considered in the PRB's evaluation of the petition. The petitioner requests that the NRC order Southern California Edison (SCE) to

submit a license amendment application for the design and installation of the replacement steam generators. The petitioner also requests that the NRC suspend the licenses for Units 2 and 3, until they are amended.

As the basis for this request, the petitioner states that SCE violated Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) 50.59 when it replaced its steam generators in 2010 and 2011 without first obtaining NRC approval of the design changes via a license amendment.

The request is being treated pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206 of the Commission's regulations. The request has been referred to the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. As provided by 10 CFR 2.206, appropriate action will be taken on this petition within a reasonable time.

Further, FOE submitted on April 4, 2013, a cover letter and technical review entitled *Review of Tube Wear Identified in the San Onofre Replacement Steam Generators—Mitsubishi Reports UES-20120254 Rev.0 (3/64) and L5-04GA588(0) together with Other Relevant Information* conducted by Large & Associates, Consulting Engineers retained by FOE (ADAMS Accession No. ML13116A266 and ML13116A267, respectively). The PRB will also consider the safety significance and complexity of this information and determine if the information should be consolidated with the existing petition, or if it will be treated as a new petition.

A copy of the transcript of the January 16, 2013, meeting is available at ADAMS Accession No. ML13029A643.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of April 2013.

Daniel H. Dorman,

Deputy Director for Engineering and Corporate Support, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2013-11036 Filed 5-8-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-69513; File Nos. SR-NYSE-2013-08; SR-NYSEMKT-2013-07]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; NYSE MKT LLC; Order Granting Approval to Proposed Rule Changes Amending the Attestation Requirement of Rule 107C (NYSE) and 107C—Equities (NYSE MKT) To Allow a Retail Member Organization To Attest That “Substantially All” Orders Submitted to the Retail Liquidity Program Will Qualify as “Retail Orders”

May 3, 2013.

I. Introduction

On January 17, 2013, the New York Stock Exchange LLC (“NYSE”) and NYSE MKT LLC (“NYSE MKT” and together with NYSE, the “Exchanges”) each filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) ¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, ² proposed rule changes to allow Retail Member Organizations (“RMOs”) to attest that “substantially all,” rather than all, orders submitted to the Exchanges’ respective Retail Liquidity Programs (“Programs”) qualify as “Retail Orders.” The proposed rule changes were published for comment in the **Federal Register** on February 4, 2013. ³ The Commission received one comment on the proposals. ⁴ On March 20, 2013, the Commission extended the time for Commission action on the proposed rule changes until May 5, 2013. ⁵ The Exchanges submitted a response to the comment letter on April 2, 2013. ⁶ This order approves the proposed rule changes.

II. Description of the Proposals

The Exchanges began operating their respective Programs after they were approved by the Commission on a pilot basis in July, 2012. ⁷ Under the current

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 68747 (Jan. 28, 2013), 78 FR 7824 (SR-NYSE-2013-08); and 68746 (Jan. 28, 2013), 78 FR 7842 (SR-NYSEMKT-2013-07).

⁴ See Letter to the Commission from Theodore R. Lazo, Managing Director and Associate General Counsel, Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association (SIFMA), dated March 11, 2013.

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 69187, 78 FR 18402 (March 26, 2013).

⁶ See Letter to the Commission from Janet McGinnis, General Counsel, NYSE Markets, dated April 2, 2013 (“Exchanges’ Response Letter”).

⁷ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 67347 (July 3, 2012), 77 FR 40673 (July 10, 2012) (“RLP Approval Order”).

rules, a member organization that wishes to participate in the Programs as an RMO must submit: (A) An application form; (B) supporting documentation; and (C) an attestation that “any order” submitted as a Retail Order ⁸ will qualify as such under Rule 107C. ⁹

The proposals seek to lessen the attestation requirements of RMOs that submit “Retail Orders” eligible to receive potential price improvement through participation in the Programs. Specifically, the Exchanges propose to amend Rule 107C (NYSE) and 107C—Equities (NYSE MKT) to provide that an RMO may attest that “substantially all”—rather than all—of the orders it submits to the Program are Retail Orders as defined in Rule 107C.

The Exchanges represented that they believe the categorical nature of the current “any order” attestation requirement is preventing certain member organizations with retail customer business from participating in the Programs. According to the Exchanges, some of these member organizations that wish to participate in the Programs represent both “Retail Orders,” as defined in Rule 107C(a)(3), as well as other agency flow that may not meet the strict definition of “Retail Order.” The Exchanges understand that, due to technical limitations in order management systems and routing networks, such member organizations may not be able to fully segregate Retail Orders from other agency, non-Retail Order flow. As a result, the Exchanges believe that some member organizations choose not to participate in the Program because they cannot satisfy the current categorical attestation requirement, although they could satisfy the proposed “substantially all” requirement.

The Exchanges clarified in their proposals that the “substantially all” standard is meant to allow only *de minimis* amounts of orders to participate in the Programs that do not meet the definition of a Retail Order in Rule 107C and that cannot be segregated from bona fide Retail Orders due to

⁸ A Retail Order is defined in Rule 107C(a)(3) as “an agency order or a riskless principal order that originates from a natural person and is submitted to the Exchange by a Retail Member Organization, provided that no change is made to the terms of the order with respect to price or side of market and the order does not originate from a trading algorithm or any other computerized methodology.”

⁹ Given that the rules governing the NYSE and NYSE MKT Retail Liquidity Programs are virtually identical, and that the rationale for the adoption of the proposed rule text is the same, references to the text of NYSE Rule 107C in this order and the rationale for its adoption, unless otherwise noted, apply equally to NYSE MKT Rule 107C—Equities.