4. Performance Measures: The Secretary has established five performance measures for assessing the effectiveness of the SLP. The SLP performance measures are:

(1) The percentage of program graduates who are certified to become a principal or assistant principal.

(2) The percentage of program graduates who are certified and hired as a principal or assistant principal in a high-need LEA.

(3) The percentage of program graduates who are hired as a principal or assistant principal in a high-need LEA and who remain in that position for at least two years.

(4) The percentage of principals and assistant principals who complete the SLP-funded professional development program and whose schools demonstrate positive change, no change, or negative change based on pre- and post-school site measures, of which one measure must include, if available, student growth (e.g., at least one grade level in an academic year).

(5) The percentage of program graduates who are rated “effective” or “highly effective” as measured by a U.S. Department of Education program approved principal evaluation system, if available.

Note: Applicants that receive funding under this program will be required to collect and submit data on the measures that are aligned to the project design in the annual performance report for each performance period. Specifically, for performance measure 4, grantees may include school site measures such as: Student disciplinary actions, teacher attendance, parent engagement, teachers rated “effective” or “highly effective,” or other school climate measures. For performance measure 5, where available, we are interested in collecting the percentage of SLP graduates who are rated “effective” or “highly effective” after completing the SLP-funded professional development program for current principals or after one year in the position as principals for participants that became certified through the SLP funded project using a principal evaluation that has met the requirements and has been approved by programs such as: Race to the Top, ESEA Flexibility, School Improvement Grants, or the FY 2012 Teacher Incentive Fund principal evaluation system requirements. If a system is not yet approved by one of these programs we will determine appropriate data collection on a case-by-case basis.

5. Continuation Awards: In making a continuation award, the Secretary may consider, under 34 CFR 75.253, the extent to which a grantee has made “substantial progress toward meeting the objectives in its approved application.” This consideration includes the review of a grantee’s progress in meeting the targets and projected outcomes in its approved application, and whether the grantee has expended funds in a manner that is consistent with its approved application and budget. In making a continuation grant, the Secretary also considers whether the grantee is operating in compliance with the assurances in its approved application, including those applicable to Federal civil rights laws that prohibit discrimination in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance from the Department (34 CFR 100.4, 104.5, 106.4, 106.8, and 110.23).

VII. Agency Contact


VIII. Other Information

Accessible Format: Individuals with disabilities can obtain this document and a copy of the application package in an accessible format (e.g., braille, large print, audiotape, or compact disc) on request to the program contact person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT in section VII of this notice.

Electronic Access to This Document: The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. Free Internet access to the official edition of the Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations is available via the Federal Digital System at: www.gpo.gov/fdsys. At this site you can view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the Federal Register, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at the site.

You may also access documents of the Department published in the Federal Register by using the article search feature at: www.federalregister.gov. Specifically, through the advanced search feature at this site, you can limit your search to documents published by the Department.


James H. Shelton, III,
Assistant Deputy Secretary for Innovation and Improvement.

[FR Doc. 2013–10980 Filed 5–7–13; 8:43 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

[OE Docket No. EA–196–D]

Application to Export Electric Energy; ALLETE, Inc., d/b/a Minnesota Power

AGENCY: Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of Application.

SUMMARY: ALLETE, Inc., d/b/a Minnesota Power (Minnesota Power) has applied to renew its authority to transmit electric energy from the United States to Canada pursuant to section 202(e) of the Federal Power Act.

DATES: Comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be submitted on or before June 7, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Comments, protests, or motions to intervene should be addressed to: Lamont Jackson, Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability, Mail Code: OE–20, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585–0350. Because of delays in handling conventional mail, it is recommended that documents be transmitted by overnight mail, by electronic mail to Lamont.Jackson@hq.doe.gov, or by facsimile to 202–586–8008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lamont Jackson (Program Office) at 202–586–0808, or by email at Lamont.Jackson@hq.doe.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Exports of electricity from the United States to a foreign country are regulated by the Department of Energy (DOE) pursuant to sections 301(b) and 402(f) of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7151(b), 7172(f)) and require authorization under section 202(e) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824a(e)).

On June 4, 2008, DOE issued Order No. EA–196–C, which authorized Minnesota Power to transmit electric energy from the United States to Canada as a power marketer for a five-year term using existing international transmission facilities. That authority expires on June 4, 2013. On April 25, 2013, Minnesota Power filed an application with DOE for renewal of the export authority contained in Order No. EA–196–C for an additional five-year term.

In its application, Minnesota Power states that it owns electric generation and transmission facilities and sells and distributes electricity within its northern Minnesota service territory. The electric energy that Minnesota Power proposes to export to Canada would be surplus energy purchased.
from electric utilities and other entities within the United States. The existing international transmission facilities to be utilized by Minnesota Power have previously been authorized by Presidential permits issued pursuant to Executive Order 10485, as amended, and are appropriate for open access transmission by third parties.

Procedural Matters: Any person desiring to be heard in this proceeding should file a comment or protest to the application at the address provided above. Protests should be filed in accordance with Rule 211 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission’s (FERC) Rules of Practice and Procedures (18 CFR 385.211). Any person desiring to become a party to these proceedings should file a motion to intervene at the above address in accordance with FERC Rule 214 (18 CFR 385.214). Five copies of such comments, protests, or motions to intervene should be sent to the address provided above on or before the date listed above.

Comments on the Minnesota Power application to export electric energy to Canada should be clearly marked with OE Docket No. EA–196–D. An additional copy is to be provided directly to Christopher D. Anderson, Associate General Counsel, ALLETE, Inc. 30 West Superior Street, Duluth, MN 55802. A final decision will be made on this application after the environmental impacts have been evaluated pursuant to DOE’s National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Procedures (10 CFR part 1021) and after a determination is made by DOE that the proposed action will not have an adverse impact on the sufficiency of supply or reliability of the U.S. electric power supply system.

Copies of this application will be made available, upon request, for public inspection and copying at the address provided above, by accessing the program Web site at http://energy.gov/node/11845, or by emailing Angela Troy at Angela.Troy@hq.doe.gov.

Issued in Washington, DC, on May 2, 2013.

Jon Worthington,
Deputy Assistant Secretary, Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability.

[FR Doc. 2013–10918 Filed 5–7–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. IC13–13–000]

Commission Information Collection Activities (FERC Form 580); Comment Request; Revision

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of information collection and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 USC 3506(c)(2)(A), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission or FERC) is soliciting public comment on the currently approved information collection, FERC Form No. 580 (Interrogatory on Fuel and Energy Purchase Practices).

DATES: Comments on the collections of information are due July 8, 2013.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments (identified by Docket No. IC13–13–000) by either of the following methods:


• Mail/Hand Delivery/Courier: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Secretary of the Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

Instructions: All submissions must be formatted and filed in accordance with submission guidelines at: http://www.ferc.gov/help/submission-guide.asp. For user assistance contact FERC Online Support by email at ferconlinesupport@ferc.gov, or by phone at: (866) 208–3676 (toll-free), or (202) 502–8659 for TTY.

Docket: Users interested in receiving automatic notification of activity in this docket or in viewing/downloading comments and issuances in this docket may do so at http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/docs-filing.asp.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ellen Brown may be reached by email at DataClearance@FERC.gov, telephone at (202) 502–8663, and fax at (202) 273–0873.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Interrogatory on Fuel and Energy Purchase Practices (FERC Form No. 580).

OMB Control No.: 1902–0131.

Type of Request: Three-year approval of the FERC Form No. 580.

Abstract: FERC Form No. 580 is collected in even numbered years. The Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act (PURPA) 1 amended the Federal Power Act (FPA) and directed the Commission to make comprehensive biennial reviews of certain matters related to automatic adjustment clauses (AACs) in wholesale rate schedules used by public utilities subject to the Commission’s jurisdiction. Specifically, the Commission is required to examine whether the clauses effectively provide the incentives for efficient use of resources and whether the clauses reflect only those costs that are either “subject to periodic fluctuations” or “not susceptible to precise determinations” in rate cases prior to the time the costs are incurred.

The Commission is also required to review the practices of each public utility under AACs “to insure efficient use of resources under such clauses.” 2 In response to the PURPA directive, the Commission (Docket Number IN79–6–000) established an investigation. Beginning in 1982, the Commission collected “Interrogatory on Fuel and Energy Purchase Practices” data every other year.

In 2010, the Commission redesigned the form to collect the information electronically through use of a standard form. Based on filer comments in response to the new electronic form used in the 2010 and 2012 collections, FERC recommends the following changes to the form:

Question 1

—Repair the email field to eliminate error messages.

Question 2

—Add a column labeled “Is this AAC a fuel adjustment clause?”

—Add a column labeled “Tariff volume number containing”.

—Remove the column and, thus, the request for information titled:

○ “Type/s of AAC”

○ “Type of costs that were passed through the AAC—if fuel, state fuel type”

There has been an increasing number of AAC-related cost types. This field makes it difficult for Commission staff to repopulate the dropdowns for this column without additional OMB approval. The information otherwise gained from respondents supplying the information collected in these columns will not be lost. Staff will locate and

1 Enacted November 8, 1978
2 The review requirement is set forth in two paragraphs of Section 208 of PURPA, 49 Stat. 851; 16 U.S.C. 824d.