EC6/35 is not type-certificated in the U.S.

Costs of Compliance

We estimate that this proposed AD would affect 246 helicopters of U.S. Registry. We estimate that operators may incur the following costs in order to comply with this AD. Modifying the injection tubes would require about 4.5 work-hours at an average labor rate of $85 per hour and required parts would cost about $900, for a cost of $1,282 per helicopter and a total cost to U.S. operators of $315,372.

Authority For This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. “Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs,” describes in more specific detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in “Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed, I certify this proposed regulation:

1. Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a “significant rule” under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979);
3. Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska to the extent that it justifies making a regulatory distinction; and
4. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared an economic evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this proposed AD and placed it in the AD docket.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by Reference, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

§ 39.13 [Amended]

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):


(a) Applicability

This AD applies to Eurocopter Deutschland GmbH (ECD) Model EC135P1, EC135P2, EC135P2+, EC135T1, EC135T2, and EC135T2+ helicopters with a fire extinguishing system part number (P/N) L262M1808101, P/N L262M1812101, or P/N L262M1812102, installed, certified in any category.

(b) Unsafe Condition

This AD defines the unsafe condition as deformation of the fire extinguishing system injection tubes during an engine fire, which could result in impaired distribution of the fire extinguishing agent, failure of the fire extinguishing system to contain a fire, and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter.

(c) Comments Due Date

We must receive comments by July 8, 2013.

(d) Compliance

You are responsible for performing each action required by this AD within the specified compliance time unless it has already been accomplished prior to that time.

(e) Required Actions

(1) Within 30 days, modify each fire extinguishing system injection tube by removing and replacing a section of the tubing in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions, paragraph 3.B., of Eurocopter EC135 Alert Service Bulletin No. EC135–26A–003, Revision 2, dated December 19, 2011.

(2) Do not install an injection tube, P/N L262M1810101, P/N L262M1811801, or P/N L262M1809101, on any helicopter unless it has been modified as required by this AD.

(f) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(1) The Manager, Safety Management Group, FAA, may approve AMOCs for this AD. Send your proposal to: Matt Wilbanks, Aviation Safety Engineer, Rotorcraft Certification Office, Rotorcraft Directorate, FAA, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Fort Worth, Texas 76137; telephone (817) 222–5110; email matt.wilbanks@faa.gov.

(2) For operations conducted under a 14 CFR part 119 operating certificate or under 14 CFR part 91, subpart K, we suggest that you notify your principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office or certificate holding district office before operating any aircraft complying with this AD through an AMOC.

(g) Additional Information

(1) The subject of this AD is addressed in European Aviation Safety Agency AD No. 2011–0172, dated September 7, 2011.

(h) Subject


Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on April 26, 2013.

Kim Smith,
Directorate Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2013–10911 Filed 5–7–13; 8:45 am]
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Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: We propose to supersede an existing airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to all Airbus Model A330–200 and –300 series airplanes, and Model A340–200 and –300 series airplanes. The existing AD currently requires a repetitive inspection program on certain check valves in the hydraulic systems that includes, among other things, inspections for lock wire presence and integrity, traces of seepage or black deposits, proper torque, alignment of the check valve and manifold, installing new lock wire, and corrective actions if needed. Since we issued that AD, additional in-service reports of check valves loosening at lower flight cycle thresholds than previously reported have been received. This proposed AD would expand the applicability, reduce the compliance
time, change torque values of the check valve tightening, and require a repetitive inspection program for certain check valves in the hydraulic systems on airplanes that have had a certain modification embodied during production or in-service. We are proposing this AD to detect and correct such check valve loosening, which could result in hydraulic leaks, possibly leading to the loss of all three hydraulic systems and consequent loss of control of the airplane.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by June 24, 2013.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments by any of the following methods:
- Fax: (720) 494-4822.
- Hand Delivery: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this proposed AD, contact Airbus SAS—Airworthiness Office—EAL, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Codex, France; telephone +33 5 61 93 36 96; fax +33 5 61 93 45 80; email airworthiness.A330-A340@airbus.com; Internet http://www.airbus.com. You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov; or in person at the Docket Operations office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Operations office (telephone (800) 647–5527) is in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited
We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include “Docket No. FAA–2013–0365; Directorate Identifier NM–223–AD” at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD based on those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

Discussion


Since we issued AD 2009–24–09, Amendment 39–16068 (74 FR 62208, November 27, 2009), the European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA), which is the Technical Agent for the Member States of the European Community, has issued EASA Airworthiness Directive 2012–0244R1, dated January 25, 2013 (referred to after this as “the MCAI”), to correct an unsafe condition for the specific products. The MCAI states:

An A330 operator experienced a yellow hydraulic circuit low level due to a loose check valve, Part Number (P/N) CAR401. During the inspection on the other two hydraulic systems, the other three check valves P/N CAR401 were also found to be loose with their lock wire broken in two instances. Airbus A340 aeroplanes are also equipped with P/N CAR401 high pressure manifold check valves.

Additional cases of P/N CAR401 check valve loosening have been reported on aeroplanes with P/N CAR401 installed in service through Airbus modification 54491, or installed in service through Airbus Service Bulletin (SB) A330–29–3101 or Airbus SB A340–29–4076.

EASA AD 2012–0070, which superseded EASA AD 2011–0139, retaining its requirements, was issued to require an increased torque value of the check valve tightening and High Pressure (HP) manifold re-identification.

Since EASA AD 2012–0070 was issued, additional in-service events have been reported on aeroplanes fitted with check valves on which the increased torque value had been applied. Based on those events, it has been concluded that the action to re-torque the check valves with an increased value is not a satisfactory terminating action for addressing the issue of those check valves.

For the reasons described above, this new [EASA] AD partially retains the requirements of EASA AD 2012–0070, which is superseded. Additionally, for aeroplanes equipped with P/N CAR401 on which the increased torque value has been applied, this new [EASA] AD requires repetitive inspections of the check valves and HP manifolds. Finally, this [EASA] AD also requires application of a lower torque value when a check valve P/N CAR401 is installed on an aeroplane.

This [EASA] AD is considered to be an interim action and further AD action may follow.

Note: the reporting and the torque value increase requirements for check valves P/N CAR401 of EASA AD 2012–0070 are no longer part of this new [EASA] AD.

This proposed AD would expand the applicability to include Model A330–200 freighter series airplanes, reduce the compliance time for initial inspection, and change torque values of the check valve tightening. The corrective actions include replacing seal assemblies, re-torquing the check valve, and replacing the lock wire.

You may obtain further information by examining the MCAI in the AD docket.
Relevant Service Information
Airbus has issued the following service information.

The actions described in this service information are intended to correct the unsafe condition identified in the MCAI.

FAA’s Determination and Requirements of This Proposed AD
This product has been approved by the aviation authority of another country, and is approved for operation in the United States. Pursuant to our bilateral agreement with the State of Design Authority, we have notified of the unsafe condition described in the MCAI and service information referenced above. We are proposing this AD because we evaluated all pertinent information and determined an unsafe condition exists and is likely to exist or develop on other products of the same type design.

Costs of Compliance
Based on the service information, we estimate that this proposed AD would affect about 67 products of U.S. registry.

The actions that are required by AD 2009–24–09, Amendment 39–16068 (74 FR 62208, November 27, 2009), and retained in this proposed AD take about 8 work-hours per product, at an average labor rate of $85 per work hour. Based on these figures, the estimated cost of the currently required actions is $680 per product.

We estimate that it would take about 2 work-hours per product to comply with the new basic requirements of this proposed AD. The average labor rate is $85 per work-hour. Based on these figures, we estimate the cost of the proposed AD on U.S. operators to be $11,390, or $170 per product.

We have received no definitive data that would enable us to provide cost estimates for the on-condition actions specified in this proposed AD.

Authority for This Rulemaking
Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. “Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs,” describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in “Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings
We determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation:
1. Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a “significant rule” under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979);
3. Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska; and
4. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this proposed AD and placed it in the AD docket.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39
Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment
Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]
2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by removing airworthiness directive (AD) 2009–24–09, Amendment 39–16068 (74 FR 62208, November 27, 2009), and adding the following new AD:


(a) Comments Due Date
We must receive comments by June 24, 2013.

(b) Affected ADs
This AD supersedes AD 2009–24–09, Amendment 39–16068 (74 FR 62208, November 27, 2009).

(c) Applicability

(d) Subject
Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 29, Hydraulic Power.

(e) Reason
This AD was prompted by multiple reports of hydraulic line check valves loosening. We are issuing this AD to detect and correct such check valve loosening, which could result in hydraulic leaks, possibly leading to the loss of all three hydraulic systems and consequent loss of control of the airplane.

(f) Compliance
You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

(g) Retained Actions
This paragraph restates the requirements of paragraph (g) of AD 2009–24–09, Amendment 39–16068 (74 FR 62208, November 27, 2009). Except for Model A330–223F and A330–243F airplanes: Do the actions required by paragraphs (g)(1) and (g)(2) of this AD.

1. For airplanes that do not have Airbus Modification 54491 embodied in production, or Airbus Service Bulletin Service Bulletin A330–30–3101 or Airbus Service Bulletin A340–29–4078 embodied in service: Within 100 flight cycles or 28 days after December 14, 2009 (the effective date of AD 2009–24–09, Amendment 39–16068 (74 FR 62208, November 27, 2009), whichever occurs first, inspect the check valves on the blue, green, and yellow hydraulic systems to identify their part numbers (P/Ns), in accordance with the instructions of Airbus All Operators Telex (AOT) A330–29A3111, Revision 1, dated October 8, 2009, (for Model A330–200 and –300 series airplanes); or AOT A340–29A4086, Revision 1, dated October 8, 2009 (for Model A340–200 and –300 series airplanes). Accomplishment of the inspection required by paragraph (h) of this AD terminates the requirements of this paragraph.

2. If check valves having P/N CAR401 are installed on all three hydraulic systems, before further flight, do the actions specified in paragraph (g)(2)(i) of this AD. After accomplishing the actions required by paragraph (g)(2)(i) of this AD, do the actions specified in paragraphs (g)(2)(ii) and...
(g)(2)(iii) of this AD at the applicable compliance times specified in those paragraphs. Accomplishment of the inspection required by paragraph (i) of this AD terminates the requirements of this paragraph.

(ii) If check valves having P/N CAR401 are not installed on all three hydraulic systems, no further action is required by this paragraph until any check valve having P/N CAR400 is replaced with a check valve having P/N CAR401. If any check valve having P/N CAR400 is replaced by a check valve having P/N CAR401, before further flight, do the inspection specified in paragraph (g)(1) of this AD to determine if all three hydraulic systems are equipped with check valves having P/N CAR401. Accomplishment of the inspection required by paragraph (h) of this AD terminates the requirements of this paragraph.

(ii) Within 900 flight hours after accomplishment of paragraph (g)(2)(i) of this AD, do the inspection program (detailed inspection for traces of seepage or black deposits, and an inspection for proper torque) and install a new lock wire on the green high pressure manifold; and do an inspection (detailed inspection for traces of seepage or black deposits, and detailed inspection to determine alignment of the check valve and manifold) on the yellow and blue high pressure manifolds, and do all applicable corrective actions; in accordance with the instructions of paragraph 4.1.2 of Airbus AOT A330–29A3111, Revision 1, dated October 8, 2009 (for Model A330–200 and –300 series airplanes); and OAT A340–29A4086, Revision 1, dated October 8, 2009 (for Model A340–200 and –300 series airplanes). Do all applicable corrective actions before further flight. Accomplishment of the inspection program required by paragraph (i) of this AD terminates the requirements of this paragraph.

(iii) Within 900 flight hours after accomplishment of paragraph (g)(2)(ii) of this AD, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 900 flight hours, do the inspection program (detailed inspection for traces of seepage or black deposits, and detailed inspection to determine alignment of the check valve and manifold) on the green, yellow, and blue high pressure manifolds, and do all applicable corrective actions, in accordance with the instructions of paragraph 4.1.3 of Airbus AOT A330–29A3111, Revision 1, dated October 8, 2009 (for Model A330–200 and –300 series airplanes); and OAT A340–29A4086, Revision 1, dated October 8, 2009 (for Model A340–200 and –300 series airplanes). Do all applicable corrective actions before further flight. Accomplishment of the inspection program required by paragraph (i) of this AD terminates the requirements of this paragraph.

(A) For airplanes on which Airbus Modification 54491 was embodied in production: At the later of the times specified in paragraphs (g)(2)(i)(A)(1) and (g)(2)(i)(B) of this AD, do the inspection program (detailed inspection for traces of seepage or black deposits, and an inspection for proper torque) on yellow and blue high pressure manifolds, and do all applicable corrective actions, in accordance with the instructions of paragraph 4.1.1 of Airbus AOT A330–29A3111, Revision 1, dated October 8, 2009 (for Model A330–200 and –300 series airplanes); and OAT A340–29A4086, Revision 1, dated October 8, 2009 (for Model A340–200 and –300 series airplanes). Do all applicable corrective actions before further flight. Accomplishment of the inspection required by paragraph (h)(1) of this AD terminates the requirements of this paragraph.

(i)(1) Before the accumulation of 1,000 total flight cycles since first flight but no earlier than the accumulation of 700 total flight cycles since first flight.

(ii) Within 100 flight cycles or 28 days after December 14, 2009 (the effective date of AD 2009–24–09, Amendment 39–16068 [74 FR 62208, November 27, 2009]), whichever occurs first.

(ii)(2) For airplanes on which Airbus Service Bulletin A330–29–3101 or A340–29–4078 was embodied in service: At the later of the times specified in paragraphs (g)(2)(ii)(A) and (g)(2)(ii)(B) of this AD.

(B) For airplanes on which Airbus Service Bulletin A330–29–3101 or A340–29–4078 was embodied in service: At the later of the times specified in paragraphs (g)(2)(i)(A) and (g)(2)(i)(B) of this AD.

(i) New Repetitive Inspection Program and Corrective Actions

Within 900 flight hours after accomplishment of paragraph (b)(1) of this AD, do the inspection program (detailed inspection for red mark presence and alignment integrity of the check valve and manifold, a detailed inspection for traces of seepage or black deposits, and an inspection for proper torque) on yellow and blue high pressure manifolds, and do all applicable corrective actions, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A330–29–3111, Revision 02, dated June 23, 2011 (for Model A330–200, –200F, and –300 series airplanes); or Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A340–29–4086, Revision 02, dated June 23, 2011 (for Model A340–200 and –300 series airplanes). Accomplishment of the actions required by this paragraph terminates the requirements specified in paragraphs (g)(1) and (g)(1)(i) of this AD.

(1) If check valves having P/N CAR401 are installed on all three hydraulic systems: Before further flight, do the inspection program (detailed inspection for red mark presence and alignment integrity of the check valve and manifold, a detailed inspection for traces of seepage or black deposits, and an inspection for proper torque) on yellow and blue high pressure manifolds, and do all applicable corrective actions, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A330–29–3111, Revision 02, dated June 23, 2011 (for Model A330–200, –200F, and –300 series airplanes); or Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A340–29–4086, Revision 02, dated June 23, 2011 (for Model A340–200 and –300 series airplanes), Accomplishment of the actions required by this paragraph terminates the requirements specified in paragraph (g)(2)(i) of this AD.

(2) If check valves having P/N CAR401 are not installed on all three hydraulic systems, no further action is required by this paragraph until any check valve having P/N CAR400 is replaced with a check valve having P/N CAR401. If any check valve having P/N CAR400 is replaced by a check valve having P/N CAR401, before further flight, do the actions specified in paragraph (b)(1) of this AD, to determine if all three hydraulic systems are equipped with check valves having P/N CAR401. If check valves having P/N CAR401 are installed on all three hydraulic systems: Before further flight, do the actions specified in paragraphs (b)(1) and (i) of this AD.

(i) New Repetitive Inspection Program and Corrective Actions

Within 900 flight hours after accomplishment of paragraph (b)(1) of this AD, do the inspection program (detailed inspection for red mark presence and alignment integrity of the check valve and manifold, a detailed inspection for traces of seepage or black deposits, and an inspection for proper torque) on the green, yellow, and blue high pressure manifolds, and do all applicable corrective actions, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A330–29–3111, Revision 02, dated June 23, 2011 (for Model A330–200, –200F, and –300 series airplanes); or Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A340–29–4086, Revision 02, dated June 23, 2011 (for Model A340–200 and –300 series airplanes). Repeat the inspection program thereafter at intervals not to exceed 900 flight hours. Do all applicable corrective actions before further flight. Accomplishment of the actions required by this paragraph terminates the requirements specified in paragraphs (g)(1), (g)(2)(i), and (g)(2)(iii) of this AD.

(j) New Repetitive Inspection for Certain Airplanes

For airplanes equipped with check valves having P/N CAR400 and equipped with check valves having P/N CAR401, except for airplanes on which Airbus Modification 201384 has been embodied during production, or on which Airbus Service Bulletin A330–29–3111 (for Model A330–200, –200F, and –300 series airplanes);
or Airbus Service Bulletin A340–29–4091 (for Model A340–200 and –300 series airplanes) has been embodied in service: Within 1,000 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, do a general visual inspection of the green, yellow, and blue high pressure manifold and check valves having P/N CAR401 for any sign of rotation of the check valve head, and for any signs of hydraulic fluid leakage or seepage (including black deposits), in accordance with the instructions of Airbus Alert Operators Transmission A29L001-12, dated October 11, 2012. Repeat the inspection thereafter at interval not to exceed 900 flight hours.

(k) New Corrective Action for Certain Airplanes

If, during any inspection required by paragraph (j) of this AD, any sign of rotation of the check valve head is found, or any sign of hydraulic fluid leakage or seepage (including black deposits) is found: Before further flight, do all applicable corrective actions, in accordance with the instructions of Airbus Alert Operators Transmission A29L001-12, dated October 11, 2012.

(l) No Terminating Action

Accomplishment of the corrective actions required by this AD does not constitute terminating action for the repetitive inspections required by this AD.

(m) Replacement Check Valve Torque Value

As of the effective date of this AD, at each replacement of a check valve with a check valve having P/N CAR401, apply a torque of 141 to 143 newton metre (N.m) (103.98 to 105.45 pounds-foot (lbf.ft)) during installation.

(n) Credit for Previous Actions

(1) This paragraph restates the credit specified in paragraph (g)(2)(iv) of AD 2009–24–09, Amendment 39–16068 (74 FR 62208, November 27, 2009). This paragraph provides credit for actions required by paragraph (g)(2)(ii) of this AD, if those actions were performed before December 14, 2009 (the effective date of AD 2009–24–09), using Airbus AOT A330–29A3111, dated September 2, 2009 (for Model A330–200 and –300 series airplanes); or AOT A340–29A4086, dated September 2, 2009 (for Model A340–200 and –300 series airplanes).

(2) This paragraph provides credit for actions required by paragraph (h) of this AD, if those actions were performed before the effective date of this AD using Airbus AOT A330–29A3111, dated September 2, 2009; or Revision 1, dated October 8, 2009 (for Model A330–200 and –300 series airplanes); or AOT A340–29A4086, dated September 2, 2009; or Revision 1, dated October 8, 2009 (for Model A340–200 and –300 series airplanes). After the effective date of this AD all inspections and corrective actions, as required by paragraph (j) of this AD, must be accomplished in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Airbus Mandatory Service Bulletin A330–29–3111, Revision 02, dated June 23, 2013, for Model A330–200 and –300 series airplanes; or Airbus Service Bulletin A340–29–4086, Revision 02, dated June 23, 2011, for Model A340–200 and –300 series airplanes.

(o) No Reporting

Although the service information specified in paragraphs (o)(1) through (o)(5) of this AD specifies to submit certain information to the manufacturer, this AD does not include that requirement.


(p) Other FAA AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, International Branch, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the International Branch, send it to: Vladimir Ulyanov, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA 98057–3356; telephone 425–227–1138; fax 425–227–1149. Information may be emailed to: 9-ANM-116-AMOC-REQUESTS@faa.gov. Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local Flight Standards District Office or certificate holding district office. The AMOC approval letter must specifically reference this AD. AMOCs approved for AD 2009–24–09, Amendment 39–16068 (74 FR 62208, November 27, 2009) are approved as AMOCs for the corresponding provisions of this AD, except AMOC ANM–116–11–172 is not approved as an AMOC for the corresponding provisions of this AD.

(2) Airworthy Product: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

(q) Related Information

(1) Refer to Mandatory Continuing Airworthiness Information European Aviation Safety Agency Airworthiness Directive 2012–0244R1, dated January 25, 2013; and the following service information for related information:


(2) For service information identified in this AD, contact, Airbus SAS—Airworthiness Office—EAL, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France; telephone +33 5 61 93 36 96; fax +33 5 61 93 45 80; email airworthiness.A330–A340@airbus.com; Internet http://www.airbus.com. You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 26, 2013.

Ali Bahrami, Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.


DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39


RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: We propose to supersede an existing airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to certain The Boeing Company Model 747–100, 747–100B, 747–100B SUD, 747–200B, 747–200C, 747–300, 747–400, 747–400D, and 747SR series airplanes. The existing AD requires, for certain airplanes, inspection to determine the material of a main entry door (MED) reveal; repetitive inspections of certain reveals for cracking; a detailed inspection of certain reveals for a sharp edge and cracking; and corrective action if necessary. That AD also allows a certain replacement as an optional action for certain inspections of certain airplanes. Since we issued that AD, an operator reported a crack found in a 6061 machined aluminum one-piece corner reveal. This proposed AD would add, for certain airplanes, an inspection to determine material type of MED reveals, repetitive inspections for cracking of 6061 machined aluminum one-piece corner reveals, and replacement with 6061 machined aluminum two-piece corner reveals if necessary. This...