

available to the public as a matter of information.

If BLM receives a protest against the survey, as shown on the plat, prior to the date of the official filing, we will stay the filing pending our consideration of the protest.

We will not officially file the plat until the day after we have accepted or dismissed all protests and they have become final, including decisions on appeals.

Dated: May 1, 2013.

Dominica Van Koten,
Chief Cadastral Surveyor.

[FR Doc. 2013-10791 Filed 5-6-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-GJ-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

**[NPS-WASO-NAGPRA-12739;
PPWOCRADN0-PCU00RP14.R50000]**

Notice of Inventory Completion for Native American Human Remains and Funerary Objects in the Possession of Big Cypress National Preserve, National Park Service, Ochopee, FL; Correction

Correction

In notice document 2013-10220 appearing on page 25468 in the issue of May 1, 2013, make the following correction:

On page 25468, in the third column, beginning in the sixth line, “remains and funerary objects were collected from six sites by National Park Service archeologists in 1977.” should read “The human remains and funerary objects were collected from six sites by National Park Service archeologists in 1977.”.

[FR Doc. C1-2013-10220 Filed 5-6-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-791/826 (Consolidated)]

Certain Electric Fireplaces, Components Thereof, Manuals for Same, Certain Process for Manufacturing or Relating to Same and Certain Products Containing Same; Issuance of a Limited Exclusion Order; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to modify-in-part and reverse-in-part a final initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 20) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) finding the remaining respondents, Shenzhen Reliap Industrial Co. (“Reliap”) and Yue Qiu Sheng (“Yue”), both of Shenzhen, China, in default and in violation of section 337. The Commission has also determined to affirm Order No. 19 denying Yue’s motion for summary determination. The Commission has issued a limited exclusion order directed against covered products of Reliap and Yue.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clint Gerdine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2310. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted Investigation No. 337-TA-791 (“the 791 investigation”) on July 20, 2011, based on a complaint filed by Twin-Star International, Inc. of Delray Beach, Florida and TS Investment Holding Corp. of Miami, Florida (collectively, “Twin-Star”). 76 FR 43345-46 (July 20, 2011). The Commission instituted Investigation No. 337-TA-826 on January 19, 2012, based on another complaint filed by Twin-Star, and consolidated it with the 791 investigation. 77 FR 2757-58 (Jan. 19, 2012). The complaints allege a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electric fireplaces, components thereof, manuals for same, certain processes for manufacturing or relating to same and certain products containing same by reason of infringement of U.S.

Copyright Nos. TX0007350474; TX0007350476; VA0001772660; and VA0001772661; and by reason of misappropriation of trade secrets, breach of contract, and tortious inference with contract, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure an industry in the United States.

The Commission’s notice of investigation named Reliap, Yue, and Whalen Furniture Manufacturing, Inc. (“Whalen”) of San Diego, California as respondents. On July 3, 2012, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the ALJ’s ID terminating the investigation as to Whalen based on a consent order and settlement agreement.

On June 20, 2012, Twin-Star moved for an ID finding the remaining respondents, Reliap and Yue, in default and in violation of section 337 pursuant to Commission Rule 210.17, 19 CFR 210.17. The Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of the motion.

On July 13, 2012, the ALJ granted Twin-Star’s motion and issued the final ID in this investigation finding the remaining respondents in default and in violation of section 337 pursuant to 19 CFR 210.17 for failure to participate in the investigation following withdrawal of their counsel on March 12, 2012. The ID also contained the ALJ’s recommended determination on remedy. Specifically, the ALJ recommended issuance of a limited exclusion order with respect to the covered products of the defaulting respondents.

Also on July 13, 2012, the ALJ issued Order No. 19, denying a motion filed by Yue on December 11, 2011, for summary determination that Twin-Star’s breach of contract claim is outside the scope of the investigation. On July 20, 2012, the Commission investigative attorney (“IA”) petitioned for review of Order No. 19 and the ALJ’s final ID. Twin-Star filed a response in opposition on July 30, 2012.

On September 14, 2012, the Commission determined to review Order No. 19 and to review-in-part the final ID to the extent that it finds a violation of section 337 based on the breach of contract allegation. The determinations made in the final ID that were not reviewed became final determinations of the Commission by operation of rule. See 19 U.S.C. 210.42(h).

The Commission requested briefing from the parties and interested non-parties regarding a question concerning the issue under review and on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and