collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to: FAR Desk Officer, OMB, Room 10102, NEOB, Washington, DC 20503. All comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov including any personal and/or business confidential information provided.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Curtis E. Glover, Sr., Procurement Analyst, Contract Policy Division, GSA (202) 501–1448 or email Curtis.glover@gsa.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

Supplies and services acquired under Government contracts must conform to the contract’s quality and quantity requirements. FAR Part 46 prescribes inspection, acceptance, warranty, and other measures associated with quality requirements. Standard clauses related to inspection require the contractor to provide and maintain an inspection system that is acceptable to the Government; gives the Government the right to make inspections and test while work is in process; and requires the contractor to keep complete, and make available to the Government, records of its inspection work.

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the FAR, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

B. Annual Reporting Burden

An upward adjustment is being made to the previously approved estimated annual burden. The change is based on calculating the burden for each clause in FAR Part 46 associated with this information collection requirement. In addition, the Government considered the information collected under this requirement to be records kept as a part of a contractor’s normal business operations, and the Government will only request to see the records a limited number of times per year for each contractor.

Respondents: 176,286.

Responses per Respondent: 1.0186344.
Total Responses: 179,571.
Hours per Response: .82246.
Total Burden hours: 147.690.

Obtaining Copies of Proposals: Requesters may obtain a copy of the information collection documents from the General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat (MVCB), 1275 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20417, telephone (202) 501–4755. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000–0077, Quality Assurance Requirements, in all correspondence.

Dated: April 22, 2013.

William Clark,
Acting Director, Federal Acquisition Policy, Office of Governmentwide Acquisition Policy, Office of Acquisition Policy, Office of Governmentwide Policy.

[FR Doc. 2013–10205 Filed 4–30–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6820–EP–P

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Notice of Intent To Prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for the San Ysidro Land Port of Entry (LPOE) Modernization and Expansion Project

AGENCY: General Services Administration (GSA).

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR parts 1500–1508), and the GSA Public Buildings Service NEPA Desk Guide, GSA is issuing this notice to advise the public that a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) will be prepared for the San Ysidro LPOE Modernization and Expansion Project (Project).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Osmahn A. Kadri, NEPA Project Manager, General Services Administration, Pacific Rim Region, at (415) 522–3617. Please also call this number if special assistance is needed to attend and participate in the public scoping meeting.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: GSA intends to prepare a SEIS to analyze the potential impacts resulting from proposed modifications and design changes to the San Ysidro LPOE Improvements Project. The San Ysidro LPOE is located along Interstate 5 at the United States-Mexico border in the San Ysidro community of San Diego, California.

Background

A Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Record of Decision (ROD) were published in September 2009 that addressed the reconfiguration and expansion of the San Ysidro LPOE in three independent construction phases to improve overall capacity and operational efficiency. Phase I is fully funded and included the construction of additional northbound vehicle lanes and inspection facilities, a pedestrian bridge, and a new southbound pedestrian crossing facility on the east side of the LPOE. To date, the pedestrian bridge and southbound crossing facility have been constructed. Phase II would involve the construction of new buildings. Phase III would include construction of a southbound roadway and associated inspection equipment, as well as the addition of a new southbound pedestrian crossing on the west side of the LPOE at Virginia Avenue.

The SEIS will address design changes to Phase III of the project, which include modifications to the number of vehicle lanes on the proposed southbound roadway and the incorporation of northbound pedestrian inspections at the proposed pedestrian crossing facility on the west side of the LPOE.

Alternatives Under Consideration

Two build alternatives and one no build alternative are under consideration. The No Build Alternative assumes that no additional improvements would be constructed at the LPOE, and the LPOE would continue to operate under its current configuration.

Alternative 1 would include the northbound/southbound pedestrian crossing at Virginia Avenue and six southbound vehicular lanes on the proposed southbound roadway that would connect the terminus of Interstate 5 to the El Chaparral LPOE in Mexico.

Alternative 2 would include the northbound/southbound pedestrian crossing facility and 10 southbound vehicle lanes on the proposed southbound roadway.

Scoping Process

Scoping will be accomplished through a public scoping meeting, direct mail correspondence to appropriate federal, state, and local agencies, and to private organizations and citizens who have previously expressed or are known to have an interest in the Project. A public scoping meeting will be held on Thursday, May 9, 2013 from 4:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m. at The Front, 147 West San Ysidro Boulevard, San Ysidro, CA.
Establishment of the Discretionary Advisory Committee on Heritable Disorders in Newborns and Children and Notice of Meeting

AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice of establishment of the Discretionary Advisory Committee on Heritable Disorders in Newborns and Children and Notice of Meeting.

Authority: The Committee is governed by Public Health Service Act (PHS), 42 U.S.C. 217a: Advisory councils or committees as well as provisions of Public Law 92–463, as amended, (5 U.S.C. App. 2), which sets forth standards for the formation and use of advisory committees.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services announces the establishment of the Discretionary Advisory Committee on Heritable Disorders in Newborns and Children. This notice also announces the Committee’s first meeting.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Debi Sarkar, Health Resources and Services Administration, Maternal and Child Health Bureau; Telephone: 301–443–1080; Email: dsarkar@hrsa.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background and Authority

Under the Public Health Service Act (PHS), 42 U.S.C. 217a, the Secretary of Health and Human Services directed that the Discretionary Advisory Committee on Heritable Disorders in Newborns and Children (DACHDNC) shall be established within the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). To comply with the authorizing directive and guidelines under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), a charter was filed with the Committee Management Secretariat in the General Services Administration (GSA), the appropriate committees in the Senate and U.S. House of Representatives, and the Library of Congress to establish the Committee as a discretionary federal advisory committee.

The purpose of the Discretionary Advisory Committee on Heritable Disorders in Newborns and Children (DACHDNC) is to advise the Secretary of Health and Human Services about aspects of newborn and childhood screening and technical information for the development of policies and priorities that will enhance the ability of the State and local health agencies to provide for newborn and child screening, counseling and health care services for newborns and children having, or at risk for, heritable disorders. The DACHDNC will review and report regularly on newborn and childhood screening practices, recommend improvements for newborn and childhood screening programs, as well as fulfill the list of requirements stated in the original authorizing legislation.

II. Structure

The Committee consists of fifteen (15) voting members, including the Chair. The members of the Committee were appointed by the Secretary. Membership is composed of the Chair, Special Government Employees (SGEs) and federal ex-officio members. Federal ex-officio members include the Administrator of the Health Resources and Services Administration; the Directors of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; the National Institutes of Health; the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; and the Commissioner of the Food and Drug Administration—or their designees. The Chair and other members are (a) medical, technical, public health or scientific professionals with special expertise in the field of heritable disorders or in providing screening, counseling, testing, or specialty services for newborns and children at risk for heritable disorders; (b) experts in ethics and heritable disorders who have worked and published material in the area of public health and genetic conditions; and (c) members from the public sector who have expertise, either professional or personal, about or concerning heritable disorders in order to achieve a fairly balanced membership.

The DACHDNC also includes nonvoting liaisons or representatives from Federal Agencies, public health constituencies, advocacy organizations and medical professional societies, as determined to be necessary by the Chair and/or the Designated Federal Official, to fulfill the duties of the DACHDNC. In addition, the DACHDNC is encouraged to work closely with other relevant HHS entities that focus on reviewing scientific evidence and making recommendations on clinical preventive services.

III. First Meeting of the DACHDNC

Dates and Times: May 16, 2013, 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

May 17, 2013, 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

Place: Virtual via Webinar.

Purpose: The Discretionary Advisory Committee on Heritable Disorders in Newborns and Children (Committee), as authorized by Public Health Service Act (PHS), 42 U.S.C. 217a: Advisory councils or committees, was established to advise the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services regarding the development of newborn screening activities, technologies, policies, guidelines, and programs for effectively reducing morbidity and mortality in newborns and children having, or at risk for, heritable disorders. The Committee’s recommendations regarding additional conditions/inherited disorders for screening that have been adopted by the Secretary are included in the Recommended Uniform Screening Panel (RUSP) that constitutes part of the comprehensive guidelines supported by the Health Resources and Services Administration. Pursuant to section 2713 of the Public Health Service Act, codified at 42 U.S.C. 300gg–13, non-grandfathered health plans are required to cover screenings included in the HRSA-supported comprehensive guidelines without charging a co-payment, co-insurance, or deductible for plan years (i.e., policy years) beginning on or after the date that is one year from the Secretary’s adoption of the condition for screening.

Agenda: The meeting will include: (1) A final report on the Pompe Condition Nomination for inclusion in the RUSP; and (2) updates on priority projects from the Committee’s subcommittees on Laboratory Standards and Procedures, Follow-up and Treatment, and Education and Training.

The Committee is expected to vote on whether or not to recommend the