

may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted the underlying investigation in this matter on November 12, 2008, based upon a complaint filed on behalf of Isola USA Corp. of Chandler, Arizona ("Isola") on October 6, 2008, and supplemented on October 28, 2008. 73 FR 66919 (November 12, 2008). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain prepregs, laminates, and finished circuit boards that infringe certain claims of United States Patent Nos. 6,187,852 ("the '852 patent"); 6,322,885 ("the '885 patent"); and 6,509,414 ("the '414 patent"). The notice of investigation named seven firms as respondents. On December 22, 2008, the Commission issued notice of its determinations not to review IDs terminating the investigation with respect to respondents Sanmina-SCI Corp. and ITEQ Corp. based on settlement agreements. On January 9, 2009, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review an ID terminating the investigation with respect to the '414 patent.

On March 19, 2009, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review an ID terminating the investigation as to respondents VENTEC Electronics (Suzhou) Co., Ltd., VENTEC Electronics (HK) Co., Ltd., and VENTEC-Global Laminates USA LLC based on a consent order. On April 10, 2009, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review an ID granting a joint motion to terminate the investigation as to TUC based on a consent order. The consent orders prohibit the sale for importation, importation, or sale after importation into the United States of certain prepregs and laminates that are the subject of the investigation or that otherwise infringe, induce, and/or contribute to the infringement of claims 1-3, 5, and 8 of the '852 patent and claims 1, 2, 4, and 7-9 of the '885 patent. On May 11, 2009, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review an ID granting Isola's motion to withdraw the complaint as to respondent Guangdong Shengyi Sci. Tech Co., Ltd., and terminated the investigation.

On August 14, 2012, Isola filed a complaint for enforcement proceedings against TUC under Commission Rule 210.75(b). On October 2, 2012, the Commission determined that the criteria for institution of enforcement proceedings were satisfied and instituted enforcement proceedings, naming TUC as a respondent. 77 FR 61025 (October 5, 2012). The complaint for enforcement asserts that TUC has violated the April 10, 2009, consent order by importing or causing to be imported infringing articles identified as TU-862 HF and TU-86P HF.

On February 25, 2013, Isola and TUC jointly petitioned the Commission to rescind the consent order issued against TUC on April 10, 2009, based on a settlement agreement and license. Also on February 25, 2013, Isola and TUC filed a joint motion to terminate the enforcement proceeding on the basis of a settlement agreement. On March 7, 2013, the Commission investigative attorney filed separate responses in support.

On March 18, 2013, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting the motion. The ALJ found that termination of the enforcement proceeding does not impose any undue burdens on the public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the United States economy, or United States consumers. No petitions for review were filed.

Having considered the ID and the relevant portions of the record, the Commission has determined (1) to grant the joint petition to rescind the consent order and (2) not to review the subject ID. Thus, the Commission hereby rescinds the April 10, 2009, consent order against TUC and terminates the enforcement proceeding.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of sections 210.42(h), 210.21(c)(3)(ii), and 210.76 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42(h), 210.21(c)(3)(ii), and 210.76).

Issued: April 15, 2013.

By order of the Commission.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2013-09183 Filed 4-18-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-870]

### Certain Electronic Bark Control Collars, Termination of the Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 3) of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") terminating the investigation based on a settlement agreement.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Clint Gerdine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2310. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on February 25, 2013, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Radio Systems Corporation of Knoxville, Tennessee. 78 FR 12788-89. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic bark control collars by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,927,233. The complaint further alleged the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission's notice of investigation named Sunbeam Products, Inc. (d/b/a Jarden Consumer Solutions) of Boca Raton, Florida as the sole respondent.

On February 27, 2013, complainant and respondent jointly moved to

terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement. The Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of the motion.

The ALJ issued the subject ID on March 25, 2013, granting the joint motion for termination of the investigation. He found that the joint motion for termination based on a settlement agreement satisfied Commission rule 210.21(b)(1). He further found, pursuant to Commission rule 210.50(b)(2), that termination of this investigation based on a settlement agreement is in the public interest. No party petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID, and has terminated the investigation.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.21 and 210.42(h) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.21, 210.42(h)).

Issued: April 15, 2013.

By order of the Commission.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2013-09170 Filed 4-18-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-834]

### Certain Mobile Electronic Devices Incorporating Haptics; Termination of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 35) terminating the investigation on the basis of withdrawal of the complaint.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sidney A. Rosenzweig, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2532. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General

information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on April 6, 2012, based on a complaint filed by Immersion Corporation of San Jose, California ("Immersion"). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain mobile electronic devices incorporating haptics that infringe certain claims of six Immersion patents. 77 FR 20847 (Apr. 6, 2012). The notice of institution named as respondents HTC Corporation of Taoyuan, Taiwan and HTC America, Inc. of Bellevue, Washington (collectively, "HTC"); and Motorola Mobility, Inc. and Motorola Mobility Holdings, Inc., both of Libertyville, Illinois (collectively, "Motorola"). On February 13, 2013, the Commission determined not to review the ALJ's ID (Order No. 30) terminating the investigation as to the Motorola respondents on the basis of a settlement agreement.

On March 12, 2013, Immersion moved to terminate the investigation on the basis of withdrawal of the complaint. See 19 CFR 210.21(a)(1). On March 14, 2013, HTC responded, agreeing that the investigation should be terminated.

On March 27, 2013, the ALJ granted the motion as an ID. Order No. 35. The ALJ found that Immersion complied with the requirements of 19 CFR 210.21(a), and that "extraordinary circumstances" did not prevent termination of the investigation. Order No. 35 at 2 (citing *Certain Ultrafiltration Membrane Systems, and Components Thereof, Including Ultrafiltration Membranes*, Inv. No. 337-TA-107, Comm'n Action and Order, at 2 (Mar. 11, 1982)).

No petitions for review of the ID were filed. The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.21 and 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.21, 210.42).

Issued: April 15, 2013.

By order of the Commission.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2013-09179 Filed 4-18-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA")

Notice is hereby given that on April 11, 2013, a proposed Consent Decree ("proposed Decree") in *United States v. Jay-Cee Cleaners, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No. 2:13CV186 was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia.

In this action under Section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a) ("CERCLA"), the United States sought reimbursement of response costs incurred or to be incurred for response actions taken at or in connection with the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Jay-Cee Cleaners Superfund Site ("Site") located at 16163 Lankford Highway in Nelsonia, Accomack County, Virginia. The proposed Decree requires Settling Defendants to pay 100% of the proceeds from the sale of the Site property to the United States in reimbursement of response costs. The proposed Decree also requires Settling Defendants to pay 50% of the proceeds from the sale of an adjacent property located behind the Site, known as "Poulson Lot 3," and designated as Parcel Identification No. 069C00200000300 in the County of Accomack, Virginia Real Estate Taxable Landbook, as payment of a civil penalty for the alleged failure to comply with Section 104(e)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9604(e)(2).

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Jay-Cee Cleaners, Inc., et al.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-3-09938/1. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail: