notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301 on the basis that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. Notice of receipt of the petition was published, with a 30-day public comment period, on January 25, 2011 in the Federal Register (76 FR 4420). No comments were received. To view the petition, and all supporting documents log onto the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) Web site at: http://www.regulations.gov/. Then follow the online search instructions to locate docket number “NHTSA–2010–0177.”

For further information on this decision contact Mr. Michael Cole, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), telephone (202) 366–2334, facsimile (202) 366–7002.

Lamps Involved: OSRAM SYLVANIA estimates that approximately 28,412 “H11 C” light sources (bulbs) that it manufactured on June 23 and 24, 2010 are affected. All of the affected light sources were manufactured by OSRAM GmbH, Industriestrasse, Herbrechtingen, Germany.

Summary of Osram Sylvania’s Analysis and Arguments: OSRAM SYLVANIA described the noncompliance as the mismarking of type “H11 C” lighting sources as type “H11.”

In its petition OSRAM SYLVANIA argues that the noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety for the following reasons:

(1) The noncompliance in this case pertains solely to the failure of the subject light sources to meet the applicable marking requirements.

(2) “H11 C” light sources are designed to be completely interchangeable with the original “H11” light sources. When Philips Lighting B.V., submitted its modification to the “H11” light source specification that became the “H11 C” specification it certified that use of the “H11 C” light source will not create a noncompliance with any requirement of FMVSS No. 108 when used to replace an “H11” light source in a headlamp certified by its manufacturer as conforming to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards. Subject “H11 C” light sources are designed to conform to Part 564 Docket NHTSA 98–3397–81 including the additional requirements under paragraph IX. In other words, inadvertent installation of a subject “H11 C” light source in place of an “H11” light source—or vice versa—will not create a noncompliance with any of the performance or interchangeability requirements of FMVSS No. 108 (including beam pattern photometrics) or otherwise present an increased risk to motor vehicle safety.

(3) “H11 C” light sources have the same filament position, dimension and tolerances, capsule and capsule support dimensions, bulb base interchangeability dimensions, seal specifications, and electrical specifications as the “H11.” The only difference between the “H11” light source and the “H11 C” light source is that the “H11 C” provides for the light transmitting portion of the glass wall to incorporate a color controlling optical filter in order to improve visibility. 1

(4) The agency has concluded in previous similar petitions that a noncompliance is inconsequential when mismarked light sources are otherwise fully compliant with the performance requirements of the standard.

Supported by the above stated reasons, OSRAM SYLVANIA believes that the described FMVSS No. 108 noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety, and that its petition, to exempt it from providing recall notification of noncompliance as required by 49 U.S.C. 30118 and remedying the recall noncompliance as required by 49 U.S.C. 30120, should be granted.

Discussion: NHTSA has reviewed and accepts OSRAM SYLVANIA’s analyses that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. The “H11 C” light source is a design that is completely interchangeable with the original “H11” light source. The “H11 C” light sources have the same filament position, dimension and tolerances, capsule and capsule support dimensions, bulb base interchangeability dimensions, seal specifications, and electrical specifications as the “H11.” As such, NHTSA accepts OSRAM SYLVANIA’s analyses that a noncompliance existed in the lamps identified in OSRAM SYLVANIA’s Noncompliance Information Report and is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

NHTSA Decision: In consideration of the foregoing, NHTSA has decided that OSRAM SYLVANIA has met its burden of persuasion that the FMVSS No. 108 noncompliance in the lamps identified in OSRAM SYLVANIA’s Noncompliance Information Report and is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety. Accordingly, OSRAM SYLVANIA’s petition is granted and the petitioner is exempted from the obligation of providing notification of, and a remedy for, that noncompliance under 49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120. NHTSA notes that the statutory provisions (49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h)) that permit manufacturers to file petitions for a determination of inconsequentiality allow NHTSA to exempt manufacturers only from the duties found in sections 30118 and 30120, respectively, to notify owners, purchasers, and dealers of a defect or noncompliance and to remedy the defect or noncompliance. Therefore, this decision only applies to the lamps that OSRAM SYLVANIA no longer controlled at the time that it determined that a noncompliance existed in the subject vehicles.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30118, 30120: delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.95 and 501.8


Claude H. Harris, Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.

[FPR Doc. 2013–08956 Filed 4–16–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA–2013–0031, Notice 1]

Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 1991 Volkswagen Transporter Multi-Purpose Vehicles Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of petition.

SUMMARY: This document announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that nonconforming 1991 Volkswagen Transporter Multi-Purpose Passenger Vehicles that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards (FMVSS), are eligible for importation into the United States because they are substantially similar to vehicles that were originally manufactured for sale in the United States and that were certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards (1991 Volkswagen Vanagon Multi-Purpose Passenger Vehicles) and they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

DATES: The closing date for comments on the petition is May 17, 2013.

Address: Comments should refer to the docket and notice numbers above and be submitted by any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
• Mail: Docket Management Facility: U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, Washington, DC 20590–0001
• Hand Delivery or Courier: West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. ET, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.
• Fax: 202–493–2251

Instructions: Comments must be written in the English language, and be no greater than 15 pages in length, although there is no limit to the length of necessary attachments to the comments. If comments are submitted in hard copy form, please ensure that two copies are provided. If you wish to receive confirmation that your comments were received, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard with the comments. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided. Please see the Privacy Act heading below.

Privacy Act: Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT’s complete Privacy Act Statement in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78).

How To Read Comments submitted to the Docket: You may read the comments received by Docket Management at the address and times given above. You may also view the documents from the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for accessing the dockets. The docket ID number and title of this notice are shown at the heading of this document notice. Please note that even after the comment closing date, we will continue to file relevant information in the Docket as it becomes available. Further, some people may submit late comments. Accordingly, we recommend that you periodically search the Docket for new material.

For Further Information Contact:

Supplementary Information:

Background:

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable FMVSS shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable FMVSS.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the Federal Register of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the Federal Register.

Autostadt West of West Sacramento, California (Registered Importer 06–346) has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether nonconforming European Market 1991 Volkswagen Transporter Multi-Purpose Passenger Vehicles are eligible for importation into the United States. The vehicles which Autostadt West believes are substantially similar are 1991 Volkswagen Transporter Multi-Purpose Passenger Vehicles that were manufactured for import into, and sale in the United States and certified by their manufacturer as conforming to all applicable FMVSS.

The petitioner claims that it compared non-U.S. certified 1991 Volkswagen Transporter Multi-Purpose Passenger Vehicles to their U.S.-certified counterparts, and found the vehicles to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most FMVSS.


The petitioner also contends that the vehicles are capable of being readily altered to meet the following standards, in the manner indicated:

- Standard No. 101 Controls and Displays: replacement of the brake failure lamp lens, installation of a seat belt warning lamp, and recalibration of the speedometer/odometer to show speed in miles per hour and distance in miles.
- Standard No. 111 Rearview Mirrors: replacement of the passenger side rearview mirror with a U.S.-model component or inscription of the required warning statement on the face of that mirror.
- Standard No. 120 Tire Selection for Vehicles Other Than Passenger Vehicles: installation of an information placard containing manufacturer specifications for seating capacity and loading, and tire specifications.
- Standard No. 208 Occupant Crash Protection: (a) Installation of a U.S.-model push button seat belt buckle with a warning contact switch; (b) installation of a seat belt warning light in the instrument cluster and associated wiring.
- Standard No. 209 Seat Belt Assemblies: installation of a U.S.-model push button seat belt buckle with a warning contact switch.
The petitioner states that the VIN plate must also be installed on the left front corner of the dashboard to meet the requirements of 49 CFR part 565.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above addresses both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the Federal Register pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), (a)(1)(B), and (b)(4); 49 CFR 503.7; delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.95 and 501.8.

Issued on: April 11, 2013.

Claude H. Harris,
Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2013–08957 Filed 4–16–13; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
Office of the Comptroller of the Currency

Agency Information Collection Activities; Information Collection Renewal; Submission for OMB Review: Municipal Securities Dealers and Government Securities Brokers and Dealers—Registration and Withdrawal

AGENCY: Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice and request for comment.

SUMMARY: The OCC, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on a continuing information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. Currently, the OCC is soliciting comment concerning its renewal of an information collection titled, “Municipal Securities Dealers and Government Securities Brokers and Dealers—Registration and Withdrawal.” The OCC is also giving notice that the collection has been sent to OMB for review.

DATES: You should submit written comments by May 17, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Because paper mail in the Washington, DC area and at the OCC is subject to delay, commenters are encouraged to submit comments by email if possible. Comments may be sent to: Legislative and Regulatory Activities Division, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Attention: 1557–0184, 400 7th Street SW., Suite 3E–218, Mail Stop 9W–11, Washington, DC 20219. In addition, comments may be sent by fax to (571) 465–4326 or by electronic mail to regs.comments@occ.treas.gov. You may personally inspect and photocopy comments at the OCC, 400 7th Street SW., Washington, DC 20219. For security reasons, the OCC requires that visitors make an appointment to inspect comments. You may do so by calling (202) 649–6700. Upon arrival, visitors will be required to present valid government-issued photo identification and to submit to security screening in order to inspect and photocopy comments.

All comments received, including attachments and other supporting materials, are part of the public record and subject to public disclosure. Do not enclose any information in your comment or supporting materials that you consider confidential or inappropriate for public disclosure.

Additionally, please send a copy of your comments by mail to: OCC Desk Officer, 1557–0184, U.S. Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street NW., #10235, Washington, DC 20503, or by email to: oira_submission@omb.eop.gov

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: You can request additional information from or a copy of the collection from Johnny Vilela or Mary H. Gottlieb, Clearance Officers, (202) 649–5490, Legislative and Regulatory Activities Division (1557–0184), Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, 400 7th Street SW., Suite 3E–218, Mail Stop 9W–11, Washington, DC 20219.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The OCC is proposing to extend OMB approval of the following information collection:

Title: Municipal Securities Dealers and Government Securities Brokers and Dealers—Registration and Withdrawal.

OMB Control No.: 1557–0184.

Form Numbers: MSD, MSDW, MSD–4, MSD–5, G–FIN, G–FINW.

Abstract: This information collection is required to satisfy the requirements of section 15B1 and section 15C2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 which require, in part, any national bank or Federal savings association that acts as a government securities broker/dealer or a municipal securities dealer to file the appropriate form with the OCC to inform the agency of its broker/dealer activities. The OCC uses this information to determine which national banks and Federal savings associations are acting as government and municipal securities broker/dealers and to monitor entry into and exit from government and municipal securities broker/dealer activities by institutions and registered persons. The OCC also uses the information in planning national bank and Federal savings association examinations.

Type of Review: Renewal of a currently approved collection. The collection has not changed. The OCC asks only that OMB approve its revised estimates and extend its approval of the forms, revised only to add a clarification to the instructions.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profit; individuals.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 24.

Estimated Total Annual Responses: 920.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 867.25 burden hours.

Comments: The OCC issued a 60-day Federal Register notice on February 8, 2013. 78 FR 9452. No comments were received. Comments continue to be solicited on:

(a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the OCC; including whether the information has practical utility; (b) The accuracy of the OCC’s estimate of the information collection burden; (c) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) Estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

Dated: April 11, 2013.

Michele Meyer,
Assistant Director, Legislative & Regulatory Activities Division.

[FR Doc. 2013–08951 Filed 4–16–13; 8:45 am]
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