

Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results for all shipments of SSB from Brazil entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) No cash deposit will be required for Villares which received a rate of 0.00 percent in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 19.43 percent, the all-others rate established in the *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Bar From Brazil*, 59 FR 66914 (December 28, 1994). These cash deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely notification of the destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations

and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These final results of administrative review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 9, 2013.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2013-08792 Filed 4-12-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-848]

Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission; 2010-2011

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 9, 2012, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People's Republic of China (the PRC). The period of review (POR) is September 1, 2010, through August 31, 2011. For the final results, we continue to find that certain companies covered by this review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 15, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hermes Pinilla or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3477 or (202) 482-1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 9, 2012, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the PRC.¹ On

¹ See *Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2010-2011*, 77 FR 61383 (October 9, 2012) (*Preliminary Results*) and the accompanying Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, entitled "Decision Memorandum for Preliminary

January 14, 2013, we issued a memorandum extending the time limit for the final results of the review to April 9, 2013.² On February 25, 2013, we issued a post-preliminary memorandum finding that Xiping Opeck Food Co., Ltd.'s (Xiping Opeck) U.S. customer's customer is a price discriminator, *i.e.*, is in a position to set the price of the product, for most of Xiping Opeck's entries subject to this review.³

We received case and rebuttal briefs with respect to the *Preliminary Results* and the Post-Preliminary Analysis Memo, and at the Crawfish Processors Alliance's request, we held a hearing on March 14, 2013.

We have conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the antidumping duty order is freshwater crawfish tail meat. The freshwater crawfish tail meat subject to the order is currently classifiable under subheadings 1605.40.10.10, 1605.40.10.90, 0306.19.00.10, and 0306.29.00.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum,⁴ which is hereby adopted by this notice. The written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision

Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China," dated October 9, 2012 (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

² See Memorandum to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, entitled "Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated January 14, 2013.

³ See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, entitled, "Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China—Post-Preliminary Analysis Memorandum" dated February 25, 2013 (Post-Preliminary Analysis Memo).

⁴ See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, entitled "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China" dated concurrently with this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

Memorandum. A list of the issues raised is attached to this notice as an appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Import Administration's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). Access to IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Import Administration Web site at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Rescission of Administrative Review in Part

We preliminarily found that Shanghai Ocean Flavor International Trading Co., Ltd. (Shanghai Ocean Flavor), and Xuzhou Jinjiang Foodstuffs Co., Ltd. (Xuzhou Jinjiang) did not have exports of subject merchandise during the POR and, on this basis, we stated our intent to rescind the review in part.⁵ We continue to find that Shanghai Ocean Flavor and Xuzhou Jinjiang had no shipments of freshwater crawfish tail meat from the PRC during the POR. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), we are rescinding the review of Shanghai Ocean Flavor, and Xuzhou Jinjiang.

Nature of Transactions Pertaining to the Entries Under Review With Respect to Xiping Opeck

In our Post-Preliminary Analysis Memo we preliminarily found that another entity (hereinafter, Company A)⁶ plays a role in the pricing associated with most of Xiping Opeck's entries of subject merchandise in this review. For a detailed discussion on this issue and of our calculation of the antidumping margin on the sales made by Company A, see the Post-Preliminary Analysis Memo. For these final results, we continue to find that Company A plays a role in the pricing associated with most of Xiping Opeck's entries of subject merchandise in this review.

⁵ See Preliminary Decision Memorandum, at 2–3.

⁶ We are withholding the identity of Company A because Xiping Opeck's U.S. customer claimed business-proprietary treatment of this information. See Post-Preliminary Analysis Memo at 1.

Separate Rate for a Non-Selected Company

Nanjing Gemsen International Co., Ltd. (Nanjing) is the only exporter of crawfish tail meat from the PRC that demonstrated its eligibility for a separate rate which was not selected for individual examination in this review. The calculated rates of the respondents selected for individual examination have changed since the *Preliminary Results* and are now all zero. Accordingly, we have concluded that in this case a reasonable method for determining the rate for the non-selected company, Nanjing, is to apply its most recent individually calculated rate of 12.37 percent to Nanjing, its calculated rate in a previous administrative review.⁷ For a detailed discussion, see Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received, we have made revisions that have changed the results for certain companies. Additionally, we have made calculation programming changes for the final results. For further details on the changes we made for these final results, see the company-specific analysis memoranda, the Post-Preliminary Analysis Memo, and the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which are hereby adopted by this notice.

Final Results of the Review

As a result of the administrative review, we determine that the following percentage weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period September 1, 2010, through August 31, 2011:

Company	Margin (percent)
Xiping Opeck Food Co., Ltd. China Kingdom (Beijing) Import & Export Co. Ltd.	0.00
Yangcheng Hi-King Agriculture Developing Co. Ltd. ⁸	0.00
Nanjing Gemsen International Co., Ltd.	12.37

Assessment

The Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection

⁷ See *Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative and New-Shipper Reviews*, 75 FR 79337 (December 20, 2010).

⁸ For these final results, we continue to find that Yangcheng Hi-King Agriculture Developing Co. Ltd (Hi-King Agriculture) and its affiliates, Yancheng Seastar Seafood Co., Ltd., Wuhan Hi-King Agriculture Development Co., Ltd., Yancheng Hi-King Frozen Food Co., Ltd., Jiangxi Hi-King Poyang Lake Seafood Co., Ltd., and Yancheng Hi-King

(CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. We intend to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of review.

For Xiping Opeck, China Kingdom (Beijing) Import & Export Co. Ltd., and Hi-King Agriculture we will instruct CBP to liquidate all entries during the POR without regard to antidumping duties because their weighted-average dumping margins in these final results are zero or *de minimis*.⁹ For Nanjing, the only non-selected respondent that received a separate rate, we will instruct CBP to apply an antidumping duty assessment rate equal to the weighted-average dumping margin in these final results of 12.37 percent to all entries of subject merchandise that entered the United States during the POR.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results of review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For subject merchandise exported by the companies listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in this final results of review for each exporter as listed above, except if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, then no cash deposit will be required for that exporter; (2) for previously investigated companies not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the investigation; (3) for all other PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 223.01 percent; (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC entity that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a

Aquatic Growing Co., Ltd., are a single entity for the purpose of calculating an antidumping duty margin. See Issues and Decision memorandum.

⁹ See *Antidumping Proceeding: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8103 (February 14, 2012).

certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These final results of review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: April 9, 2013.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix

1. Use of U.S. Prices to Value Whole Crawfish
2. Use of Post-POR Spanish Prices to Value Whole Crawfish
3. Use of Updated Financial Information to Value Factory Overhead, Selling, General & Administrative (SG&A) Expenses, and Profit

[FR Doc. 2013-08791 Filed 4-12-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-954]

Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks From the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Final Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2010-2011

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 9, 2012, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the first administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain magnesia carbon bricks from the People's Republic of China ("PRC").¹

¹ See *Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks From the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty*

We provided interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. After reviewing the comments and information received, we made no change to the *Preliminary Results*. The final weighted-average dumping margins for this review are listed below in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice. The period of review ("POR") is March 12, 2010, through August 31, 2011.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 15, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jerry Huang, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4047.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 9, 2012, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*. On October 31, 2012, Fengchi Imp. and Exp. Co., Ltd. of Haicheng City, and its affiliated producer Fengchi Refractories Co., of Haicheng City (collectively "Fengchi") submitted surrogate value information. On November 13, 2012, the Department received case briefs from Resco Products, Inc. ("Petitioner") and from Fengchi and Fedmet Resources Corporation ("Fedmet"), an importer of subject merchandise. On November 19, 2012, the Department received rebuttal briefs from Petitioner, ANH Refractories Company ("ANH"), a domestic interested party, Fengchi and Fedmet.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this review are addressed in the memorandum entitled, "Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2010-2011 Administrative Review ("Issues and Decision Memorandum")," which is dated concurrently with, and adopted by, this notice. A list of the issues which parties raised, and to which we responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as Appendix I. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Import Administration's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS"). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov>, and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of

Administrative Review; 2010-2011, 77 FR 61394 (October 9, 2012) ("Preliminary Results").

Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://www.trade.gov/ia/>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order includes certain magnesia carbon bricks.² Certain magnesia carbon bricks that are the subject of this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 6810.11.0000, 6810.91.0000, 6810.99.0080, 6902.10.1000, 6902.10.5000, 6815.91.0000, 6815.99.2000 and 6815.99.4000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order remains dispositive.³

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on the comments received from the interested parties, we have made no change to the *Preliminary Results*. For a discussion of the issues, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Final Rescission, in Part, of the Administrative Review

In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department indicated its intent to rescind this review with respect to ANH (Xinyi) Refractories ("ANH (Xinyi)"), Yingkou New Century Refractories Ltd. ("Yingkou New Century"), and RHI Refractories Asia Pacific Pte. Ltd., RHI Refractories (Dalian) Co., Ltd., RHI Refractories Liaoning Co., Ltd., RHI Trading Shanghai Branch, and RHI Trading (Dalian) Co., Ltd. (collectively, "RHI") upon preliminarily determining that they had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.⁴ Subsequent to the *Preliminary Results*, no information was submitted on the record indicating that these companies made sales to the United States of subject merchandise during the POR and no party provided written arguments regarding this issue. Thus, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), and consistent with our practice,⁵ we

² See Issues and Decision Memorandum for a complete description of the scope of the order.

³ See *Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks From Mexico and the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 75 FR 57257 (September 20, 2010).

⁴ See *Preliminary Results*, 77 FR 61394-61395.

⁵ See, e.g., *Certain Tissue Paper Products from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 73 FR 18497, 18500 (April