12. Energy Effects

This action is not a “significant energy action” under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use.

13. Technical Standards

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

14. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 025–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves the establishment of a temporary safety zone. This rule is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph 34(g) of Figure 2–1 of the Commandant Instruction. An environmental analysis checklist supporting this determination and a Categorical Exclusion Determination are available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this rule.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:


■ 2. Add §165.T05–1082 to read as follows:

§165.T05–1082 Safety Zone; Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, Wrightsville Beach, NC

(a) Regulated area. The following area is a safety zone: This zone includes the waters directly under and 100 yards either side of the US 74/76 Basculine Bridge crossing the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, mile 283.1, at Wrightsville Beach, North Carolina (34°13'07" N/ 077°48'46" W).

(b) Regulations. The general safety zone regulations found in 33 CFR 165.23 apply to the safety zone created by this temporary section, §165.T05–1082. In addition the following regulations apply:

(1) All vessels and persons are prohibited from entering this zone, except as authorized by the Coast Guard Captain of the Port North Carolina.

(2) All vessels requiring greater than 50 feet horizontal clearance to safely transit through the U.S. 74/76 Basculine Bridge crossing the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, mile 283.1, at Wrightsville Beach, North Carolina must contact the bridge tender on VHF–FM marine band radio channels 13 and 16 one hour in advance of intended transit.

(3) Persons or vessels requiring entry into or passage within the zone must request authorization from the Captain of the Port North Carolina or his designated representative by telephone at (910) 343–3882 or on VHF–FM marine band radio channel 16.

(4) All Coast Guard assets enforcing this safety zone can be contacted on VHF–FM marine band radio channels 13 and 16.

(5) The operator of any vessel within or in the immediate vicinity of this safety zone shall:

(i) Stop the vessel immediately upon being directed to do so by any commissioned, warrant or petty officer on board a vessel displaying a Coast Guard Ensign, and

(ii) Proceed as directed by any commissioned, warrant or petty officer on board a vessel displaying a Coast Guard Ensign.

(c) Definitions. (1) Captain of the Port North Carolina means the Commander, Coast Guard Sector North Carolina or any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant or petty officer who has been authorized by the Captain of the Port to act on his behalf.

(2) Designated representative means any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant, or petty officer who has been authorized by the Captain of the Port North Carolina to assist in enforcing the safety zone described in paragraph (a) of this section.

(d) Enforcement. The U.S. Coast Guard may be assisted by Federal, State and local agencies in the patrol and enforcement of the zone.

(e) Enforcement period. This section will be enforced from 8 p.m. May 1, 2013 through 8 p.m. July 27, 2013 unless cancelled earlier by the Captain of the Port.


A. Popiel,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Sector North Carolina.

[FR Doc. 2013–08732 Filed 4–12–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52


Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans for Tennessee: Revisions to Volatile Organic Compound Definition

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Withdrawal of direct final rule.

SUMMARY: Due to the receipt of an adverse comment, EPA is withdrawing the February 19, 2013, direct final rule to approve Tennessee’s September 3, 1999, state implementation plan (SIP) submission to change rule 1200–3–9–01 to add a total of 17 compounds to the list of compounds excluded from the definition of “Volatile Organic Compound” (VOC). EPA is considering this comment and will address the comment in a subsequent action. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action.

DATES: The direct final rule published at 78 FR 11583 on February 19, 2013, is withdrawn as of April 15, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sean Lakeman, Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. The telephone number is (404) 562–9043. Mr. Lakeman can be reached via electronic mail at lakeman.sean@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On February 19, 2013 (78 FR 11583), EPA proposed to approve Tennessee’s September 3, 1999, SIP submission to change rule 1200–3–9–01 to add a total of 17 compounds to the list of compounds excluded from the definition of VOC to be consistent with EPA’s definition of VOC at 40 CFR 51.100(s). The SIP submittal was in response to EPA’s revision to the definition of VOC, (at 40 CFR 51.100(s)) published in the Federal Register on August 25, 1997 (62 FR 44900) and
April 9, 1998 (63 FR 17331). These compounds were added to the exclusion list for VOC on the basis that they have a negligible effect on tropospheric ozone formation. In the direct final rule, EPA stated that if adverse comments were received by March 21, 2013, the rule would be withdrawn and not take effect. On March 21, 2013, EPA received a comment. EPA interprets this comment as adverse and, therefore, EPA is withdrawing the direct final rule. EPA will address the comment in a subsequent final action based upon the proposed rulemaking action, also published on February 19, 2013 (78 FR 11618). EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: April 5, 2013.

Beverly H. Banister,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

Accordingly, the amendment to 40 CFR 52.2220(c) which published in the Federal Register on February 19, 2013, at 78 FR 11585 is withdrawn as of April 15, 2013.

[FR Doc. 2013–08695 Filed 4–12–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52


AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Withdrawal of direct final rule.

SUMMARY: Due to the receipt of a comment, EPA is voluntarily withdrawing the February 22, 2013, direct final rule to approve North Carolina’s August 2, 2012, state implementation plan (SIP) submission for the limited maintenance plan showing continued attainment of the 8-hour carbon monoxide (CO) National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for the Charlotte, Raleigh/Durham and Winston-Salem Areas. EPA will consider this comment and will address the comment as appropriate and take final action at a later time. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action.

DATES: The direct final rule published at 78 FR 12238 on February 22, 2013, is withdrawn as of April 15, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard Wong, Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. The telephone number is (404) 562–8726. Mr. Wong can be reached via electronic mail at wong.richard@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On February 22, 2013 (78 FR 12238), EPA proposed to approve North Carolina’s August 2, 2012, SIP submission. The limited maintenance plan update is for the maintenance areas showing continued attainment of the 8-hour CO NAAQS for the Charlotte, Raleigh/Durham and Winston-Salem Areas. In the direct final rule, EPA stated that if adverse comments were received by March 25, 2013, the rule would be withdrawn and not take effect. On March 25, 2013, EPA received a comment. The comment could be interpreted as adverse and, therefore, EPA is withdrawing the direct final rule. EPA will address the comment, as appropriate, in a subsequent final action based upon the proposed rulemaking action, also published on February 22, 2013 (78 FR 12267). EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.


A. Stanley Meiburg,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

Accordingly, the amendment to 40 CFR 52.1770 which published in the Federal Register on February 22, 2013, at 78 FR 12243 is withdrawn as of April 15, 2013.

[FR Doc. 2013–08694 Filed 4–12–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 54

[WC Docket Nos. 10–90, 07–135, 05–337, 03–109; GN Docket No. 09–51; CC Docket Nos. 01–92, 96–45; WT Docket No. 10–208; DA 13–332]

Connect America Fund; A National Broadband Plan for Our Future; Establishing Just and Reasonable Rates for Local Exchange Carriers; High-Cost Universal Service Support; Developing a Unified Intercarrier Compensation Regime; Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service; Lifeline and Link-Up; Universal Service Reform—Mobility Fund

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) addresses a petition for clarification and reconsideration, or in the alternative waiver, filed by the United States Telecom Association and CTIA—The Wireless Association. The Bureau also clarifies and waives certain aspects of the reporting requirements adopted in the USF/ICC Transformation Order for eligible telecommunications carriers relating to five-year build-out plans and broadband network testing.

DATES: Effective May 15, 2013, except for the amendments made to §54.313(a) in this document, which contain information collection requirements that are not effective until approved by the Office of Management and Budget. The Federal Communications Commission will publish a document in the Federal Register announcing the effective date for that section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alexander Minard, Wireline Competition Bureau, (202) 418–7400 or TTY: (202) 418–0484.