2. Section 52.220, is amended by adding paragraphs (c)(411)(i)(G) and (c)(423)(i)(C) to read as follows:

§ 52.220 Identification of plan.

(c) * * * * *

(i) * * * *

(1) Rule 461, “Gasoline Transfer and Dispensing,” revised on October 20, 2011.

(2) Rule 352, “Natural Gas-Fired Fan-Type Central Furnaces and Small Water Heaters,” revised on October 20, 2011.

(3) Rule 465, “Initial Source Inspections,” revised on October 20, 2011.

(d) * * * *

(i) * * * *


(2) Rule 352, “Natural Gas-Fired Fan-Type Central Furnaces and Small Water Heaters,” revised on October 20, 2011.

(ii) * * * *

(423) * * *

(i) * * *

(C) South Coast Air Quality Management District.

(D) * * * *


(ii) * * * *

(423) * * *

(i) * * *

(2) State Air Resources Board (CARB).

3. Section 52.220, is amended by adding paragraphs (c)(411)(i)(G) and (c)(423)(i)(C) to read as follows:

§ 52.220 Identification of plan.

(c) * * * * *

(i) * * * *

(1) Rule 461, “Gasoline Transfer and Dispensing,” revised on October 20, 2011.

(ii) * * * *

(423) * * *

(i) * * *

(C) South Coast Air Quality Management District.

(d) * * * *

(i) * * *


(ii) * * * *

(423) * * *

(i) * * *

(2) State Air Resources Board (CARB).

C. Public Comment and Final Action.

A. How is EPA evaluating the rules?

B. Are there other versions of these rules?

C. What is the purpose of the submitted rule revisions?

TABLE 1—SUBMITTED RULES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local agency</th>
<th>Rule No.</th>
<th>Rule title</th>
<th>Adopted</th>
<th>Submitted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AVAQMD</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>Certification of Submissions and Emission Statements</td>
<td>05/15/12</td>
<td>09/21/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBUAPCD</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>Inspection of Public Records—Disclosure Policy (rescinded)</td>
<td>04/20/05</td>
<td>07/15/05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBUAPCD</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>Public Records—Definitions (rescinded)</td>
<td>04/20/05</td>
<td>07/15/05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBUAPCD</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>Districts Request for Information (rescinded)</td>
<td>04/20/05</td>
<td>07/15/05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBUAPCD</td>
<td>903</td>
<td>Inspections of Public Records—Disclosure Procedure (rescinded)</td>
<td>04/20/05</td>
<td>07/15/05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBUAPCD</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>Trade Secrets—Procedure When Inspection is Requested (rescinded)</td>
<td>04/20/05</td>
<td>07/15/05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBCAPCD</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>Definitions</td>
<td>06/21/12</td>
<td>09/21/12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On August 18, 2005, EPA determined that the submittal for MBUAPCD Rules 900, 901, 902, 903, and 904 met the completeness criteria in 40 CFR Part 51 Appendix V, which must be met before formal EPA review.

On October 11, 2012, EPA determined that the submittal for AVAQMD Rule 107 and SBCAPCD Rule 102 met the completeness criteria in 40 CFR Part 51 Appendix V, which must be met before formal review.

B. Are there other versions of these rules?

There is no previous version of AVAQMD Rule 107 in the SIP. We approved an earlier version of MBUAPCD Rules 900, 901, 902, 903, and 904 into the SIP on July 13, 1987 (52 FR 26148) and SBCAPCD Rule 102 into the SIP on May 4, 2012 (77 FR 26448).

C. What is the purpose of the submitted rule revisions?

Section 110(a) of the CAA requires states to submit regulations that control volatile organic compounds, oxides of nitrogen, particulate matter, and other air pollutants which harm human health and the environment. These rules were developed as part of the local agency’s program to control these pollutants.

Antelope Valley AQMD Rule 107, Certification of Submissions and Emission Statements, requires the owner or operator of a stationary sources emitting VOC or NO\textsubscript{X} to provide AVAQMD with an annual statement of actual emissions. The emission statement must contain the information described in the California Air Resources Board (CARB) Emission Inventory Guidelines. The statement must also contain a certification signed and dated by a responsible official of the company, which attests that the information contained in the submitted documents are accurate to the best knowledge of the individual certifying the submission. The APCO may waive the emission statement requirement for sources which emit less than 25 tpy if AVAQMD provides CARB with an emission inventory of sources emitting greater than 10 tpy of VOC or NO\textsubscript{X} using emission factors acceptable to CARB and EPA.

Monterey Bay Unified APCD Rule 900, Inspection of Public Records—Disclosure, Rule 901, Public records—Definitions, Rule 902, Districts Request for Information, Rule 903, Inspection of Public Records—Disclosure Procedure and Rule 904, Trade Secrets—Procedure When Inspection is Requested, are being repealed. These rules are being repealed because the District has updated their Public Records Request Procedures pursuant to changes made to the California Public Records Act.

Santa Barbara County APCD Rule 102, Definitions, is being amended by adding new definitions to terms common to the proposed amended rules and to improve rule clarity. The District added and modified several solvent-related and surface-coating definitions that are used in various parts of the rulebook. The definition of reactive organic compound was updated to include most of the exempt compounds listed in 40 CFR 50.100(s) and an exempt compound definition was added.

EPA’s technical support documents (TSD) have more information about these rules.

II. EPA’s Evaluation and Action

A. How is EPA evaluating the rules?

These rules describe administrative provisions and definitions that support emission controls found in other local agency requirements. In combination with the other requirements, these rules must be enforceable (see section 110(a) of the Act) and must not relax existing requirements (see sections 110(l) and 193). EPA policy that we used to evaluate enforceability requirements consistently includes the Bluebook (“Issues Relating to VOC Regulation Cutpoints, Deficiencies, and Deviations,” EPA, May 25, 1988) and the Little Bluebook (“Guidance Document for Correcting Common VOC & Other Rule Deficiencies,” EPA Region 9, August 21, 2001).

B. Do the rules meet the evaluation criteria?

We believe these rules are consistent with the relevant policy and guidance regarding enforceability and SIP relaxations. The TSDs have more information on our evaluation.

C. Public Comment and Final Action

As authorized in section 110(k)(3) of the Act, EPA is fully approving the submitted rules because we believe they fulfill all relevant requirements. We do not think anyone will object to this approval, so we are finalizing it without proposing it in advance. However, in the Proposed Rules section of this Federal Register, we are simultaneously proposing approval of the same submitted rules. If we receive adverse comments by May 13, 2013, we will publish a timely withdrawal in the Federal Register to notify the public that the direct final approval will not take effect and we will address the comments in a subsequent final action based on the proposal. If we do not receive timely adverse comments, the direct final approval will be effective without further notice on June 10, 2013. This will incorporate these rules into the federally enforceable SIP.

Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the Clean Air Act, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA’s role is to approve State choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. Accordingly, this action merely approves State law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by State law. For that reason, this action:

• Is not a “significant regulatory action” subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
• does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
• is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);
• does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4); and
• is not a significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13104 (62 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
• is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 20885, April 23, 1997);
• is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
• is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act; and
• does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address disproportionate human health or environmental effects with practical, appropriate, and legally permissible methods under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this rule does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the State, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by June 10, 2013. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. Parties with objections to this direct final rule are encouraged to file a comment in response to the parallel notice of proposed rulemaking for this action published in the Proposed Rules section of today’s Federal Register, rather than file an immediate petition for judicial review of this direct final rule, so that EPA can withdraw this direct final rule and address the comment in the proposed rulemaking. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements (see section 307(b)(2)).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52
Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping

requirements, Volatile organic compounds.


Jared Blumenfeld, Regional Administrator, Region IX.

Part 52, Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart F—California

2. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraphs (c)(159)(iii)(H), (c)(423)(i)(D), and (c)(423)(i)(E) to read as follows:

§52.220 Identification of plan.

* * * * *

(c) * * * *(159) * * * *(iii) * * * *(H) Previously approved on July 13, 1987 in (c)(159)(iii)(A) of this section and now deleted without replacement Rules 900, 901, 902, 903, and 904.

* * * * *

(423) * * * *(i) * * * *(D) Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District.


(2) Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District.


[FR Doc. 2013–08255 Filed 4–10–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81


Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Oregon: Eugene-Springfield PM10 Nonattainment Area Limited Maintenance Plan and Redesignation Request

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is taking direct final action to approve the Limited Maintenance Plan (LMP) submitted by the State of Oregon on January 13, 2012, for the Eugene-Springfield nonattainment area (Eugene-Springfield NAAQS) for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers (PM10). EPA is approving the State’s request because it meets Clean Air Act (CAA) requirements for redesignation. EPA is approving the State’s SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because EPA views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments.

DATES: This direct final rule will be effective June 10, 2013, without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comments by May 13, 2013. If adverse comments are received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the Federal Register informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R10–OAR–2012–0193, by any of the following methods:

• www.regulations.gov: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

• Email: R10–Public.Comments@epa.gov

• Mail: Kristin Hall, EPA Region 10, Office of Air, Waste and Toxics (AWT–107), 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Seattle WA, 98101

• Hand Delivery/Courier: EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Seattle WA, 98101. Attention: Kristin Hall, Office of Air, Waste and Toxics, AWT–107. Such deliveries are only accepted during normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA–R10–OAR–2012–0193. EPA’s policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through www.regulations.gov or email. The www.regulations.gov Web site is an “anonymous access” system, which means EPA will not know your