

requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations would be satisfied, and that the proposal would be in the public interest if subject to the restriction and condition below;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby grants authority for subzone status for activity related to the manufacturing of polysilicon at the facility of Hemlock Semiconductor, L.L.C., located in Clarksville, Tennessee (Subzone 78J), as described in the application and **Federal Register** notice, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.13, and further subject to a restriction prohibiting admission of foreign status silicon metal subject to an antidumping or countervailing duty order and to a condition that the company shall submit supplemental reporting data, as specified by the Executive Secretary, for the purpose of monitoring by the FTZ staff.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 2nd day of April 2013.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Attest: _____

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-08231 Filed 4-8-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1893]

Grant of Authority for Subzone Status; Dow Corning Corporation (Silicon-Based Products); Midland, MI

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Foreign-Trade Zones Act provides for “* * * the establishment * * * of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes,” and authorizes the Foreign-Trade Zones Board to grant to qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs and Border Protection ports of entry;

Whereas, the Board's regulations (15 CFR Part 400) provide for the establishment of special-purpose subzones when existing zone facilities cannot serve the specific use involved, and when the activity results in a

significant public benefit and is in the public interest;

Whereas, the City of Flint, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 140, has made application to the Board for authority to establish a special-purpose subzone with certain manufacturing authority at the silicon-based products manufacturing facility of Dow Corning Corporation, located in Midland, Michigan (FTZ Docket 60-2011, filed 10-5-2011);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment has been given in the **Federal Register** (76 FR 63282-63283, 10-12-2011; 76 FR 76934, 12-9-2011; 76 FR 81475, 12-28-2011; 77 FR 21082, 4-9-2012; 77 FR 30500, 5-23-2012) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations would be satisfied, and that the proposal would be in the public interest if subject to the restriction and condition below;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby grants authority for subzone status for activity related to the manufacturing of silicon-based products at the facility of Dow Corning Corporation, located in Midland, Michigan (Subzone 140B), as described in the application and **Federal Register** notice, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.13, and further subject to a restriction prohibiting admission of foreign status silicon metal subject to an antidumping or countervailing duty order and to a condition that the company shall submit supplemental reporting data, as specified by the Executive Secretary, for the purpose of monitoring by the FTZ staff.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 2nd day of April 2013.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

ATTEST: _____

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-08228 Filed 4-8-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-427-818]

Low Enriched Uranium From France: Final Results of the Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 9, 2013.

SUMMARY: On December 1, 2012, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) initiated the second sunset review of the antidumping duty order on low enriched uranium (“LEU”) from France. The Department finds that revocation of this antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the rates identified in the “Final Results of Review” section of this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Hilary Sadler or Dana Mermelstein, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4340 or (202) 482-1391, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The antidumping duty order on LEU from France was published on February 13, 2002. *See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Low Enriched Uranium From France*, 67 FR 6680 (February 13, 2002).

On December 1, 2012, the Department initiated the second sunset review of this order pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). *See Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Review*, 77 FR 71684 (December 3, 2012). The Department received a notice of intent to participate from USEC, Inc. and its subsidiary United States Enrichment Corporation (collectively, “USEC” or “domestic interested party”), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). USEC is a manufacturer of a domestic like product in the United States and, accordingly, is a domestic interested party pursuant to section 771(9)(C) of the Act.

On January 3, 2013, the Department received an adequate substantive response to the notice of initiation from the domestic interested party within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR