rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This proposed regulation is within the scope of that authority as it would amend Class D and Class E airspace at Oceana NAS (Apollo Soucek Field), VA.

This proposal will be subject to an environmental analysis in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1E, “Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures” prior to any FAA final regulatory action.

Lists of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71


The Proposed Amendment:

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND CLASS E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

■ 1. The authority citation for Part 71 continues to read as follows:


§ 71.1 [Amended]

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9W, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, signed August 8, 2012, effective September 5, 2012, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 5000 Class D airspace.

* * * * *

AEA VA E4 Oceana NAS, VA [Amended]

Oceana NAS (Apollo Soucek Field), VA (lat. 36°49′22″ N., long. 76°01′55″ W.)

That airspace extending upward from the surface to and including 2,500 feet MSL within a 4.3-mile radius of Oceana NAS (Apollo Soucek Field). This Class D airspace area is effective during specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Airport/Facility Directory.

Paragraph 6002 Class E airspace designated as surface areas.

* * * * *

AEA VA E2 Oceana NAS, VA [New]

Oceana NAS (Apollo Soucek Field), VA (lat. 36°49′22″ N., long. 76°01′55″ W.)

Navy Oceana TACAN (lat. 36°49′27″ N., long. 76°02′13″ W) NALF Fentress, VA (lat. 36°41′43″ N., long. 76°08′08″ W.) That airspace extending upward from the surface within a 4.3-mile radius of Oceana NAS (Apollo Soucek Field), and within 1.8 miles each side of the Navy Oceana TACAN 213° radial extending from the 4.3-mile radius of Oceana NAS (Apollo Soucek Field) to 9.3 miles southwest of the TACAN and within a 2.7-mile radius of NALF Fentress. This Class E airspace area is effective during specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Airport/Facility Directory.

Paragraph 6004 Class E airspace designated as an extension to a Class D surface area.

* * * * *

AEA VA VA E4 Oceana NAS, VA [Amended]

Oceana NAS (Apollo Soucek Field) (lat. 36°49′22″ N., long. 76°01′55″ W.)

Navy Oceana TACAN (lat. 36°49′27″ N., long. 76°02′13″ W) NALF Fentress, VA (lat. 36°41′43″ N., long. 76°08′08″ W.) That airspace extending upward from the surface within a 4.3-mile radius of Oceana NAS (Apollo Soucek Field), and within 1.8 miles each side of the Navy Oceana TACAN 213° radial extending from the 4.3-mile radius of Oceana NAS (Apollo Soucek Field) to 9.3 miles southwest of the TACAN and within a 2.7-mile radius of NALF Fentress. This Class E airspace area is effective during specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Airport/Facility Directory.

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on March 29, 2013.

Barry A. Knight,
Manager, Operations Support Manager, Eastern Service Center, Air Traffic Organization.

[FR Doc. 2013–08210 Filed 4–8–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Chapter I

[Docket No. FDA–2013–N–0365]

Establishment of a Public Docket for Administrative Detention Under the Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Establishment of docket; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the establishment of a public docket for comments pertaining to the implementation of its administrative detention authority with respect to drugs under the Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act (FDASIA). This document is intended to solicit input from all relevant stakeholders before FDA issues regulations to implement its administrative detention authority with respect to drugs and to announce that such information submitted to FDA is available to all interested persons in a timely fashion.

DATES: Submit electronic or written comments by May 9, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit electronic comments to http://www.regulations.gov. Submit written comments to the Division of Dockets Management (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charlotte Hinkle, Office of Regulatory Affairs, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 32, rm. 4345, Silver Spring, MD 20993–0002, 301–796–5300, FDASIAImplementationORAO@fda.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

On July 9, 2012, President Obama signed FDASIA (Pub. L. 112–144) into law. Section 709 of FDASIA amends section 304(g) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the FD&C Act) (21 U.S.C. 334(g)) to provide FDA administrative detention authority with respect to drugs. Section 304(g) of the FD&C Act, as amended by FDASIA, provides FDA the same authority to detain drugs that section 304(g) had already provided FDA with respect to devices and tobacco products.

Section 709 of FDASIA requires the Secretary to “consult with stakeholders, including manufacturers of drugs” before issuing implementing regulations. Section 709 also provides that FDA must issue a final rule to implement its administrative detention authority with respect to drugs before the amendments to section 304(g) of the FD&C Act take effect.

FDA is opening a docket for 30 days to solicit input from all relevant stakeholders regarding FDA’s issuance of a regulation for the administrative detention of drugs. This docket is intended to ensure that stakeholders have an opportunity to provide comments before FDA issues regulations on administrative detention with
respects to drugs and that such information submitted to FDA is available to all interested persons in a timely fashion.

II. Comments

Interested persons may submit either electronic comments regarding this document to http://www.regulations.gov or written comments to the Division of Dockets Management (see ADDRESSES). It is only necessary to send one set of comments. Identify comments with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Received comments will be posted to the docket at http://www.regulations.gov and may be seen in the Division of Dockets Management between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.


Leslie Kux,
Assistant Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2013–08120 Filed 4–6–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4160–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17


Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; 90-Day Finding on a Petition to List Two Populations of Black-Backed Woodpecker as Endangered or Threatened

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of petition finding and initiation of status review.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce a 90-day finding on a petition to list the Oregon Cascades-California population and Black Hills population of the black-backed woodpecker (Picoides arcticus) under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act), as subspecies or distinct population segments (DPSs) that are endangered or threatened, and to designate critical habitat concurrent with listing. Based on our review, we find that the petition presents substantial scientific or commercial information indicating that listing the Oregon Cascades-California and Black Hills populations of the black-backed woodpecker as subspecies or DPSs may be warranted. Therefore, with the publication of this notice, we are notifying the public that, when funds become available, we will be initiating a review of the status of the two populations to determine if listing either or both the Oregon Cascades-California population and the Black Hills population as either subspecies or DPSs is warranted. To ensure that this status review is comprehensive, we are requesting scientific and commercial data and other information regarding these two populations. Based on the status review, we will issue a 12-month finding on the petition, which will address whether the petitioned action is warranted, as provided in section 4(b)(3)(B) of the Act.

DATES: We request that we receive information on or before June 10, 2013. The deadline for submitting an electronic comment using the Federal eRulemaking Portal (see ADDRESSES section, below) is 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on this date. After June 10, 2013, you must submit information directly to the Division of Policy and Directives Management (see ADDRESSES section, below). Please note that we might not be able to address or incorporate information that we receive after the above requested date.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: You may submit information by one of the following methods:

(1) Electronically: Go to the Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Search for Docket No. FWS–R8–ES–2013–0034, which is the docket number for this action. Then click on the Search button. You may submit information for consideration in our status review by clicking on “Comment Now!”

(2) By hard copy: Submit by U.S. mail or hand-delivery to: Public Comments Processing, Attn: FWS–R8–ES–2013–0034; Division of Policy and Directives Management; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; 4401 N. Fairfax Drive, MS 2042–PDM; Arlington, VA 22203.

We will not accept emails or faxes. We will post all information we receive on http://www.regulations.gov. This generally means that we will post any personal information you provide us (see the Request for Information section below for more details).


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Request for Information

When we make a finding that a petition presents substantial information indicating that listing a species may be warranted, we are required to initiate review of the status of the species (status review). For the status review to be complete and based on the best available scientific and commercial information, we request information on the Oregon Cascades-California population and the Black Hills population of the black-backed woodpecker from governmental agencies, Native American tribes, the scientific community, industry, and any other interested parties. We seek information on:

(1) The species’ biology, range, and population trends, including:

(a) Habitat requirements for feeding, breeding, and sheltering;

(b) Genetics and taxonomy of the Oregon Cascades-California and Black Hills populations of the black-backed woodpecker, including information that would pertain to whether either, or both, populations can be listed under the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) as either subspecies or DPSs;

(c) Historical and current range including distribution patterns, and presence or absence of physical, physiological, or behavioral barriers to movement between populations;

(d) Historical and current population levels, and current and projected trends; and

(e) Past and ongoing conservation measures for the species, its habitat, or both.

(2) The factors that are the basis for making a listing determination for a species under section 4(a) of the Act, which are:

(a) The present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range;

(b) Overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes;

(c) Disease or predation;

(d) The inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms; or

(e) Other natural or manmade factors affecting its continued existence.

If, after the status review, we determine that listing either an Oregon Cascades-California population or a Black Hills population of the black-backed woodpecker is warranted, we will propose critical habitat (see definition in section 3(5)(A) of the Act) under section 4 of the Act, to the maximum extent prudent and determinable at the time we propose to list the species. Therefore, we also request data and information on: