possibly be deferred or reduced on foreign status production equipment.

The proposed scope of authority under FTZ procedures would only involve micro-denier suede upholstery fabrics finished with a hot caustic soda solution process, as detailed in the notification (duty rate ranges from 2.7 to 17.2%). All other material inputs used in the production activity would be in domestic (duty paid) status.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the FTZ Board’s Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is May 20, 2013.

A copy of the notification will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230–0002, and in the “Reading Room” section of the FTZ Board’s Web site, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz.

For further information, contact Pierre Duy at Pierre.Duy@trade.gov, or (202) 482–1378.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A–583–841]
Polyvinyl Alcohol From Taiwan:
Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2010–2012

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) from Taiwan. The period of review (POR) is September 13, 2010, through February 29, 2012. The review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Chang Chun Petrochemical Co., Ltd. (CCPC). We preliminarily find that CCPC has not sold subject merchandise at less than normal value.

DATES: Effective Date: April 8, 2013

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sandra Deisonstok or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0768, and (202) 482–1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is PVA. The PVA subject to the order is currently classifiable under subheading 3905.30.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the memorandum from Edward C. Yang, Senior Director, China/Non-Market Economy Unit, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, “Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Polyvinyl Alcohol from Taiwan; 2010–2012” dated concurrently with this notice (“Preliminary Decision Memorandum”), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The written description is dispositive.

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Import Administration’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (“IA ACCESS”). Access to IA ACCESS is available to registered users at http://iaaccess.trade.gov and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at http://www.trade.gov/ia/. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Methodology

The Department has conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. In accordance with section 773(b) of the Act, we disregarded certain sales by CCPC in the home market which were made at below-cost prices. To determine the appropriate comparison method, the Department applied a “differential pricing” analysis and has preliminarily determined to use the average-to-average method in making comparisons of export price and normal value for CCPC. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that a weighted-average dumping margin of 0.00 percent exists for CCPC for the period September 13, 2010, through February 29, 2012.

Disclosure and Public Comment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit cases briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.1 Rebutilt briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.2 Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issues on which they wish to argue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.3 Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, filed electronically via IA ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the Department’s electronic records system, IA ACCESS, by 5 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) The party’s name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs. The Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Assessment Rates

Upon completion of the administrative review, the Department shall determine and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. If CCPC’s weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or de minimis in the final results of this review, we will calculate an importer-specific assessment rate on the basis of

1 See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1).
2 See 19 CFR 351.309(d).
3 See 19 CFR 351.309(d)(2) and (d)(3).
the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer’s examined sales and the total entered value of the sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(1). Where an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or de minimis, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. If CCPC’s weighted-average dumping margin continues to be zero or de minimis in the final results of review, we will instruct CBP not to assess duties on any of its entries in accordance with the Final Modification for Reviews, i.e., “[w]here the weighted-average margin of dumping for the exporter is determined to be zero or de minimis, no antidumping duties will be assessed.”4 The Department clarified its “automatic assessment” regulation on May 6, 2003.5 This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by CCPC for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(es) involved in the transaction. We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of PVA from Taiwan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposits for CCPC will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or the original investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 3.08 percent, the all-others rate established in the Antidumping Duty Order: Polyvinyl Alcohol From Taiwan, 76 FR 13982 (March 15, 2011). These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.420(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.


Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Scope of the Order
2. Comparisons to Normal Value
3. Determination of Comparison Method
4. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
5. Product Comparisons
6. Date of Sale
7. Export Price
8. Normal Value
9. Home Market Viability as Comparison Market
10. Level of Trade
11. Cost of Production
12. Calculation of Cost of Production
13. Test of Home Market Sales Prices
14. Results of the COP Test
15. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Home Market Prices
16. Currency Conversion
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BILLING CODE 3510–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–836]


AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: April 8, 2013.

SUMMARY: On December 6, 2012, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the People’s Republic of China (PRC)1 in the Federal Register. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Based upon our analysis of the comments received by the parties we have not made any changes to the antidumping duty rate assigned to the PRC-wide entity, which includes the sole company subject to this review, Baoding Mantong Fine Chemistry Co. Ltd. (Baoding Mantong), and are rescinding the review with respect to companies for which this review was initiated but had not previously received a separate rate status, for the final results.2

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brian Davis or Ericka Ukrow, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–7924 or (202) 482–0405, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Period of Review

The period of review is March 1, 2011, through February 29, 2012.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the antidumping duty order is glycine, which is a free-flowing crystalline material, like salt or sugar.3 The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheading: 2922.49.40. The HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes only; the written


2 We preliminarily rescinded this review with respect to 25 other companies after GEO Specialty Chemicals, Inc. (GEO) submitted a timely request to withdraw its request for review of these companies. See Preliminary Results, 77 FR at 72817.

3 See Preliminary Decision Memorandum for a complete description of the scope of the order.


5 For a full discussion of this clarification, see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).