impacts of hydraulic fracturing on drinking water resources.

On August 21, 2012, the SAB Staff Office requested public nominations of experts to serve on a Panel to advise the Agency on EPA’s ongoing research on the potential impacts of hydraulic fracturing on drinking water resources (77 FR 50505—50506). On November 27, 2012, the SAB Staff Office posted a notice on the SAB Web site inviting public comments by December 19, 2012, on candidates for the Panel. Information about formation of the Panel can be found at http://yosemite.epa.gov/sab/sabproduct.nsf/02ad90b136f:21ef95256e3eb00436459/b436304ba804ef3f885257a5b00521b3b!OpenDocument?Table Row=2.1#2.

The purpose of the May 7–8, 2013, meeting is for individual members of the Panel to provide expert comments on charge questions associated with the research described in EPA’s Progress Report. The purpose of the May 16, 2013, teleconference is to provide additional opportunity for members of the public to submit relevant oral statements on EPA documents for consideration by the Panel. Also, periodic opportunities for the public to provide additional input for consideration by the Panel will be provided after the May 7–8, 2013 public meeting and May 16, 2013 public teleconference call.

Availability of Meeting Materials: The agenda and other materials in support of the meeting and teleconference call will be available on the SAB Web site at http://www.epa.gov/sab in advance of the meeting and teleconference call.

Procedures for Providing Public Input: Public comment for consideration by EPA’s federal advisory committees and panels has a different purpose from public comment provided to EPA program offices. Therefore, the process for submitting comments to a federal advisory committee is different from the process used to submit comments to an EPA program office.

Federal advisory committees and panels, including scientific advisory committees, provide independent advice to the EPA. Members of the public can submit relevant comments for consideration by the Panel. Input from the public to the SAB will have the most impact if it provides specific scientific or technical information or analysis for the SAB to consider or if it relates to the clarity or accuracy of the technical information. Members of the public wishing to provide comment should contact the DFO directly.

Oral Statements: In general, individuals or groups requesting an oral presentation at a public meeting will be limited to five minutes. Persons interested in providing oral statements at the May 7–8, 2013, meeting should contact Mr. Hanlon at the contact information provided above by April 30, 2013. If there is insufficient time during the May 7–8, 2013 public meeting call to allow all scheduled public speakers to provide oral statements, those scheduled public speakers who could not provide oral statements during the May 7–8, 2013 public meeting will be provided opportunity to present their oral statements during a teleconference call scheduled to occur on May 16, 2013.

Written Statements: Written statements for the May 7–8, 2013, meeting and May 16, 2013, teleconference call should be received in the SAB Staff Office by April 30, 2013, so that the information may be made available to the SAB for its consideration prior to this meeting and teleconference call. Written statements should be supplied to the DFO in the following formats: either an electronic copy (preferred), via email (acceptable file format: Adobe Acrobat PDF, MS Word, WordPerfect, MS PowerPoint, or Rich Text files in IBM–PC/Windows 98/2000/XP format), or in hard copy. Submitters are asked to provide electronic versions of each document submitted without signatures, because the SAB Staff Office does not publish documents with signatures on its Web sites. Members of the public should be aware that their personal contact information, if included in any written comments, may be posted to the SAB Web site. Copyrighted material will not be posted without explicit permission of the copyright holder.

Accessibility: For information on access or services for individuals with disabilities, please contact Mr. Hanlon, at the phone number or email address noted above, preferably at least ten days prior to the meeting and teleconference call, to give the EPA as much time as possible to process your request.

Dated: March 25, 2013.

Thomas H. Brennan,
Deputy Director, Science Advisory Board Staff Office.
[FR Doc. 2013–07992 Filed 4–4–13; 8:45 am]
This table is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be interested in this notice. This table lists the types of entities that EPA is now aware could potentially be interested in this notice. Other types of entities not listed in the table could also be interested.

A. Interested Entities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Examples of interested entities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State/Local/Tribal Government</td>
<td>Coastal communities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry and General Public</td>
<td>Shipyards, salvage companies, environmental remediation enterprises, commercial and recreational deep sea fishing interests, environmental interest groups.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. If you estimate potential burden or costs, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.
6. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns.
7. Offer alternatives.
8. Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

B. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?

Consider the following suggestions for preparing your comments:
1. Identify the docket identification number in the subject line on the first page of your response. Also, it would be helpful to EPA if you provided the name, date, and Federal Register citation related to your comments.
2. Explain your views as clearly as possible, including the subject matter about which you agree or disagree and why.
3. Describe any assumptions that you used.
4. Provide any technical information and/or data you used that support your views.

5. If you estimate potential burden or costs, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.
6. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns.
7. Offer alternatives.
8. Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

II. Background

The Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act (MPRSA) of 1972, as amended, also known as the Ocean Dumping Act, regulates the transportation and dumping of material into ocean waters. Under the MPRSA, no permit may be issued for ocean dumping where such dumping will unreasonably degrade or endanger human health or the marine environment.

In 1977, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated regulations for implementing the MPRSA permitting authority. 40 C.F.R. parts 220–229. 42 FR 2489 (Jan. 11, 1977). At that time, EPA issued a general permit to the U.S. Navy (Navy) for the Sink Exercise Program (SINKEX) to transport vessels from the United States, or from any other location, for the purpose of sinking such vessels in ocean waters in testing ordnance and providing related data. 40 C.F.R. 229.2. SINKEX involves the use of obsolete military vessels for target practice by military personnel, with the consequent sinking of the vessel. The Navy views these activities as essential for training personnel and testing military equipment. Vessels used for SINKEX are prepared according to the terms of the MPRSA general permit issued to the Navy.

Under the MPRSA general permit, obsolete vessels used in SINKEX are required to be sunk at least 50 nautical miles from land and at depths of at least 1000 fathoms (6000 feet). The permit requires that, prior to sinking, appropriate measures be taken to remove to the maximum extent practicable all materials that may degrade the marine environment. This includes the emptying of all fuel tanks and fuel lines to the lowest point.
practicable (followed by flushing with water and again emptying) and removing from the hulls other pollutants and all readily detachable materials capable of creating debris or contributing to chemical pollution. Thus, while the permit requires appropriate measures to remove to the maximum extent practicable all materials that may degrade the marine environment, the permit also recognizes that it may be impracticable to remove some materials.

In a letter dated August 2, 1999 from EPA to the Navy, EPA provided its interpretation of the MPRSA general permit requirement to “remove to the maximum extent practicable all materials that may degrade the marine environment” as including polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB) containing materials, such as ship components containing PCBs. See Letter from Robert H. Wayland, Director of the Office of Wetlands, Oceans and Watersheds, to Elsie L. Munsell, Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Aug. 2, 1999). This letter states that:

Before engaging in a SINKEX, the Navy must conduct an inventory of each SINKEX vessel to ascertain the presence of PCBs. This inventory and list of items removed prior to sinking must be provided to EPA in the annual report * * *. Before sinking a SINKEX vessel, qualified personnel at a Navy or other approved facility shall:

—Remove all transformers containing 3 pounds or more of dielectric fluid and all capacitors containing 3 pounds or more of dielectric fluid.

—Use all reasonable efforts to remove any capacitors and transformers containing less than 3 pounds of dielectric fluid from the vessel. Reasonable efforts include, but are not necessarily limited to, the removal of capacitors from electrical and control panels by using hand tools such as wire or bolt cutters or a screw driver.

—Drain and flush hydraulic equipment, heat transfer equipment, high/low pressure systems, cutting power machinery which uses cooling or cutting oil, and containers containing liquid PCBs at greater than or equal to 50 parts per million (ppm).

In the August 2, 1999 letter from EPA to the Navy, EPA noted that “it is often practicable to remove non-liquid PCB-containing materials, including: air handling system gaskets; rubber; plastic; dried applied paint that is flaked-off; electrical cable insulation; and other non-liquid coatings and material, before sinking the vessel.” To the extent that their removal is practicable, EPA explained that “these non-liquid PCB-containing materials are required to be removed under the MPRSA permit. However, when such objects cannot be practically removed or their removal threatens the structural integrity of the vessels so as to impede the SINKEX, the Navy may leave such items in place (e.g., felt materials that are bonded in bolted flanges or mounted under heavy equipment, certain paints, and adhesives).” EPA further noted that “objects may be considered not capable of practicable removal if equipment must be disassembled or removed for access to the objects, if the objects must be removed by heat, chemical stripping, scraping, abrasive blasting or similar process, or if removal would endanger human safety or health even when conducted with protective equipment and reasonable safety measures.”

Further, the August 2, 1999 letter states that the Navy shall report annually to EPA, the effort taken to clean each vessel prior to SINKEX and provide an estimate of the weight of PCBs present onboard at the time of sinking.

### III. Today’s Action

EPA is providing the opportunity for public comment on the two petitions received that request EPA amend the MPRSA general permit as it relates to removal of PCBs from ships used in Navy’s SINKEX program. The first petition, dated June 2011, and submitted by the Sierra Club and the Basel Action Network, requested that EPA:

Amend the MPRSA general permit for SINKEX to comply with the MPRSA by reflecting the latest scientific knowledge about the amount of PCBs disposed through the SINKEX program and about leaching of PCBs from sunken ships. At a minimum, the permit should be amended to require:

a. Materials containing PCBs to be removed from ships to the “maximum extent practicable” with the best current techniques; and

b. Prior to sinking, remediate ships to the London Convention’s “trace contaminant” requirement.

The second petition, dated April 2012, and submitted by the Basel Action Network, Sierra Club, and the Center for Biological Diversity, again requested that EPA amend the existing MPRSA permit for SINKEX:

1. Effective immediately, requiring all PCB-contaminated materials in concentrations of 50 ppm or greater to be removed from SINKEX vessels prior to sinking;

2. Requiring all PCB-contaminated materials in concentrations of less than 50 ppm to be removed from SINKEX vessels prior to sinking to the maximum extent practicable; and

3. Requiring additional studies to determine whether PCB-contaminated materials in concentrations of less than 50 ppm constitute “trace” contaminants, such that their dumping will not cause undesirable effects including the possibility of bioaccumulation. Such additional studies should include the most recent data on the toxicity, persistence, and bioaccumulation of PCBs and should include monitoring at multiple recent sink sites. Studies should also assess the releases of other potentially hazardous pollutants into the marine environment from the SINKEX program including heavy metals, asbestos and radioactive substances.

Technical studies and data that accompanied the petitions are included in the docket for this proceeding. As noted above, EPA has not made the copyrighted material available on the Internet, but that material is publicly available in hard copy form via the Public Reading Room in EPA’s Docket Center.


Nancy Stoner,
Acting Assistant Administrator, Office of Water.

[FR Doc. 2013–07988 Filed 4–4–13; 8:45 am]

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY


**Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs); Recycling Plastics from Shredder Residue**

**AGENCY**: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION**: Notice.

**SUMMARY**: EPA is announcing an interpretation of its regulations that will generally allow for the recycling of plastic separated from shredder residue under the conditions described in the Voluntary Procedures for Recycling Plastics from Shredder Residue, relying principally on the regulatory provisions for excluded PCB products. The interpretation described in this notice responds to questions EPA has received about the applicability of the excluded PCB products regulations.

**ADDRESSES**: The docket for this action, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA–HQ–OPPT–2012–0902, is available at [http://www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or at the Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics Docket (OPPT Docket). Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), EPA West Bldg., Rm. 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566–1744, and the telephone number for the OPPT Docket is (202) 566–0280. Please review the visitor instructions and additional information.