enforcement of this safety zone and will announce that fact via Broadcast Notice to Mariners.

(c) *Definitions.* The following definition applies to this section: *designated representative* means any commissioned, warrant, or petty officer of the Coast Guard on board Coast Guard, Coast Guard Auxiliary, and local, state, and federal law enforcement vessels who have been authorized to act on the behalf of the Captain of the Port.

(d) *Regulations.* (1) Entry into, transit through or anchoring within this safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port of San Diego or his designated representative.

(2) Mariners requesting permission to transit through the safety zone may request authorization to do so from the Patrol Commander. The Patrol Commander may be contacted on VHF– FM Channel 23.

(3) All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Coast Guard Captain of the Port or his designated representative.

(4) Upon being hailed by U.S. Coast Guard patrol personnel by siren, radio, flashing light or other means, the operator of a vessel shall proceed as directed.

(5) The Coast Guard may be assisted by other federal, state, or local agencies.

Dated: March 20, 2013.

### S.M. Mahoney,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port San Diego.

[FR Doc. 2013–07745 Filed 4–2–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2009-0807; FRL-9783-6]

# Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Ohio; Ohio Ambient Air Quality Standards; Correction

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Final rule; correcting amendment.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects an error in the codification in an October 26, 2010, final rule under the Clean Air Act. This rule approved revisions to Ohio regulations that consolidated air quality standards in a new chapter of rules and adjusted the rule references accordingly in various related rules. Of particular note is a revision that adjusted the provision for

measurements for comparison with the particulate matter air quality standards. EPA erroneously codified approval of the entirety of the rule, which may be misread as having inadvertently approved several other provisions which were not addressed in the October 26, 2010 final rule, and which EPA in separate rulemaking had proposed to disapprove. Therefore, EPA is correcting its action to clarify the codification to show that only one paragraph of this rule was approved. This action simply makes the codification consistent with the approval.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective on April 3, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Summerhays, Environmental Scientist, Attainment Planning and Maintenance Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–6067, summerhays.john@epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On October 26, 2010, EPA published a final approval of Ohio rules consolidating the State's air quality standards into Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) 3745–25 and modifying an assortment of related rules, mostly providing test methods for measurements used to assess attainment of those standards, so that these related rules would properly reference the relocated air quality standard rules. This action was published at 75 FR 65572 as a direct final rule.

In codifying the approval of these rules, EPA erroneously appeared to approve the entirety of the modified rules, even though in some cases only one paragraph was addressed or modified. OAC 3745-17-03 included pertinent revisions in paragraph (A) specifying test methods for the particulate matter air quality standards. However, OAC 3745–17–03 also included numerous unrelated revisions that had not been previously approved, most notably including revisions that EPA had proposed to disapprove (see 70 FR 36901, published June 27, 2005). EPA's notice of direct final rulemaking published October 26, 2010, provided no discussion of the issues related to the other sections of OAC 3745-17-03, reflecting the fact that EPA intended only to approve and codify the revisions in OAC 3745-17-03(A). Therefore, EPA today is correcting the codification for that action to indicate that only OAC 3745–17–03(A) of that rule is approved. This makes the codification match the approval.

#### Correction

In the codification published in the **Federal Register** on October 26, 2010 (75 FR 65572), on page 65574 in the second column, the paragraph numbered (c)(138)(i)(A), erroneously reading: "Ohio Administrative Code Rule 3745–17–03 'Measurement methods and procedures.', effective April 18, 2009," is corrected to read: "Paragraph (A) of Ohio Administrative Code Rule 3745–17–03, 'Measurement methods and procedures.', effective April 18, 2009."

Section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), provides that, when an agency for good cause finds that notice and public procedure are impracticable, unnecessary or contrary to the public interest, the agency may issue a rule without providing notice and an opportunity for public comment. EPA has determined that there is good cause for making today's rule final without prior proposal and opportunity for comment because EPA is merely correcting an incorrect codification in a previous action. The corrected codification reflects the revisions that EPA approved in its direct final rule. We find that this constitutes good cause under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B). Thus, notice and opportunity for public comment are unnecessary.

### **Statutory and Executive Order Reviews**

Under Executive Order (E.O.) 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and is therefore not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use'' (66 FR 28355 (May 22, 2001)). Because the agency has made a "good cause" finding that this action is not subject to notice-and-comment requirements under the Administrative Procedures Act or any other statute as indicated in the Supplementary Information section above, it is not subject to the regulatory flexibility provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), or to sections 202 and 205 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) (Pub. L. 104-4). In addition, this action does not significantly or uniquely affect small governments or impose a significant intergovernmental mandate, as described in sections 203 and 204 of UMRA. This rule also does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and

Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), nor will it have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of governments, as specified by Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

This technical correction action does not involve technical standards; thus the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. The rule also does not involve special consideration of environmental justice related issues as required by Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994). In issuing this rule, EPA has taken the necessary steps to eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity, minimize potential litigation, and provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct, as required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (61 FR 4729, February 7, 1996). EPA has complied with Executive Order 12630 (53 FR 8859, March 15, 1998) by examining the takings implications of the rule in accordance with the "Attorney General's Supplemental Guidelines for the Evaluation of Risk and Avoidance of Unanticipated Takings" issued under the executive order. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44

U.S.C. 3501 et seq.). The Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 et seq.), as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. Section 808 allows the issuing agency to make a rule effective sooner than otherwise provided by the CRA if the agency makes a good cause finding that notice and public procedure is impracticable, unnecessary or contrary to the public interest. This determination must be supported by a brief statement. 5 U.S.C. 808(2). As stated previously, EPA had made such a good cause finding, including the reasons therefore, and established an effective date of April 3, 2013. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required

information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This correction to 40 CFR 52 for Ohio is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: February 11, 2013.

# Susan Hedman,

Regional Administrator, Region 5.

40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

### PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

■ 2. Section 52.1870 is amended by revising paragraph (c)(151)(i)(A) to read as follows:

#### § 52.1870 Identification of plan.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* (c) \* \* \* (151) \* \* \* (i) \* \* \* (A) Paragraph (A) of Ohio Administrative Code Rule 3745–17–03, "Measurement methods and procedures" offective April 18, 2000

procedures.", effective April 18, 2009. \* \* \* \* \* \* [FR Doc. 2013–07649 Filed 4–2–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

# 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R03-OAR-2012-0954; FRL-9796-3]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Pennsylvania; Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets for the Pennsylvania Counties in the Philadelphia-Wilmington, PA–NJ–DE 1997 Fine Particulate Matter Nonattainment Area

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is approving a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania (Pennsylvania). The revision consists of an update to the

SIP-approved Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets (MVEBs) for the Pennsylvania counties in the Philadelphia-Wilmington, PA–NJ–DE 1997 fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) nonattainment area (hereafter referred to as the Philadelphia Area) to reflect the use of the most recent version of the Motor Vehicle Emission Simulator model (MOVES). The Pennsylvania counties impacted by this revision are: Philadelphia, Montgomery, Delaware, Chester, and Bucks Counties. EPA is approving this revision to the MVEBs and thereby making them available for transportation conformity purposes in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA).

**DATES:** This final rule is effective on April 3, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID Number EPA-R03-OAR-2012-0954. All documents in the docket are listed in the www.regulations.gov Web site. Although listed in the electronic docket, some information is not publicly available, i.e., confidential business information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through www.regulations.gov or in hard copy for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the State submittal are available at the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Air Quality Control, P.O. Box 8468, 400 Market Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Asrah Khadr, (215) 814–2071, or by email at *khadr.asrah@epa.gov.* 

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Background

On February 15, 2013 (78 FR 11122), EPA published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPR) for Pennsylvania. The NPR proposed approval of the MVEBs for the Philadelphia Area. On November 6, 2012, the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) submitted to EPA a draft SIP revision which updates the Philadelphia Area's MVEBs to reflect the use of the MOVES model. On January 29, 2013, Pennsylvania DEP submitted its formal, final SIP revision to update the