

Colorado Resource Advisory Council (RAC) will meet as indicated below.

DATES: The Northwest Colorado RAC has scheduled a meeting May 22, 2013, from 10 a.m. to 3 p.m., with a public comment period at 11 a.m. The agenda will be available before the meeting at www.blm.gov/co/st/en/BLM_Resources/racs/nwrac.html.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the White River Field Office, 220 E. Market St., Meeker, CO.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Boyd, Public Affairs Specialist, Colorado River Valley Field Office, 2300 River Frontage Road, Silt, CO. (970) 876-9008. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Northwest Colorado RAC advises the Secretary of the Interior, through the BLM, on a variety of public land issues in northwestern Colorado.

Topics of discussion during Northwest Colorado RAC meetings may include the BLM National Sage Grouse Conservation Strategy, working group reports, recreation, fire management, land-use planning, invasive species management, energy and minerals management, travel management, wilderness, wild horse herd management, land exchange proposals, cultural resource management and other issues as appropriate.

These meetings are open to the public. The public may present written comments to the RACs. Each formal RAC meeting will also have time, as identified above, allocated for hearing public comments. Depending on the number of persons wishing to comment and time available, the time for individual oral comments may be limited.

Subcommittees under this RAC meet regarding the McInnis Canyon National Conservation Area, Kremmling Resource Management Plan revision and the White River Field Office Resource Management Plan Oil and Gas Amendment. Subcommittees report to the Northwest Colorado RAC at each council meeting. Subcommittee meetings are open to the public. More information is available at

www.blm.gov/co/st/en/BLM_Resources/racs/nwrac.html.

Helen M. Hankins,

BLM Colorado State Director.

[FR Doc. 2013-07489 Filed 3-29-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-JB-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

[NPS-IMR-LAMR-12036;PX.PD104097F.00.1]

General Management Plan, Draft Environmental Impact Statement, Lake Meredith National Recreation Area and Alibates Flint Quarries National Monument, Texas

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Availability.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C), the National Park Service announces the availability of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the General Management Plan, Lake Meredith National Recreation Area and Alibates Flint Quarries National Monument, Texas.

DATES: The National Park Service will accept comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement from the public for 60 days after the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes this Notice of Availability. Public meetings on the draft will be scheduled during the comment period. Interested parties are encouraged to check the park Web site and local media for information.

ADDRESSES: Information will be available for public review and comment online at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/LAMR>, in the office of the Chief of Resources, Arlene Wimer, 419 E. Broadway, Fritch, TX 79036, 806-857-0309.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Arlene Wimer, Chief of Resources, Lake Meredith National Recreation Area, P.O. Box 1460, Fritch, TX 79036; or call 806-857-0309.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The document describes three management alternatives for Lake Meredith National Recreation Area and three management alternatives for Alibates Flint Quarries National Monument. Each NPS unit includes a no-action alternative and two action alternatives. The anticipated environmental impacts of all these alternatives are also analyzed.

Lake Meredith National Recreation Area: *Alternative 1*, the no-action alternative, would extend existing

conditions and management trends into the future. This alternative serves as a basis of comparison for evaluating the action alternatives. *Alternative 2* would provide quality recreation, enhance traditional activities, and improve resource protection. The focus would be on providing a better visitor experience through additional or improved facilities and increased interpretation in accessible settings, and expanded types of recreational opportunities in areas designated as rural and semi-primitive zones. *Alternative 3*, the *NPS preferred alternative*, would promote both legislated and nontraditional uses, developing facilities and opportunities to address changing lake conditions and visitor uses. The recreation area would become a destination for semi-primitive outdoor recreation opportunities and encourage nonmotorized recreation such as hiking, biking, backpacking, horseback riding, and paddling. NPS would also strengthen partnerships to improve visitor experience.

Alibates Flint Quarries National Monument: *Alternative A*, the no-action alternative would extend existing conditions and management trends into the future. This alternative serves as a basis of comparison for evaluating the action alternatives. *Alternative B*, the *NPS preferred alternative*, would expand interpretation and education to provide a better understanding and appreciation of the flint and the people who quarried and used it while maintaining access restrictions that protect the archeological resources. Guided tours of the quarries would continue and would include the interpretation of an excavated quarry near the trail. Additional visitor opportunities would be provided in nearby parts of Lake Meredith National Recreation Area. These would include a self-guided interpretive trail near the visitor contact station and outdoor interpretive materials on the terrace above the visitor contact station. *Alternative C* would provide a greater understanding and appreciation for archeological protection through enhanced educational opportunities and research. It also would accommodate a wider range of visitor uses and experiences by zoning part of the national monument for unrestricted visitor access by foot.

If you wish to comment, you may submit your comments by any one of several methods. You are encouraged to submit comments via the Internet at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/LAMR>. You may also mail comments to National Park Service, Denver Service Center—Erin Flanagan, P.O. Box 25287, Denver, CO 80225. Finally, you may

hand-deliver comments to 419 E. Broadway, Fritch, TX 79036. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: January 15, 2013.

John Wessels,

*Regional Director, Intermountain Region,
National Park Service.*

[FR Doc. 2013-07487 Filed 3-29-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-CB-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-415 and 731-TA-933-934 (Second Review)]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From India and Taiwan; Institution of Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted reviews pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (“PET film”) from India and the antidumping duty orders on PET film from India and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, interested parties are requested to respond to this notice by submitting the information specified below to the Commission;¹ to be assured of consideration, the deadline for responses is May 1, 2013. Comments on the adequacy of responses may be filed with the Commission by June 14, 2013. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews

¹ No response to this request for information is required if a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) number is not displayed; the OMB number is 3117-0016/USITC No. 13-5-284, expiration date June 30, 2014. Public reporting burden for the request is estimated to average 15 hours per response. Please send comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate to the Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436.

and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207), as most recently amended at 74 FR 2847 (January 16, 2009).

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 1, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Messer (202-205-3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On July 1, 2002, the Department of Commerce issued a countervailing duty order on imports of PET film from India (67 FR 44179) and antidumping duty orders on imports of PET film from India (67 FR 44175) and Taiwan (67 FR 44174). Following five-year reviews by Commerce and the Commission, effective May 8, 2008, Commerce issued a continuation of the countervailing duty order on imports of PET film from India (73 FR 26080) and the antidumping duty orders on imports of PET film from India and Taiwan (73 FR 26079). The Commission is now conducting second five-year reviews to determine whether revocation of the orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. It will assess the adequacy of interested party responses to this notice of institution to determine whether to conduct full or expedited reviews. The Commission’s determinations in any expedited reviews will be based on the facts available, which may include information provided in response to this notice.

Definitions.—The following definitions apply to these reviews:

(1) *Subject Merchandise* is the class or kind of merchandise that is within the scope of the five-year reviews, as defined by the Department of Commerce.

(2) The *Subject Countries* in these reviews are India and Taiwan.

(3) The *Domestic Like Product* is the domestically produced product or products which are like, or in the absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the *Subject Merchandise*. In its original determinations and its full first five-year reviews, the Commission defined the *Domestic Like Product* as all PET film corresponding to Commerce’s scope, which does not include equivalent PET film.

(4) The *Domestic Industry* is the U.S. producers as a whole of the *Domestic Like Product*, or those producers whose collective output of the *Domestic Like Product* constitutes a major proportion of the total domestic production of the product. In its original determinations and its first full five-year reviews, the Commission defined the *Domestic Industry* to include all domestic producers of PET film.

(5) An *Importer* is any person or firm engaged, either directly or through a parent company or subsidiary, in importing the *Subject Merchandise* into the United States from a foreign manufacturer or through its selling agent.

Participation in the reviews and public service list.—Persons, including industrial users of the *Subject Merchandise* and, if the merchandise is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations, wishing to participate in the reviews as parties must file an entry of appearance with the Secretary to the Commission, as provided in section 201.11(b)(4) of the Commission’s rules, no later than 21 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. The Secretary will maintain a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the reviews.

Former Commission employees who are seeking to appear in Commission five-year reviews are advised that they may appear in a review even if they participated personally and substantially in the corresponding underlying original investigation. The Commission’s designated agency ethics official has advised that a five-year review is not considered the “same particular matter” as the corresponding underlying original investigation for purposes of 18 U.S.C. § 207, the post employment statute for Federal employees, and Commission rule 201.15(b) (19 CFR 201.15(b)), 73 FR 24609 (May 5, 2008). This advice was developed in consultation with the Office of Government Ethics. Consequently, former employees are not required to seek Commission approval to appear in a review under Commission