at 1301 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460; its hours of operation are 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Eastern Time, Monday through Friday, excluding federal holidays. Please call (202)566–1744, or email the ORD Docket at ord.docket@epa.gov for instructions. Updates regarding the Public Reading Room access are available at http://www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm.

C. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for the EPA?

You may find the following suggestions helpful for preparing your comments:
1. Explain your views as clearly as possible.
2. Describe any assumptions that you used.
3. Provide copies of any technical information and/or data used that support your views.
4. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns and suggest alternatives.
5. To ensure proper receipt by the EPA, be sure to identify the docket ID number assigned to this action in the subject line on the first page of your response. You may also provide the name, date and Federal Register citation.

D. How may I participate in this meeting?

You may participate by providing comments in this meeting by following the instructions in this section. To ensure proper receipt of your comments by the EPA, it is imperative that you identify Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–ORD–2013–0115 in the subject line on the first page of your request.

1. Oral comments. Requests to present oral comments will be accepted up to and including Friday, April 5, 2013. To the extent that time permits, interested persons who have not pre-registered may be permitted by the Chair of the HSRB to present oral comments during the meeting. Each individual or group wishing to make brief oral comments to the HSRB is strongly advised to submit their request (preferably via email) to Jim Downing or Lu-Ann Kleibacker under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT no later than noon, Eastern Time, Friday, April 5, 2013. In order to be included on the meeting agenda and to provide sufficient time for the HSRB Chair and HSRB Designated Federal Official to review the meeting agenda to provide an appropriate public comment period. The request should identify the name of the individual making the presentation and the organization (if any) the individual will represent. Oral comments before the HSRB are generally limited to five minutes per individual or organization. Please note that this includes all individuals appearing either as part of, or on behalf of, an organization. While it is our intent to hear a full range of oral comments on the science and ethics issues under discussion, it is not our intent to permit organizations to expand the time limitations by having numerous individuals sign up separately to speak on their behalf. If additional time is available, further public comments may be possible.

2. Written comments. Please submit written comments prior to the meeting. For the HSRB to have the best opportunity to review and consider your comments as it deliberates on its report, you should submit your comments at least five business days prior to the beginning of this teleconference. If you submit comments after this date, those comments will be provided to the Board members, but you should recognize that the Board members may not have adequate time to consider those comments prior to making a decision. Thus, if you plan to submit written comments, the Agency strongly encourages you to submit such comments no later than noon, Eastern Time, Friday, April 5, 2013. You should submit your comments using the instructions in Section I, under subsection C, “What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for the EPA?” In addition, the EPA also requests that persons submitting comments directly to the docket also provide a copy of their comments to Jim Downing or Lu-Ann Kleibacker listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. There is no limit on the length of written comments for consideration by the HSRB.

E. Background

The HSRB is a Federal advisory committee operating in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act 5 U.S.C., App. 2 Section 9. The HSRB provides advice, information, and recommendations to the EPA on issues related to scientific and ethical aspects of human subjects research. The major objectives of the HSRB are to provide advice and recommendations on: (1) Research proposals and protocols; (2) reports of completed research with human subjects; and (3) how to strengthen the EPA’s programs for protection of human subjects of research. The HSRB reports to the EPA Administrator through the EPA Science Advisor.

1. Topics for Discussion. The HSRB will be reviewing its draft report from the January 17, 2013, HSRB meeting. The HSRB may also discuss planning for future HSRB meetings. Background on the January 17, 2013 HSRB meeting can be found at the HSRB Web site: http://www.epa.gov/osa/hsrb. The January 17, 2013 meeting draft report is available. You may obtain electronic copies of this document, and certain other related documents that might be available electronically, from regulations.gov and the HSRB Web site at http://www.epa.gov/osa/hsrb. For questions on document availability or if you do not have internet access, consult the persons listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION.

2. Meeting minutes and reports. Minutes of the meeting, summarizing the matters discussed and recommendations, if any, made by the advisory committee regarding such matters, will be released within 90 calendar days of the meeting. Such minutes will be available at http://www.epa.gov/osa/hsrb and http://www.regulations.gov. In addition, information regarding the HSRB final meeting report will be found at http://www.epa.gov/osa/hsrb and http://www.regulations.gov or from the persons listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Dated: March 20, 2013.

Glenn Paulson,
Science Advisor.

[FR Doc. 2013–07263 Filed 3–27–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL 9794–9]

Proposed Settlement Agreement, Clean Air Act Citizen Suit

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Settlement Agreement; Request for Public Comment

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act, as amended (“CAA” or the “Act”), 42 U.S.C. 7413(g), notice is hereby given of a proposed settlement agreement to settle a lawsuit filed by Louisiana Environmental Action Network and Sierra Club in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia: Louisiana Environmental Action Network and Sierra Club v. Jackson, Case No. 12–1096 (D.D.C.) (“LEAN v. Jackson”). Plaintiffs filed this suit to compel the Administrator to respond to two administrative petitions (the “June 2010 petition” and the “May 2011 petition”) alleging that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) failed to adopt regulations promulgated pursuant to section 114(a) of the CAA.

The CAA makes specific provisions for the review of the Administrator’s regulations and orders promulgated under the Act. One such provision, section 114(a) of the CAA, authorizes petitioners to request the Administrator to review a regulation or order. If the Administrator fails to respond to a petition for review of a regulation or order within 30 days, the petition is deemed granted and the petitioners may commence suit under section 113(g) of the CAA. The CAA also provides a private right of action for petitioners who believe that the Administrator has failed to comply with section 114(a) of the CAA.

In this petition, the petitioners alleged that the CAA’s implementation of the requirement to promulgate a rule to regulate greenhouse gas emissions from new, modified, and reconstructed stationary sources (the “GHG rule”) was unlawful, as the Administrator failed to respond to the petitioners’ petition as required by section 114(a) of the CAA.

Upon receipt of the petition, the EPA determined that it was required to respond to the petitioners’ petition as required by section 114(a) of the CAA. The Administrator determined that the GHG rule promulgated on May 10, 2010 was not subject to review under section 114(a) of the CAA, as the Administrator had previously determined that the GHG rule did not “directly and substantially” affect the environment.

The petitioners, through an administrative process, requested the Administrator’s review of the Administrator’s determination. The Administrator reviewed the petition and continued to maintain that the GHG rule was not subject to section 114(a) review. The petitioners then commenced suit under section 113(g) of the CAA, alleging that the Administrator failed to comply with section 114(a) of the CAA. The petitioners and the United States, through the EPA, have agreed to settle plaintiff’s suit by agreeing to an Order for Filing and Notice for Formal Rulemaking on Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Electric Utility Power Generators, and the EPA agrees to respond to the petitioners’ petition as required by section 114(a) of the CAA.

The petitioners and the United States, through the EPA, have agreed to settle plaintiff’s suit by agreeing to an Order for Filing and Notice for Formal Rulemaking on Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Electric Utility Power Generators, and the EPA agrees to respond to the petitioners’ petition as required by section 114(a) of the CAA.

The petitioners and the United States, through the EPA, have agreed to settle plaintiff’s suit by agreeing to an Order for Filing and Notice for Formal Rulemaking on Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Electric Utility Power Generators, and the EPA agrees to respond to the petitioners’ petition as required by section 114(a) of the CAA.

The petitioners and the United States, through the EPA, have agreed to settle plaintiff’s suit by agreeing to an Order for Filing and Notice for Formal Rulemaking on Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Electric Utility Power Generators, and the EPA agrees to respond to the petitioners’ petition as required by section 114(a) of the CAA.
petition”) requesting, among other things, that EPA object to CAA Title V operating permits issued by the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality to Consolidated Environmental Management, Inc.—Nucor Steel Louisiana for a pig iron manufacturing process and for a direct reduced iron manufacturing process in St. James Parish, Louisiana. Under the terms of the proposed settlement agreement, EPA would agree to sign an order granting or denying one objection (“Specific Objection I”) in the May 2011 petition under 42 U.S.C. 7661d(b)(2) by April 29, 2013, and to sign an order or orders granting or denying the June 2010 petition and May 2011 petition (except for Specific Objection I) under 42 U.S.C. 7661d(b)(2) by October 17, 2013.

DATES: Written comments on the proposed settlement agreement must be received by April 29, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID number EPA–HQ–OGC–2013–0212, online at www.regulations.gov (EPA’s preferred method); by email to oei.docket@epa.gov; by mail to EPA Docket Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mailcode: 2822T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001; or by hand delivery or courier to EPA Docket Center, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC, between 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. Comments on a disk or CD-ROM should be formatted in Word or ASCII file, avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption, and may be mailed to the mailing address above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Melina Williams, Air and Radiation Law Office (2344A), Office of General Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone: (202) 564–3406; fax number (202) 564–5603; email address: williams.melina@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Additional Information About the Proposed Settlement Agreement

This proposed settlement agreement would resolve a lawsuit alleging that the Administrator failed to perform a nondiscretionary duty to grant or deny, within 60 days of submission, two administrative petitions to object to CAA Title V operating permits issued by the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality to Consolidated Environmental Management, Inc.—Nucor Steel Louisiana for a pig iron manufacturing process and for a direct reduced iron manufacturing process in St. James Parish, Louisiana. Under the terms of the proposed settlement agreement, EPA would agree to sign an order granting or denying one objection (“Specific Objection I”) in the May 2011 petition under 42 U.S.C. 7661d(b)(2) by April 29, 2013, and to sign an order or orders granting or denying the June 2010 petition and May 2011 petition (except for Specific Objection I) under 42 U.S.C. 7661d(b)(2) by October 17, 2013. Once EPA has signed such orders, EPA would be required to promptly provide written notice to Plaintiffs. If the proposed settlement agreement becomes final and EPA has provided Plaintiffs notice of the signed orders as required in Paragraphs 1 and 2 of the proposed settlement agreement, Plaintiffs would be required to file a motion for voluntary dismissal of the First Amended Complaint with prejudice in LEAN v. Jackson. In addition, the proposed settlement agreement contains provisions relating to the publication of notice of the signed orders in the Federal Register and relating to the settlement of Plaintiffs’ claims for attorneys’ fees and costs. For a period of thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will accept written comments relating to the proposed settlement agreement from persons who were not named as parties or intervenors to the litigation in question. EPA or the Department of Justice may withdraw or hold consent to the proposed settlement agreement if the comments disclose facts or considerations that indicate that such consent is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Act. Unless EPA or the Department of Justice determines that consent to this settlement agreement should be withdrawn, the terms of the agreement will be affirmed.

II. Additional Information About Commenting on the Proposed Settlement Agreement

A. How Can I Get A Copy Of the Settlement Agreement?

The official public docket for this action (identified by Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OGC–2013–0212) contains a copy of the proposed settlement agreement. The official public docket is available for public viewing at the Office of Environmental Information (OEI) Docket Center, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566–1744, and the telephone number for the OEI Docket is (202) 566–1752.

An electronic version of the public docket is available through www.regulations.gov. You may use the www.regulations.gov to submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select “search,” then key in the appropriate docket identification number.

It is important to note that EPA’s policy is that public comments, whether submitted electronically or in paper, will be made available for public viewing online at www.regulations.gov without change, unless the comment contains copyrighted material, CBI, or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information claimed as CBI and other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute is not included in the official public docket or in the electronic public docket. EPA’s policy is that copyrighted material, including copyrighted material contained in a public comment, will not be placed in EPA’s electronic public docket but will be available only in printed, paper form in the official public docket. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the EPA Docket Center.

B. How and to whom do I submit comments?

You may submit comments as provided in the ADDRESSES section. Please ensure that your comments are submitted within the specified comment period. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked “late.” EPA is not required to consider these late comments.

If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name, mailing address, and an email address or other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD ROM you submit. This ensures that you can be identified as the submitter of the comment and allows EPA to contact you in case EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties or needs further information on the substance of your comment. Any identifying or contact information provided in the body of a comment will be included as part of the comment that
is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA’s electronic public docket. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

Use of the www.regulations.gov Web site to submit comments to EPA electronically is EPA’s preferred method for receiving comments. The electronic public docket system is an “anonymous access” system, which means EPA will not know your identity, email address, or other contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. In contrast to EPA’s electronic public docket, EPA’s electronic mail (email) system is not an “anonymous access” system. If you send an email comment directly to the Docket without going through www.regulations.gov, your email address is automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the official public docket, and made available in EPA’s electronic public docket.

Dated: March 20, 2013.

Lorie J. Schmidt,
Associate General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2013–07262 Filed 3–27–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 3090–0086; Docket 2012–0001; Sequence 18]

General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation; Submission for OMB Review; Proposal to Lease Space, GSA Forms 1364A, 1364A–1, 1364B, 1364C, 1364D

AGENCY: Office of the Chief Acquisition Officer, General Services Administration (GSA).

ACTION: Notice of request for comments regarding an extension of an information collection requirement for an existing OMB clearance.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, the General Services Administration will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a previously approved information collection requirement for Proposal to Lease Space, GSA Form 1364. The approval is requested for 5 versions of the form, GSA Forms 1364A, 1364A–1, 1364B, 1364C, and 1364D. These forms are used to obtain information for offer evaluation and lease award purposes regarding property being offered for lease to house Federal agencies. This includes financial aspects of offers for analysis and negotiation, such as real estate taxes, adjustments for vacant space, and offerors’ construction overhead fees.

DATES: Submit comments on or before: April 29, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments identified by Information Collection 3090–0086, Proposal to Lease Space, GSA Forms 1364A, 1364A–1, 1364B, 1364C and 1364D (Not Required by Regulation) by any of the following methods:

• Regulations.gov: http://www.regulations.gov. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by searching for “Information Collection 3090–0086, Proposal to Lease Space” under the heading “Enter Keyword or ID” and selecting “Search”. Select the link “Submit a Comment” that corresponds with “Information Collection 3090–0086, Proposal to Lease Space”. Follow the instructions provided at the “Submit a Comment” screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and “Information Collection 3090–0086, Proposal to Lease Space” on your attached document.

• Fax: 202–501–4067.

• Mail: General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat (MVCB), 1275 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20417. ATTN: Hada Flowers/IC 3090–0086, Proposal to Lease Space.

INSTRUCTIONS: Please submit comments only and cite Information Collection 3090–0086, Proposal to Lease Space, in all correspondence related to this collection. All comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Kathy Rifkin, Procurement Analyst, General Services Acquisition Policy Division, GSA (816) 823–2170 or via email at kathy.rifkin@gsa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

The General Services Administration (GSA) has various mission responsibilities related to the acquisition and provision of real property management, and disposal of real and personal property. These mission responsibilities generate requirements that are realized through the solicitation and award of leasing contracts. Individual solicitations and resulting contracts may impose unique information collection/reporting requirements on contractors, not required by regulation, but necessary to (1) evaluate whether the physical attributes of offered properties meet the Government’s requirements and (2) compare the owner/offeree’s price proposal against competing offers.

These Form 1364 versions are products of a GSA Lease Reform Initiative to improve the lease acquisition process for GSA, client agencies, and the private sector. Process reform over the past 2 years has brought reform to GSA leasing by implementing a variety of enhancements and improvements to the methods by which GSA procures space. As a direct result of the reform, five new lease contract models have been developed that are targeted to meet the needs of the national leased portfolio. Four of the lease models require offerors to complete a GSA Form 1364. The new versions of GSA Form 1364 require the submission of information specifically aligned with the leasing models and avoid mandating submission of information that is not required for use in evaluation and award under each model.

The Simplified Lease Model uses GSA Forms 1364A and 1364A–1. This model obtains a firm, fixed price for rent, which includes the cost of tenant improvement construction. Therefore, leases using the Simplified model do not include post-award tenant improvement cost information on the form. The 1364A includes rental rate components and cost data that becomes part of the lease contract and that is necessary to satisfy GSA pricing policy requirements.

The 1364A–1 is a checklist that addresses technical requirements as referenced in the Request for Lease Proposals. The 1364A–1 is separate from the proposal itself and maintained in the lease file; it does not become an exhibit to the lease. The 1364A–1 may contain proprietary offeror information that cannot be released under the Freedom of Information Act.

The Streamlined Lease Model uses GSA Form 1364B. The Streamlined Lease model is a unique model that was designed to support small to mid-size leases up to $500,000 average net annual rent and occupancies that fall under Interagency Security Committee Security Levels I, II, and III. The Streamlined Lease model is not used for projects requiring lease construction or leases employing the best value trade-off evaluation process.

The Standard Lease Model, which relies on an allowance instead of firm fixed pricing for initial tenant improvements, uses GSA Form 1364C.