A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b–4(f)(6) normally does not become operative for 30 days after the date of filing. However, Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii) permits the Commission to designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange requests that the Commission waive the 30-day operative delay so that the proposed rule change may coincide with the anticipated launch of trading in Mini Options. The Commission believes that waiving the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. Waiver of the operative delay will allow the Exchange to implement its proposed rule change consistent with the commencement of trading in Mini Options as scheduled and expected by members and other participants on March 18, 2013. For these reasons, the Commission designates the proposed rule change as operative upon filing.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–BATS–2013–019 on the subject line.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of the Substance of the Proposed Rule Change


II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

ISE recently amended its rules to allow for the listing of Mini Options on SPDR S&P 500 (“SPY”), Apple, Inc.
be permissible to execute a trade where the options leg consists of eight (8) standard option contracts (i.e., 800 shares) and the stock leg consists of 100 shares of the underlying stock.

The Exchange notes that the abovementioned permissible ratios were established to ensure that only stock-option orders that seek to achieve legitimate investment strategies are afforded certain benefits. Particularly, since compliance with trade-through rules may impede a market participant’s ability to achieve the legitimate investment strategies that stock-option orders facilitate, an exception from the prohibition on trade-throughs is provided for any transaction that was effected as a portion of a legitimate stock-option order. Requiring a meaningful relationship between the different legs of a stock-option order prevents market participants from taking advantage of these orders to circumvent the otherwise applicable trade-through rules (e.g., preventing the execution of a stock-option order where the option leg consists of 100 options (i.e., 10,000 shares) and the stock leg consists of only 100 shares).

Therefore, the Exchange proposes to amend the definition of stock-option orders in Rule 722(a)(2) and Rule 1900(d)(iii)(A)–(B). As discussed above, the stock-option order definition in both Rule 722 and Rule 1900 clearly permits that an options leg may be coupled with a stock leg representing the same number of units of the underlying stock (i.e., one-to-one ratio). The Exchange seeks to amend Rule 722(d) to clarify that an options leg may also be coupled with a stock leg if the stock leg represents the same number of units of the underlying stock. For example, pursuant to the definition, it would be permissible to execute a trade where leg one consists of one (1) Mini Option contract (i.e., 10 shares) and leg two consists of 10 shares of the underlying stock.

Next, the Exchange seeks to amend the stock-option order definition in Rule 722 and Rule 1900 to provide that in addition to standard options, Mini Options may be coupled with a stock leg consisting of however many units of the underlying stock is necessary to create a delta neutral position, provided that the total number of shares of the underlying stock in the option leg to the total number of shares of the underlying stock in the stock leg does not exceed an eight-to-one ratio. The Exchange notes the definition of a stock-option order in Rule 722 and Rule 1900 was drafted at a time in which only option contracts with a deliverable of 100 shares was contemplated. Therefore, the rules do not address how the eight-to-one ratio would be scaled in the event an option with a non-standard deliverable becomes available for trading. The language of these rules needs to be amended so that it is clear how Rule 722 and Rule 1900 would apply to Mini Options, as well as standard options. Accordingly, the proposed change specifies that the permissible ratios should be calculated and scaled based upon the total number of shares of the underlying stock in the options leg to the total number of shares of the underlying stock in the stock leg, instead of by the total number of option contracts in the options leg to the total number of shares of the underlying stock in the stock leg.

The proposed rule change provides that market participants may execute stock-option orders involving Mini Options. The proposed change also ensures that the principle behind the permissible ratios (i.e., to provide a meaningful relationship between the legs of complex and stock-option orders) is maintained for Mini Options. Finally, the Exchange notes that reference to the Clearing Corporation in Rule 722(a)(2) and Rule 1900(d)(iii)(A)–(B) is superfluous and unnecessary and therefore deleted. The Exchange also proposes to add Supplementary Material .06 to clarify that if any leg of a complex order or stock-option order is a Mini Option, all options legs of such order must also be Mini Options.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder, including the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act. In particular, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5) requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and to perfect the mechanism for a free and open

---


4 Id.


market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

Specifically, the Exchange believes that investors and other market participants would benefit from the current rule proposal because it would allow market participants to take advantage of legitimate investment strategies and execute stock-option orders in Mini Options. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change will avoid investor confusion if both standard options and Mini Options on the same underlying security are permitted to trade as stock-option orders. Also, the proposal to maintain the permissible ratios that are applicable to standard options in proportion for Mini Options ensures that the principle behind the permissible ratios (i.e., to provide a meaningful relationship between the legs of stock-option orders) is maintained for Mini Options, which promotes just and equitable principles of trade. The Exchange believes that describing prior to the commencement of trading how the permissible ratios in the stock-option order rules will be scaled for Mini Options would lessen investor and marketplace confusion.

Finally, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is designed to not permit unfair discrimination among market participants as all market participants may participate in stock-option orders involving Mini Options.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

This proposed rule change does not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Specifically, since Mini Options are permitted on multiply-listed classes, other exchanges that have received approval to trade Mini Options will have the opportunity to similarly amend their complex order rules to clarify and accommodate stock-option orders in Mini Option classes. Moreover, because all Members may participate in stock-options orders involving Mini Options, the rule change does not permit unfair discrimination and does not impose a burden on Members.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange has not solicited, and does not intend to solicit, comments on this proposed rule change. The Exchange has not received any unsolicited written comments from members or other interested parties.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not:
(i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest;
(ii) Impose any significant burden on competition; and
(iii) Become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and Rule 19b–4(f)(6) thereunder.

A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b–4(f)(6) of the Act normally does not become operative prior to 30 days after the date of the filing. However, pursuant to Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii) of the Act, the Commission may designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange has requested the Commission to waive the 30-day operative delay so that the proposal may become operative immediately upon filing. In June 2012, the Exchange filed a proposed rule change to amend its rules to list and trade certain mini-options contracts on the Exchange, and represented in that filing that the Exchange’s rules that apply to the trading of standard options contracts would apply to mini-options contracts.11 The Exchange has represented that it intends to launch trading in mini-options contracts on March 18, 2013.12 The Exchange believes that waiver of the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest because such waiver would minimize confusion among market participants about how complex orders and stock-options orders involving mini-options contracts will trade.13 The Commission believes that waiving the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. Such waiver would allow the Exchange to implement the proposed rule change contemporaneously with its launch of mini-options contracts trading on March 18, 2013, thereby mitigating potential investor confusion as to how complex orders and stock options orders involving mini-options contracts will trade. For this reason, the Commission hereby waives the 30-day operative delay and designates the proposed rule change to be operative upon filing with the Commission.14

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
• Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–ISE–2013–27 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–ISE–2013–27. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written

8 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b–4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of the filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.
13 See id.
communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal offices of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–ISE–2013–27, and should be submitted on or before April 12, 2013.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.

Kevin M. O’Neill,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–06631 Filed 3–21–13; 8:45 am]
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Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated: Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to Market-Maker Continuous Quoting Obligations

March 18, 2013.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on March 8, 2013, Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (the “Exchange” or “CBOE”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

[The Exchange] [sic] proposes to delay the implementation date of changes to Market-Makers’ continuous quoting obligations. There is no proposed rule language.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has summarized, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

On July 5, 2012, the Exchange submitted a rule change filing, which became effective on that date, to amend Rule 1.1(ccc), “Continuous Electronic Quotes,” to reduce to 90% the percentage of time for which a Market-Maker is required to provide continuous electronic quotes in an appointed option class on a given trading day. That filing also included a proposed rule change to amend Rules 8.13, 8.13A, 8.85, and 9.93 to increase to the lesser of 99% or 100% minus one call-put pair the percentage of series in each class in which Preferred Market-Makers, Lead Market-Makers, Designated Primary Market-Makers, and Electronic Designated Primary Market-Makers, respectively (collectively, “Market-Makers”), must provide continuous electronic quotes.3 The proposed rule changes in that filing were set to become operative on August 4, 2012.

The Exchange submitted another rule change filing on August 3, 2012, which became effective and operative upon filing, to delay implementation of these quoting obligation changes to provide Market-Makers with additional time to make necessary system changes to comply with the new quoting obligations. The filing indicated that the Exchange would announce the implementation date of the proposed rule change in a Regulatory Circular to be published no later than 120 days following the effective date of that rule change, which implementation date would be no later than 180 days following the effective date.4 Similarly, the Exchange submitted a rule change filing on November 1, 2012, which became effective and operative upon filing, to further delay implementation of these quoting obligation changes to provide Market-Makers with additional time to make necessary system changes to comply with the new quoting obligations. The filing indicated that the Exchange would announce the implementation date of the proposed rule change in a Regulatory Circular to be published no later than 120 days following the effective date of that rule change, which implementation date would be no later than 180 days following the effective date.5 Since the filing of that last rule change to delay the implementation date of the changes to quoting obligations, the Exchange has filed two additional rule changes that modify the continuous quoting obligations of Market-Makers. First, the Exchange filed a rule change proposing to exclude series that have a time to expiration of nine months or more from Preferred Market-Maker’s continuous quoting obligation (LEAPS).6 That rule change was effective on filing but has not yet been implemented by the Exchange. Second, the Exchange filed a rule change proposing to exclude intra-day add-on [sic] on the day during which such series are added for trading from Market-Makers’ quoting obligations.7 That rule change is pending approval by the Commission. Both of those rule filings provided that the Exchange will implement those rule changes in conjunction with the implementation of the rule changes in filing SR–CBOE–2012–064 and would announce an implementation date for all of the Market-Maker quoting obligation changes via Regulatory Circular.

The purpose of this rule change filing is to again delay implementation of the quoting obligation changes in filing SR–CBOE–2012–064 so that the Exchange