project area, including unique or problematic geologic formations or soils, prime farmland, and hydric soils, and analyze the potential impacts on these resources resulting from construction and operation of TRRC’s preferred route and each alternative.

b. Evaluate potential measures that could be employed to avoid or to construct through unique or problematic geologic formations or soils.

c. Evaluate the potential atmospheric deposition of rail traffic emissions on soil, including the possible accumulation of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH) and heavy metals from the proposed line.

d. Propose mitigation measures to minimize or eliminate potential project impacts to geology and soils, as appropriate.

9. Air Quality

The EIS will:

a. Evaluate the potential air quality impacts resulting from the proposed new rail line and the proposed operations, as well as combustion of the coal proposed to be transported on the TRRC line, as appropriate.

b. Evaluate the air emissions associated with the proposed action, including coal dust and diesel emissions from locomotives and the potential associated human health effects, as appropriate.

c. Include a life-cycle analysis of potential GHG emissions.

d. Include relevant information from BLM’s Resource Management Plan air quality study and other relevant cumulative impact studies, as appropriate.

e. Examine potential impacts of the proposed line and any coal mines that the proposed line might serve on visibility degradation and impacts to the Northern Cheyenne Class I airshed and sensitive Class II areas.

f. Evaluate incremental consumption under EPA’s Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) permitting program for cumulative emissions from the mines and other activities in the project area, as appropriate.

g. Consider Montana State emission controls required on permitted sources in the baseline cumulative impacts analysis.

h. Propose mitigation measures to minimize or eliminate potential project-related impacts to air quality, as appropriate.

10. Noise and Vibration

The EIS will:

a. Describe the potential noise and vibration impacts during rail line construction resulting from TRRC’s preferred route and each alternative.

b. Describe the potential noise and vibration impacts of new rail line operation resulting from TRRC’s preferred route and each alternative.

c. Evaluate the potential noise and vibration impacts to the Mile City Fish Hatchery, as appropriate.

d. Propose mitigation measures to minimize or eliminate potential project impacts to sensitive noise and vibration receptors, as appropriate.

11. Energy Resources

The EIS will:

a. Describe and evaluate the potential impact of the proposed line on the distribution of energy resources resulting from TRRC’s preferred route and each alternative, including petroleum and gas pipelines and overhead electric transmission lines.

b. Describe and evaluate potential impacts of the proposed action on energy markets and the effect of energy markets on the proposed action.

c. Propose mitigation measures to minimize or eliminate potential project impacts to energy resources, as appropriate.

12. Socioeconomics

The EIS will:

a. Analyze the socioeconomic effects of the proposed action, including effects of a potential influx of construction workers to the project area as a result of the proposed action and the potential increase in demand for local services.

b. Propose mitigation measures to minimize or eliminate potential project-related adverse impacts to social and economic resources, as appropriate.

13. Cultural and Historic Resources

The EIS will:

a. Identify historic buildings, structures, sites, objects, or districts eligible for listing on or listed on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) within the Area of Potential Effect (APE) for TRRC’s preferred route and each alternative and analyze potential project-related impacts to them.

b. In consultation with federally-recognized tribes participating in the Section 106 process, identify properties of traditional religious and cultural importance to tribes and prehistoric or historic archaeological sites evaluated as potentially eligible, eligible, or listed on the NRHP (archaeological historic properties) within the APE for TRRC’s preferred route and each alternative, and analyze potential project-related impacts to them, including indirect visual effects.

c. Propose measures to avoid, minimize, or mitigate potentially adverse project-related impacts to Traditional Cultural Properties (TCPs) and built-environment (e.g., buildings), archaeological historic properties, and cultural and historic resources, as appropriate.

14. Aesthetics

The EIS will:

a. Describe the potential visual impacts of the proposed rail line in the project area, including visual impacts to cultural resources, the Northern Cheyenne Reservation, and agricultural areas.

b. Evaluate the need to use the BLM Visual Resource Management Manual.

c. Propose mitigation measures to minimize or eliminate potential project impacts on aesthetics, as appropriate.

15. Environmental Justice

The EIS will:

a. Evaluate the potential impacts resulting from construction and operation of TRRC’s preferred route and each alternative on minority and low-income populations.

b. Propose mitigation measures to minimize or eliminate potential project impacts on environmental justice populations, as appropriate.

16. Cumulative Impacts

The EIS will evaluate the cumulative and incremental impacts of the proposed action when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions in the project area, as appropriate.

Decided: March 19, 2013.
By the Board, Victoria Rutson, Director, Office of Environmental Analysis.

Jeffrey Herzog,
Clearance Clerk.
[FR Doc. 2013–06625 Filed 3–21–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4915–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Surface Transportation Board
[Docket No. FD 35723]
Housatonic Railroad Company, Inc., Maybrook Railroad Company, and Housatonic Transportation Company—Intra-Corporate Family Transaction Exemption

Housatonic Railroad Company, Inc. (HRRC), Maybrook Railroad Company (MRC), and Housatonic Transportation Company (HTC) (collectively, applicants) have jointly filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(3) and 1180.2(d)(6) for an intra-corporate family transaction and a reincorporation in a different State.
Specifically, HRRC will transfer to MRC (but will continue to operate) a segment of railroad line, and HTC, a Delaware corporation, will reincorporate as a Connecticut corporation while remaining in control of HRRC and Coltville Terminal Company (CTC).

HTC, a noncarrier holding company, is the parent company of wholly owned subsidiaries HRRC, CTC, and a noncarrier subsidiary engaged in warehousing, reloading, and transloading operations. HRRC, a Class III rail carrier, operates rail lines in Connecticut and Massachusetts, including the Berkshire Line, which consists of three contiguous segments owned by MRC, the Connecticut Department of Transportation (CDOT), and HRRC, respectively. Applicants state that MRC is a “non-operating” rail carrier that owns rail lines in Connecticut. Applicants indicate that MRC, HTC, CTC, and HRRC are under common ownership and common control and are members of the Housatonic corporate family.

According to applicants, HTC seeks to become a Connecticut corporation in lieu of continuing as a Delaware corporation. After its reincorporation in Connecticut, HTC will remain in control of HRRC and CTC.

Applicants also seek to transfer to MRC ownership of the portion of the Berkshire Line now owned by HRRC. Applicants state that HRRC would continue to operate the line through retained perpetual and exclusive common carrier freight operating rights pursuant to an operating agreement between HRRC and MRC.

Applicants anticipate consummating the proposed transaction on or after April 6, 2013, the effective date of the exemption (30 days after the exemption was filed).

Applicants state that the purpose of the intra-corporate transaction is to streamline administration and enhance the financial condition of HTC and HRRC by consolidating ownership of the privately owned portion of the Berkshire Line, by relieving HRRC of the burden of the payment of a mortgage obligation secured by the property to be transferred, and by reducing administration expenses. Applicants state that HTC has no property, assets, or activities in Delaware and currently is qualified as a foreign corporation in Connecticut, thus creating unnecessary corporate administration, expenses, and taxes.

The line transfer is a transaction within a corporate family exempted from prior review and approval under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(3). Applicants state that the transaction will not result in adverse changes in service levels, significant operational changes, or any change in the competitive balance with carriers outside the corporate family. The reincorporation of HTC is the type of transaction specifically exempted from prior review and approval under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(6).

Under 49 U.S.C. 10502(g), the Board may not use its exemption authority to relieve a rail carrier of its statutory obligation to protect the interests of its employees. Section 11326(c), however, does not provide for labor protection for transactions under 11324 and 11325 that involve only Class III rail carriers. Accordingly, the Board may not impose labor protective conditions here, because applicants state that all of the carriers involved are Class III rail carriers.

If the notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void ab initio. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the effectiveness of the exemption. Petitions for stay must be filed no later than March 29, 2013 (at least seven days before the exemption becomes effective).

An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to Docket No. FD 35723, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20423–0001. In addition, one copy of each pleading must be served on counsel for applicants, Edward J. Rodriguez, 8 Davis Road West, P.O. Box 687, Old Lyme, CT 06371.

Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at “www.stb.dot.gov.”

Decided: March 15, 2013.

By the Board, Rachel D. Campbell, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Derrick A. Gardner, Clearance Clerk.
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