International Trade Commission

Investigation No. 731–TA–747 (Third Review)

Fresh Tomatoes From Mexico; Suspension of Antidumping Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The subject five-year review was instituted on December 3, 2012, to determine whether termination of the suspended investigation on fresh tomatoes from Mexico would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury (77 FR 71629). On February 28, 2013, Mexican tomato growers/exporters accounting for a significant percentage of all fresh tomatoes imported into the United States from Mexico provided written notice to the Department of Commerce of their withdrawal from the agreement suspending the antidumping investigation on fresh tomatoes from Mexico. Effective March 1, 2013, Commerce terminated the suspension agreement, terminated the five-year review of the suspended investigation, and resumed the antidumping investigation on fresh tomatoes from Mexico because the suspension agreement no longer covered substantially all imports of fresh tomatoes from Mexico. On March 4, 2013, based on a final 2013 suspension agreement, Commerce announced the suspension of its antidumping investigation of fresh tomatoes from Mexico. Accordingly, the U.S. International Trade Commission now provides notice of the suspension of its antidumping investigation of fresh tomatoes from Mexico.

DATES: Effective Date: March 5, 2013.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background. On November 1, 1996, the Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) suspended an antidumping investigation on imports of fresh tomatoes from Mexico (61 FR 56618). On October 1, 2001, Commerce initiated its first five-year review of the suspended investigation (66 FR 49926). On the basis of the withdrawal from the suspension agreement by Mexican tomato growers which accounted for a significant percentage of all fresh tomatoes imported into the United States from Mexico, Commerce terminated the suspension agreement, terminated the first five-year review, and resumed the antidumping investigation, effective July 30, 2002 (67 FR 50858, August 6, 2002). On December 16, 2002, Commerce suspended the antidumping investigation on imports of fresh tomatoes from Mexico (67 FR 77044). On November 1, 2007, Commerce initiated its second five-year review of the suspended investigation (72 FR 61861). Once again, based on the withdrawal from the suspension agreement by Mexican tomato growers which accounted for a significant percentage of all fresh tomatoes imported into the United States from Mexico, Commerce terminated the suspension agreement, terminated the second five-year review, and resumed the antidumping investigation, effective January 18, 2008 (73 FR 2887, January 16, 2008). The antidumping investigation was again suspended effective January 22, 2008 (73 FR 4831, January 28, 2008). On December 3, 2012, Commerce initiated its third five-year review of the suspended investigation (77 FR 71684). On February 2, 2013, Commerce and Mexican tomato growers/exporters accounting for a significant percentage of all fresh tomatoes imported into the United States from Mexico initialed a draft agreement that would suspend a resumed antidumping investigation on fresh tomatoes from Mexico. Based on this draft agreement, on February 8, 2013, Commerce published its intention to terminate the 2008 suspension agreement, terminate its third five-year review, and resume its antidumping investigation (78 FR 9366).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth Haines (202–205–3200), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

Authority: This investigation is being terminated under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.40 of the Commission’s rules (19 CFR 207.40).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 11, 2013.

Lisa R. Barton,
Acting Secretary to the Commission.

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P
Systems ("MEMS Devices") and certain microelectromechanical systems ("MEMS devices") and products containing same. The complaint names as respondents InvenSense, Inc. of Sunnyvale, CA; Roku, Inc. of Saratoga, CA and Black & Decker (U.S.), Inc. of New Britain, CT.

Proposed respondents, other interested parties, and members of the public are invited to file comments, not to exceed five (5) pages in length, inclusive of attachments, on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or section 210.8(b) filing. Comments should address whether issuance of the relief specifically requested by the complainant in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the requested remedial orders are used in the United States;

(ii) Identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the requested remedial orders;

(iii) Identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;

(iv) Indicate whether complainant, complainant’s licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the requested exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and

(v) Explain how the requested remedial orders would impact United States consumers.

Written submissions must be filed no later than by close of business, eight calendar days after the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register. There will be further opportunities for comment on the public interest after the issuance of any final initial determination in this investigation.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit 8 true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the docket number ("Docket No. 2942") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg/notices/rules/handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf).

Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202–205–2000). Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary in writing and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of sections 201.10 and 210.8(c) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.8(c)).

Issued: March 11, 2013.

Lisa R. Barton,
Acting Secretary to the Commission.
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