SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations: Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to Amendments to MSRB Form RTRS

March 8, 2013.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) 1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, 2 notice is hereby given that on March 1, 2013, the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (the “MSRB”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the MSRB. The MSRB has designated the proposed rule change as constituting a “non-controversial” rule change under paragraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b–4 under the Act, 3 which renders the proposal “controversial” rule change under paragraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b–4 under the Act, 4 which renders the proposal effective upon receipt of this filing by the Commission. The implementation date of the proposed rule change will be April 15, 2013. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of the Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The MSRB is filing with the Commission a proposed rule change consisting of amendments to MSRB Form RTRS (the “proposed rule change”), required in connection with the MSRB’s Real-Time Transaction Reporting System (“RTRS”). The proposed rule change simplifies or eliminates certain data elements required to complete Form RTRS. The MSRB is not proposing any textual changes to its rules.


II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the MSRB included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The MSRB has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

As further described below, the purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend Form RTRS (“current Form RTRS”), required to be filed by dealers to process transactions reported under MSRB Rule G–14, by simplifying or eliminating certain data elements related to such filings.

Form RTRS. The implementation of RTRS, the MSRB’s facility for real-time transaction reporting and price dissemination, was approved by the Commission in 2004, 4 together with related changes to Rule G–14, on transaction reporting, and Rule G–12(f), on automated comparison of inter-dealer transactions. The implementation of RTRS was part of the evolution of the MSRB’s efforts to improve price transparency in the municipal securities market and provide a facility for the dissemination of comprehensive and contemporaneous pricing data. MSRB Rules G–14 RTRS Procedures and G–12 require brokers, dealers and municipal securities dealers (“dealers”) to report transactions in municipal securities within 15 minutes of the time of trade execution, and to submit inter-dealer transactions to a central comparison system within the same time frame. The implementation of RTRS also enhanced the surveillance database and audit trail used by enforcement agencies.

Subject to certain exceptions, Rule G–14 currently requires dealers to report each purchase and sale of a municipal security to RTRS in the manner and as prescribed by Rule G–14 RTRS Procedures and the RTRS Users Manual. Current Form RTRS, which dealers must use to submit information to the MSRB pursuant to Rule G–14(b)(iv), requires dealers to provide certain information to ensure that their trade reports can be processed accurately, including:

- Type of business activities engaged in by the dealer, including whether the dealer engages in reportable trade activity or acts as a broker’s broker;
- Identifiers used for reporting purposes, including effecting broker symbol(s) (also known as “MPIDs”) assigned by NASDAQ, and participant identifier(s) assigned by the National Securities Clearing Corporation (“NSCC”);
- Identity of dealer staff to be contacted, including staff to be contacted for issues concerning quality of trade data submissions; staff to be contacted for issues concerning initial testing; if so elected by the dealer, staff to be allowed access to RTRS Web; and staff to be contacted for issues concerning technical problems in computer-to-computer data submissions;
- Trade submission relationships, including identity of other dealers submitting on behalf of the dealer submitting current Form RTRS; whether the dealer will be submitting transactions on its own behalf, and/or submitting trade reports on behalf of others; and identity of any non-dealer organizations submitting transactions on behalf of the dealer;
- Error monitoring processes, including the type of feedback (and related contact information) selected by the dealer to comply with Rule G–14 RTRS Procedures section (a)(v);
- Testing information, including information necessary to determine the type of testing required during the start-up and transition phase to RTRS; and
- Filing information, including certification of accuracy, name and CRD number of the dealer/individual filing current Form RTRS on behalf of the dealer and date of filing.

Current Form RTRS must be completed and submitted electronically. Dealers are also required to notify the MSRB when the information on current Form RTRS changes.

Since originally designed, certain elements of current Form RTRS have become obsolete. First, there is no longer any need to collect the same testing information as was needed during the start-up phase of RTRS in 2004. In addition, reengineering current Form RTRS creates opportunities to improve the ease of use and align RTRS data collection technology with the MSRB’s Long-Range Plan for Market Transparency Products by improving

---

data quality, consistency and correction processes.5

Summary of proposed rule change. As noted above, the proposed rule change amends current Form RTRS by simplifying or eliminating certain information currently required from dealers to process transactions required to be reported under MSRB Rule G–14. The proposed rule change does not include any changes to Rule G–14. Revised Form RTRS (“revised Form RTRS”) will be required to be submitted by dealers only in electronic form, as is the case with current Form RTRS. The proposed rule change (i) modifies the account management function for RTRS web users; (ii) allows certain information from the filer’s MSRB Gateway system6 account to pre-populate certain sections of revised Form RTRS; (iii) improves the ability to identify and distinguish trades reported by dealers trading in multiple capacities; (iv) removes elements of the current Form RTRS relating to start-up testing, including the requirement to identify a dealer’s contacts for initial testing of its RTRS interface; and (v) corrects or eliminates minor obsolete elements in current Form RTRS.

The proposed rule change moves the management of RTRS Web user accounts from current Form RTRS into existing account management functions in the MSRB Gateway system, as well as eliminates the requirement to identify a testing contact. Identification of individuals necessary for data quality and technical issues is retained. The incorporation of RTRS Web account management into the MSRB Gateway system brings that system into conformance with other similar systems, such as SHORT Web and EMMA Dataport.7

Current Form RTRS requires dealers to indicate whether they are acting as broker’s brokers, but does not enable dealers acting in both a broker’s broker and non-broker’s broker capacity to indicate both roles. As a result, all trades are processed identically. Revised Form RTRS adds the functionality for dealers acting in both capacities to specify a separate symbol for trades done in each capacity.8 The proposed rule change revises Form RTRS, but does not impose any new trade reporting obligations, and any such changes will be addressed in separate rulemaking proceedings.

Other changes include removing certain obsolete information. The attachment section to current Form RTRS (“Start-Up Testing”) contains provisions relating to preparations for the launch of RTRS in 2004. Since such information is now obsolete, the proposed rule change eliminates the data collected in the attachment entirely. Other information not used or necessary to administer the RTRS program includes, among other things, certain details about a dealer’s designated contacts, and information about transactions submitted by a dealer on behalf of others, as further described below. Finally several minor details in the Form (such as department names and the MSRB address) are corrected or eliminated.

Revised Form RTRS is attached as Exhibit 3. Revised Form RTRS requests information organized in four categories: Business Activities, Trade Reporting Identifiers, Designated Contacts and Submission and Feedback. As noted above, while the format of Form RTRS has changed, much of the information previously required from dealers remains the same. Following is a description of the data required on current Form RTRS and the treatment of such data on revised Form RTRS.

Revisions to Current Form RTRS

Section A General Information

Section A1 of current Form RTRS requests the following Company Identifier elements:

- Company MSRB number: This data will be pre-populated when logging in to Form RTRS through a filer’s Gateway account and will not be submitted through revised Form RTRS.  
- Company name: This data will be pre-populated when logging in to Form RTRS through a filer’s Gateway account and will not be submitted through revised Form RTRS.  
- NASD 9Assigned Effecting Broker Symbol: This data element has been retained but will now be relocated to Trade Reporting Identifiers.  
- CRD number: This data will be pre-populated when logging in to Form RTRS through a filer’s Gateway account and will not be submitted through revised Form RTRS.

and will not be submitted through revised Form RTRS.

- SEC number: This data will be pre-populated when logging in to Form RTRS through a filer’s Gateway account and will not be submitted through revised Form RTRS.  
- NSCC Participant ID: This data element has been retained but will now be relocated to Trade Reporting Identifiers.  
- SEC number: This data will be pre-populated when logging in to Form RTRS through a filer’s Gateway account and will not be submitted through revised Form RTRS.  
- NSCC Participant ID: This data element has been retained but will now be relocated to Trade Reporting Identifiers.

Section A2 of the current Form RTRS requests information concerning the applicability of MSRB Rule G–14 to the filer’s transactions, and directs the filer to choose the applicable class of transactions from a checklist. This checklist has been relocated to Business Activities and now allows the filer to choose more than one applicable class of transactions.

Section B Contacts

Sections B1 and B2 of current Form RTRS request the following identical information about the Form Contact and Additional Contacts:

- Title: Dept.; and Business Address: Business Address data has been deleted because it is otherwise available through the filer’s Gateway account. The Title and Dept. data, although also available through the filer’s Gateway account, has been deleted because it is no longer necessary to administer the RTRS program.  
- Phone: This data will be pre-populated from the designated contact’s Gateway account and will be relocated to Designated Contacts.  
- Fax: This data has been deleted because although otherwise available through the filer’s Gateway account, it is not used.  
- Email address: This data will be pre-populated from the designated contact’s Gateway account and will be relocated to Designated Contacts.  
- CRD No.: This data has been deleted because it is no longer necessary to administer the RTRS program.  
- Data Quality: Designation of primary and secondary contacts will be retained but will be relocated in Designated Contacts.  
- RTRS Web Access: These designations have been deleted because they are now managed outside of Form RTRS.  
- Technical Support: Designation of contact will be retained but will be relocated in Designated Contacts.  
- Email recipient: This designation for error feedback has been retained but the email address for such person will

---

5 The MSRB Long-Range Plan for Market Transparency Products is available on www.msrb.org.
6 MSRB Gateway is the single, secure access point for all MSRB market transparency submission services, applications and associated forms. Specific functions users can perform in Gateway include: (1) Set up secure, password-protected accounts; (2) manage organization and user account details; (3) access certain forms used for MSRB submission services, such as Form RTRS; and (4) manage agent designations.
7 SHORT Web and EMMA Dataport are user interfaces used by dealer staff to submit data and document information pursuant to MSRB Rules G–32 and G–34.

8 This feature is managed in the Trade Reporting Identifiers section by the use of the “+ Add New Symbol” function.
9 Now NASDAQ.
be relocated in Trade Reporting Identifiers and associated with a particular EBS symbol.

- **Testing:** This designation has been deleted because it is obsolete.

**Section C  Dealer Relationships**

Section C1 requests information about a reporting agent, if applicable, submitting on behalf of the filer:

- **Indication, if applicable, that a dealer is reporting transactions on filer's behalf:** This data will be retained but will be relocated to Submission and Feedback.
- **Company name of reporting agent:** This data will be retained but will be relocated to Submission and Feedback.
- **Reporting Agent’s NSCC Participant ID:** This data will be retained but will be relocated to Submission and Feedback.

Section C2 requests information about any transactions submitted by the filer on its own behalf or on behalf of others:

- **Indication, if applicable, that filer will submit its own transactions:** This data will be retained but will be relocated to Submission and Feedback.
- **Indication, if applicable, that filer will submit transactions for other dealers:** This designation has been deleted because it is no longer necessary to administer the RTRS program.
- **Indication, if applicable, that filer is an NSCC Participant:** This data will be retained but will be relocated to Business Activities.

Section C3 requests information concerning the filer’s activities as a broker’s broker:

- **Indication, if applicable, that filer acts as a broker’s broker:** This data will be retained but will be relocated to Business Activities.

**Section D  Other Data**

Section D1 Error Feedback: This section requests information about the error feedback method selected by the filer and directs the filer to choose one or more applicable methods from a checklist. This checklist has been retained but will be relocated to Submission and Feedback.

Section D2 Service Bureau: This section requests the identity of any non-dealer organization employed by the filer to submit transactions on its behalf. This data has been deleted because it is no longer necessary to administer the RTRS program.

**Section E  Signature**

This section requests information about the municipal securities principal or executive officer executing the current Form RTRS, including name, designation as a municipal securities principal or executive officer, a certification that the information provided in the Form is accurate and complete, and a signature and date of execution. This section has been deleted because the information, other than the certification, is currently required as part of the information submitted under MSRB Rule G–40. A certification that the information submitted is accurate and complete will be automatically included on each submission of information or change thereto to revised Form RTRS.

**Attachment Start-Up Testing**

Sections ATT1 and ATT2: These sections requested certain information, relevant in 2004, about the types of trades being submitted and the filer’s testing schedule. These sections have been deleted because the data is obsolete.

**New Information Required by Revised Form RTRS**

As noted above, the information requested in revised Form RTRS has been organized in four categories: Business Activities, Trade Reporting Identifiers, Designated Contacts and Submission and Feedback. Each of the sections will contain data transferred from current Form RTRS as described above. Some sections will request new information, as described below.

- **Following log-in through the filer’s Gateway account, each submitter will be required to either affirm or edit previously submitted information for each of the categories listed above.**

In addition, the following sections will request new information:

- **Business Activities**

  - **Trade Reporting Identifiers**

    This section includes certain data from current Form RTRS and asks the filer to identify one or more types of transactions engaged in by the filer, including transactions as a broker’s broker. Current Form RTRS limited the number of types of transactions that could be designated.

- **Trade Reporting Identifiers**

  This section includes certain data from current Form RTRS and adds identifiers, if applicable, for broker’s brokers transactions.

The MSRB anticipates that use of revised Form RTRS will reduce total brokers transactions. In addition, the proposed rule change will continue to be available, however, only to authorized individuals in a secure, password protected manner.

2. **Statutory Basis**

The MSRB believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 15B(b)(2)(C) of the Act, which provides that the MSRB’s rules shall: be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in municipal securities and municipal financial products, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market in municipal securities and municipal financial products, and, in general, to protect investors, municipal entities, obligated persons, and the public interest.

The MSRB believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act because it will facilitate transactions in municipal securities and remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market. The proposed rule change will be a step in advancing the MSRB’s long term policy of improving price transparency. Further, implementation of revised Form RTRS will improve compliance with the MSRB’s requirements for real-time reporting by allowing dealers to submit information necessary to process trades in a more efficient and timely manner, and reduce steps necessary to make post-filing changes, thereby decreasing the likelihood of reporting failures resulting from inaccurate processing of information, and improving the efficient working of RTRS. In addition, the proposed rule change adds new functionalities to allow dealers to separately identify trades when acting as a broker’s broker.

**B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition**

The MSRB does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or...
appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed rule change will not impose any additional burden on dealers because it will not require dealers to obtain or submit additional information to fulfill the requirements of the proposed rule change. Further, the MSRB believes that the proposed rule change will reduce the regulatory burden on dealers by providing a streamlined facility for entering information necessary to process trades correctly and by reducing the necessity for post-filing amendments.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not:

(i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest;

(ii) Impose any significant burden on competition; and

(iii) Become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act\(^\text{13}\) and Rule 19b–4(f)[(f)](6) thereunder.\(^\text{13}\)

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–MSRB–2013–03 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–MSRB–2013–03. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the MSRB. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–MSRB–2013–03, and should be submitted on or before April 4, 2013.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.\(^\text{14}\)

Kevin M. O’Neill,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–05890 Filed 3–13–13; 8:45 am]
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SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ OMX BX, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Chapter V, Regulation of Trading on BX Options, Section 6, Obvious Errors

March 7, 2013.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),\(^\text{1}\) and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,\(^\text{2}\) notice is hereby given that on February 26, 2013, NASDAQ OMX BX, Inc. (“BX” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II, below, which items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Chapter V, Regulation of Trading on BX Options, Section 6, Obvious Errors, to replace the current mid-point test applied to the definition of Theoretical Price.

The text of the proposed rule change is below; proposed new language is italicized.

* * * * *

Chapter V Regulation of Trading on BX Options

* * * * *

Sec. 6 Obvious Errors

(a) BX shall either nullify a transaction or adjust the execution price of a transaction that meets the standards provided in this Section.

(b) No change.

(c) Definition of Theoretical Price. For purposes of this Section only, the Theoretical Price of an option series is,

(i) If the series is traded on at least one other options exchange, the [mid-point of the] last National Best Bid price with respect to an erroneous sell transaction and the last National Best Offer price with respect to an erroneous buy transaction [and Offer (“NBBO”)], just prior to the transaction; or

(ii) No change.

(d)–(e) No change.

* * * * *
