Commission, grantees of Foreign-Trade Zone 3, San Francisco, California, requesting authority to expand its service area under the alternative site framework (ASF) adopted by the Board (15 CFR Sec. 400.2(c)), as well as to include an additional usage-driven site. The expanded service area of the zone would include Contra Costa, Marin and Solano Counties, California, as well as portions of Napa and Sonoma Counties, California, as described in the application. The applicant has now amended its application to reduce the portions of Napa and Sonoma Counties proposed for inclusion in the expanded service area. There is no change in terms of Marin, Contra Costa and Solano Counties.

A copy of the revised application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230–0002, and in the “Reading Room” section of the Board’s Web site, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz. For further information, contact Christopher Kemp at Christopher.Kemp@trade.gov or (202) 482–0862.

Dated: March 1, 2013.

Andrew McGilvray, Executive Secretary.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

Foreign-Trade Zone 163—Ponce, Puerto Rico; Authorization of Production Activity; Zimmer Manufacturing BV (Medical Devices); Ponce, Puerto Rico

On November 1, 2012, CODEZOL, C.D., grantees of FTZ 163, submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board on behalf of Zimmer Manufacturing BV, within Subzone 163A, in Ponce, Puerto Rico. The notification was processed in accordance with the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400), including notice in the Federal Register inviting public comment (78 FR 68103, 11/15/2012). The FTZ Board has determined that no further review of the activity is warranted at this time. The production activity described in the notification is authorized, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board’s regulations, including Section 400.14.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Narrow Woven Ribbons With Woven Selvedge From Taiwan: Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: March 8, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth Eastwood or David Crespo, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3874 and (202) 482–3693, respectively.

Background

On September 4, 2012, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on narrow woven ribbons with woven selvedge from Taiwan covering the period September 1, 2011, through August 31, 2012. The Department received a timely request for an antidumping duty administrative review from the petitioner, Berwick Offray LLC and its wholly-owned subsidiary Lion Ribbon Company, Inc., for the following companies: (1) Apex Ribbon; (2) Apex Trimmings Inc. (d.b.a. Papillon Ribbon & Bow (Canada)) (Apex Trimmings); (3) Hubschercorp; (4) Intercontinental Skyline; (5) Multicolor; (6) Pacific Imports; (7) Shienq Huong Enterprise Co., Ltd./Novelty Handicrafts Co., Ltd./Supreme Laces Inc. On October 31, 2012, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review with respect to these companies. On January 29, 2013, the petitioner withdrew its request for an administrative review for the following companies: (1) Apex Ribbon; (2) Apex Trimmings; (3) Hubschercorp; (4) Multicolor; (5) Shienq Huong; and (6) Supreme Laces Inc.

Rescission, In Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. The petitioner’s request was submitted within the 90-day period and, thus, is timely. Because the petitioner’s withdrawal of request for an antidumping duty administrative review is timely and because no other party requested a review of the companies listed above, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding this administrative review with respect to the following companies: (1) Apex Ribbon; (2) Apex Trimmings; (3) Hubschercorp; (4)
Multicolor; (5) Shienq Huang; and (6) Supreme Laces Inc. We note that we are not rescinding a review for Intercontinental Skyline and Pacific Imports.

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For the companies for which this review is rescinded antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).


Gary Taverman,
Senior Advisor for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration


AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on small diameter graphite electrodes (graphite electrodes) from the People’s Republic of China (PRC), covering the period February 1, 2011, through January 31, 2012. The Department has preliminarily determined that during the period of review (POR) certain companies covered by this review have not made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value, and that other companies are now part of the PRC-wide entity.

DATES: Effective Date: March 8, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dmitry Vladimirov or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0665 or (202) 482–1690, respectively.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order includes all small diameter graphite electrodes with a nominal or actual diameter of 400 millimeters (16 inches) or less and graphite pin joining systems for small diameter graphite electrodes. Small diameter graphite electrodes and graphite pin joining systems for small diameter graphite electrodes that are subject to the order are currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 8545.11.0010 and 3801.10. The HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, “Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Small Diameter Graphite Electrodes from the People’s Republic of China” dated concurrently with this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The written description is dispositive. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Import Administration’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at http://iaaccess.trade.gov and in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at http://www.trade.gov/ia/. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Partial Rescission of the Administrative Review

Based on the withdrawal of the requests for review and because the companies previously established their entitlement to a separate rate, we are rescinding this administrative review with respect to 19 companies named in the Initiation Notice and listed in the attachment to this notice as Appendix II.

Methodology

The Department has conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export prices have been calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Because the PRC is a non-market economy (NME) within the meaning of section 771(18) of the Act, normal value has been calculated in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act. Specifically, the respondents’ factors of production have been valued using prices in Ukraine, a country which is economically comparable to the PRC and a significant producer of comparable merchandise. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

Preliminary Results of Review

The Department has determined that the following preliminary dumping margins exist for the period February 1, 2011, through January 31, 2012: