

electronic release detection monitoring equipment on the UST systems and associated piping owned and/or operated by Defendants at the facilities that are the subject of the Consent Decree. The Consent Decree includes three supplemental environmental projects requiring the Defendants to (1) Centralized monitoring equipment to collect the data generated by the electronic release detection system; (2) conduct a third-party environmental compliance audit of each facility; and (3) conduct a community outreach seminar to educate regulated UST owners and/or operators regarding the federal regulations that apply to the operation and maintenance of UST systems.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Adirondack Energy Products, Inc., et al.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-7-1-09900. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By e-mail	<i>pubcommentees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail	Assistant Attorney General U.S. DOJ—ENRD P.O. Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$12.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Ronald G. Gluck,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2013-04968 Filed 3-4-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-82,189; TA-W-82,189A]

Verizon Business Networks Services, Inc., Senior Analysts-Order Management, Voice Over Internet Protocol, Small And Medium Business, Tampa, Florida; Verizon Business Networks Services, Inc., Senior Coordinator-Order Management, Voice Over Internet Protocol, Small And Medium Business, San Antonio, TX; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (“Act”), 19 U.S.C. 2273, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on January 18, 2013, applicable to workers of Verizon Business Networks Services, Inc., Senior Analysts-Order Management, Voice Over Internet Protocol, Small and Medium Business, Tampa, Florida. The workers supplied order management services to small and medium business customers relating to the firm’s Voice Over Internet Protocol (“VOIP”) products. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 6, 2013 (78 FR 8592).

In response to new information received during the investigation of petition number TA-W-82,256, the Department reviewed this certification for workers of the subject firm. Information shows that the Senior Coordinator-Order Management, Voice Over Internet Protocol, Small and Medium Business of Verizon Business Networks Services, Inc., San Antonio, Texas operates the same as and in conjunction with Senior Analysts-Order Management, Voice Over Internet Protocol, Small and Medium Business Tampa, Florida, and both experienced worker separations during the relevant time period.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include workers of the Senior Coordinator-Order Management, Voice Over Internet Protocol, Small and Medium Business of Verizon Business Networks Services, Inc., San Antonio, Texas.

The intent of the Department’s certification is to include all workers of the subject firm who were adversely affected by the shift in order management services to a foreign country.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-82,189 is hereby issued as follows:

“All workers from Verizon Business Network Services, Inc., Senior Analysts-Order Management, Voice Over Internet Protocol, Small and Medium Business, Tampa, Florida (TA-W-82,189) and Verizon Business Network Services, Inc., Senior Coordinator-Order Management, Voice Over Internet Protocol, Small and Medium Business, San Antonio, Texas (TA-W-82,189A), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after November 28, 2011 through January 18, 2015, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on the date of certification through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.”

Signed at Washington, DC this 14th day of February 2013.

Michael W. Jaffe,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2013-04951 Filed 3-4-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-81,702; TA-W-81,702A]

Verizon Business Networks Services, Inc., Specialist-Tech Customer Service, Philadelphia, PA; Verizon Business Networks Services, Inc., Specialist-Tech Customer Service, Tampa, Florida; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (“Act”), 19 U.S.C. 2273, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on September 6, 2012, applicable to workers of Verizon Business Networks Services, Inc., Order Management Division, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania and Verizon Business Networks Services, Inc., Order Management Division, Tampa, Florida. The workers’ firm is engaged in activities related to telecommunications services. The worker group supplies order management services. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on September 21, 2012 (77 FR 58583).

At the request of a company official, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information from the company, shows that the correct name of the subject firm in its’ entirety should