

Suspension Agreements

None.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. For both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify the individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order or suspension agreement for which it is requesting a review. In addition, a domestic interested party or an interested party described in section 771(9)(B) of the Act must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters.² If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which were produced in more than one country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

Please note that, for any party the Department was unable to locate in prior segments, the Department will not accept a request for an administrative review of that party absent new information as to the party's location. Moreover, if the interested party who files a request for review is unable to locate the producer or exporter for which it requested the review, the interested party must provide an explanation of the attempts it made to locate the producer or exporter at the same time it files its request for review, in order for the Secretary to determine if the interested party's attempts were reasonable, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.303(f)(3)(ii).

As explained in *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003), the Department has clarified its practice with respect to the collection of final antidumping duties on imports of merchandise where intermediate firms are involved. The public should be aware of this clarification in determining whether to request an administrative review of merchandise subject to antidumping

² If the review request involves a non-market economy and the parties subject to the review request do not qualify for separate rates, all other exporters of subject merchandise from the non-market economy country who do not have a separate rate will be covered by the review as part of the single entity of which the named firms are a part.

findings and orders. *See also* the Import Administration Web site at <http://trade.gov/ia>.

All requests must be filed electronically in Import Administration's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS") on the IA ACCESS Web site at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov>. *See Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Electronic Filing Procedures; Administrative Protective Order Procedures*, 76 FR 39263 (July 6, 2011). Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f)(1)(i), a copy of each request must be served on the petitioner and each exporter or producer specified in the request.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of March 2013. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of March 2013, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures "gap" period, of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the period of review.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: February 21, 2013.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2013-04840 Filed 2-28-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Application(s) for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Pub. L. 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before March 21, 2013. Address written comments to Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. at the U.S. Department of Commerce in Room 3720.

Docket Number: 13-001. *Applicant:* Oregon Health and Science University, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Rd., Portland, OR 97239. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, the Netherlands. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to obtain a powerfully detailed picture of the architecture of the molecular signals that function in normal and diseased tissues at the molecular, cell, tissue and organism levels. The data will be used to improve management of human diseases including cancer, cardiovascular disease, immunodeficiency and dementia. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* January 8, 2013.

Docket Number: 13-003. *Applicant:* Howard Hughes Medical Institute, 4000 Jones Bridge Rd., Chevy Chase, MD 20815. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI, the Netherlands. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to examine biological specimens such as protein complexes, noninfectious virus, and small cells, to help elucidate function. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* February 11, 2013.

Docket Number: 13-004. *Applicant:* Georgia Institute of Technology, 901 Atlantic Dr., Atlanta, GA 30332.

Instrument: Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* Hitachi High-Technologies Corp., Japan. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to observe the shape, size, crystal structure and composition of nanoparticles including metal nanocrystals, metal-oxide nanocrystals, and their combinations. The growth mechanism and properties of the materials will be investigated for biomedical and catalysis applications. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* February 11, 2013.

Docket Number: 13–005. *Applicant:* Case Western Reserve University, 10900 Euclid Ave., Cleveland, OH 44106–4965. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* JEOL Ltd., Japan. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to determine the three-dimensional structure at near-atomic (3–10Å) resolution for macromolecular (protein) complexes and at 20–30Å for tissue samples. Samples will include cryogenically frozen soluble and membrane proteins, protein complexes, protein/DNA and protein/RNA complexes, human, animal and plant viruses, and viral vectors (only noninfectious or in BioSafety Level 2 category) and tissue samples such as isolated mouse retinal cells. The objectives to be pursued include understanding the structure and conformational change of assemblies involved in biological processes such as ATP production, signal transduction, and DNA repair. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* February 5, 2013.

Docket Number: 13–006. *Applicant:* Oregon Health and Science University, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Rd., Portland, OR 97239. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, the Netherlands. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to obtain a powerfully detailed picture of the architecture of the molecular signals that function in normal and diseased tissues at the molecular, cell, tissue and organism levels. The data will be used to improve management of human diseases including cancer, cardiovascular disease, immunodeficiency and dementia. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by*

Commissioner of Customs: February 11, 2013.

Dated: February 25, 2013.

Gregory W. Campbell,
Director of Subsidies Enforcement, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2013–04842 Filed 2–28–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[Application No. 85–17A18]

Export Trade Certificate of Review

ACTION: Notice of Issuance of an Amended Export Trade Certificate of Review to U.S. Shippers Association.

SUMMARY: The Secretary of Commerce, through the International Trade Administration, Office of Competition and Economic Analysis (OCEA), has issued an amended Export Trade Certificate of Review (“Certificate”) to the U.S. Shippers Association (“USSA”) on February 15, 2013. USSA’s application to amend its Certificate was announced in the **Federal Register** on December 5, 2012 (77 FR 72324). The original Certificate No. 85–00018 was issued to USSA on June 3, 1986 (51 FR 20873). The previous amendment (No. 85–16A18) was issued to USSA on August 9, 2010 (75 FR 50747).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph Flynn, Director, Office of Competition and Economic Analysis, International Trade Administration, by telephone at (202) 482–5131 (this is not a toll-free number) or Email at etca@trade.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. 4001–21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. The regulations implementing Title III are found at 15 CFR Part 325 (2012).

OCEA is issuing this notice pursuant to 15 CFR 325.6(b), which requires the Department of Commerce to publish a summary of the certificate in the **Federal Register**. Under Section 305(a) of the Act and 15 CFR 325.11(a), any person aggrieved by the Secretary’s determination may, within 30 days of the date of this notice, bring an action in any appropriate district court of the United States to set aside the determination on the ground that the determination is erroneous.

Description of Amendments to the Certificate

1. Add the following new Members of the Certificate within the meaning of section 325.2(1) of the Regulations (15 CFR 325.2(1)): Phibro Animal Health Corporation of Teaneck, NJ and Altimore Consultants, LLC of Needville, TX.

2. Delete the following Members from USSA’s Certificate: Hexion Specialty Chemicals, Houston, TX; KRATON Polymers U.S. LLC, Houston, TX; Sartomer USA, LLC, Exton, PA; Shell Chemical and Oil Products Companies, Houston, TX; and Taminco, Inc., Taminco Higher Amines, Inc., and Taminco Methylamines, Inc., Allentown, PA.

The effective date of the amended certificate is November 21, 2012, the date on which USSA’s application to amend was deemed submitted. A copy of the amended Certificate will be kept in the International Trade Administration’s Freedom of Information Records Inspection Facility, Room 4001, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: February 22, 2013.

Jeffrey Anspacher,
Senior Economist, Export Trading Company Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2013–04781 Filed 2–28–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DR–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[Application No. 99–5A002]

Export Trade Certificate of Review

ACTION: Notice of Application (99–5A002) to amend the Export Trade Certificate of Review Issued to California Almond Export Association, Application No. 99–5A002.

SUMMARY: The Office of Competition and Economic Analysis (“OCEA”) of the International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, has received an application to amend an Export Trade Certificate of Review (“Certificate”). This notice summarizes the proposed amendment and requests comments relevant to whether the amended Certificate should be issued.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph Flynn, Director, Office of Competition and Economic Analysis, International Trade Administration, (202) 482–5131 (this is not a toll-free number) or email at etca@trade.gov.