

**Background**

Every five years, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) and the International Trade Commission automatically initiate and conduct a review to determine whether revocation of a countervailing or antidumping duty order or termination of an investigation

suspended under section 704 or 734 of the Act would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping or a countervailable subsidy (as the case may be) and of material injury.

**Upcoming Sunset Reviews for April 2013**

The following Sunset Reviews are scheduled for initiation in April 2013 and will appear in that month’s Notice

of Initiation of Five-Year Sunset Review. With respect to the orders on Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube, we have advanced the initiation date of certain Sunset Reviews upon determining that initiation of the Sunset Reviews for all of the Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube orders on the same date would promote administrative efficiency.

	Department contact
<b>Antidumping Duty Proceedings</b>	
Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from China (A–570–914) (1st Review) .....	Jennifer Moats, (202) 482–5047.
Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Korea (A–580–859) (1st Review) .....	Dana Mermelstein, (202) 482–1391.
Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Mexico (A–201–836) (1st Review) .....	Dana Mermelstein, (202) 482–1391.
Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from Turkey (A–489–815) (1st Review) .....	Dana Mermelstein, (202) 482–1391.
Polyethylene Terephthalate (Pet) Film from India (A–533–824) (2nd Review) .....	Dana Mermelstein, (202) 482–1391.
Polyethylene Terephthalate (Pet) Film from Taiwan (A–583–837) (2nd Review) .....	Dana Mermelstein, (202) 482–1391.
<b>Countervailing Duty Proceedings</b>	
Polyethylene Terephthalate (Pet) Film from India (C–533–825) (2nd Review) .....	Dana Mermelstein, (202) 482–1391.
Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube from China (C–570–915) (1st Review) .....	David Goldberger, (202) 482–4136.

**Suspended Investigations**

No Sunset Review of suspended investigations is scheduled for initiation in April 2013.

The Department’s procedures for the conduct of Sunset Reviews are set forth in 19 CFR 351.218. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department’s conduct of Sunset Reviews is set forth in the Department’s Policy Bulletin 98.3—*Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year (“Sunset”) Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin*, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998). The Notice of Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Reviews provides further information regarding what is required of all parties to participate in Sunset Reviews.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(c), the Department will maintain and make available a service list for these proceedings. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list(s), it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding contact the Department in writing within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.

Please note that if the Department receives a Notice of Intent to Participate from a member of the domestic industry within 15 days of the date of initiation, the review will continue. Thereafter, any interested party wishing to participate in the Sunset Review must provide substantive comments in response to the notice of initiation no later than 30 days after the date of initiation.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: February 22, 2013.  
**Christian Marsh**,  
*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*  
 [FR Doc. 2013–04838 Filed 2–28–13; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**International Trade Administration**  
**Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.  
**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brenda E. Waters, Office of AD/CVD Operations, Customs Unit, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4735.

**Background**

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), may request, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213, that the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) conduct

an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

All deadlines for the submission of comments or actions by the Department discussed below refer to the number of calendar days from the applicable starting date.

**Respondent Selection**

In the event the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination for administrative reviews initiated pursuant to requests made for the orders identified below, the Department intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) data for U.S. imports during the period of review. We intend to release the CBP data under Administrative Protective Order (“APO”) to all parties having an APO within five days of publication of the initiation notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 21 days of publication of the initiation **Federal Register** notice. Therefore, we encourage all parties interested in commenting on respondent selection to submit their APO applications on the date of publication of the initiation notice, or as soon thereafter as possible. The Department invites comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection within five days of placement of the CBP data on the record of the review.

In the event the Department decides it is necessary to limit individual examination of respondents and conduct respondent selection under section 777A(c)(2) of the Act:

In general, the Department has found that determinations concerning whether particular companies should be “collapsed” (*i.e.*, treated as a single entity for purposes of calculating antidumping duty rates) require a substantial amount of detailed information and analysis, which often require follow-up questions and analysis. Accordingly, the Department will not conduct collapsing analyses at the respondent selection phase of this review and will not collapse companies at the respondent selection phase unless there has been a determination to collapse certain companies in a previous segment of this antidumping proceeding (*i.e.*, investigation, administrative review, new shipper review or changed circumstances review). For any company subject to this review, if the Department determined, or continued to treat, that company as collapsed with others, the Department will assume that such companies continue to operate in the same manner and will collapse them for respondent selection purposes. Otherwise, the Department will not collapse companies for purposes of respondent selection. Parties are requested to (a) identify which companies subject to review previously were collapsed, and (b) provide a citation to the proceeding in

which they were collapsed. Further, if companies are requested to complete the Quantity and Value Questionnaire for purposes of respondent selection, in general each company must report volume and value data separately for itself. Parties should not include data for any other party, even if they believe they should be treated as a single entity with that other party. If a company was collapsed with another company or companies in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding where the Department considered collapsing that entity, complete quantity and value data for that collapsed entity must be submitted.

#### Deadline for Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), a party that has requested a review may withdraw that request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The regulation provides that the Department may extend this time if it is reasonable to do so. In order to provide parties additional certainty with respect to when the Department will exercise its discretion to extend this 90-day deadline, interested parties are advised that, with regard to reviews requested on the basis of anniversary months on

or after March 2013, the Department does not intend to extend the 90-day deadline unless the requestor demonstrates that an extraordinary circumstance has prevented it from submitting a timely withdrawal request. Determinations by the Department to extend the 90-day deadline will be made on a case-by-case basis.

The Department is providing this notice on its Web site, as well as in its “Opportunity to Request Administrative Review” notices, so that interested parties will be aware of the manner in which the Department intends to exercise its discretion in the future.

#### Correction

In the Opportunity to Request Administrative Reviews notice that published on February 1, 2013 (78 FR 7397) the Department listed the incorrect case number for Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam. The correct case number is A-552-802.

*Opportunity to Request a Review:* Not later than the last day of March 2013,<sup>1</sup> interested parties may request administrative review of the following orders, findings, or suspended investigations, with anniversary dates in March for the following periods:

	Period of review
<b>Antidumping Duty Proceedings</b>	
Canada: Iron Construction Castings, A-122-503 .....	3/1/12-2/28/13
France: Brass Sheet & Strip, A-427-602 .....	3/1/12-2/28/13
Germany: Brass Sheet & Strip, A-428-602, .....	3/1/12-2/28/13
India: Sulfanilic Acid, A-533-806 .....	3/1/12-2/28/13
Italy: Brass Sheet & Strip, A-475-601 .....	3/1/12-2/28/13
Russia: Silicon Metal, A-821-817 .....	3/1/12-2/28/13
Spain: Stainless Steel Bar, A-469-805 .....	3/1/12-2/28/13
Taiwan:	
Light-Walled Rectangular Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube, A-583-803 .....	3/1/12-2/28/13
Polyvinyl Alcohol, A-583-841 .....	3/1/12-2/28/13
Thailand: Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes, A-549-502 .....	3/1/12-2/28/13
The People's Republic of China:	
Chloropicrin, A-570-002 .....	3/1/12-2/28/13
Circular Welded Austenitic Stainless Pressure Pipe, A-570-930 .....	3/1/12-2/28/13
Drill Pipe, A-570-965 .....	3/1/12-2/28/13
Glycine, A-570-836 .....	3/1/12-2/28/13
Sodium Hexametaphosphate, A-570-908 .....	3/1/12-2/28/13
Tissue Paper Products, A-570-894 .....	3/1/12-2/28/13
<b>Countervailing Duty Proceedings</b>	
India: Sulfanilic Acid, C-533-807 .....	1/1/12-12/31/12
Iran: In-Shell Pistachio Nuts, C-507-501 .....	1/1/12-12/31/12
The People's Republic of China:	
Circular Welded Austenitic Stainless Pressure Pipe, C-570-931 .....	1/1/12-12/31/12
Drill Pipe, C-570-966 .....	1/1/12-12/31/12
Turkey: Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes, C-489-502 .....	1/1/12-12/31/12

<sup>1</sup> Or the next business day, if the deadline falls on a weekend, federal holiday or any other day when the Department is closed.

## Suspension Agreements

None.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. For both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify the individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order or suspension agreement for which it is requesting a review. In addition, a domestic interested party or an interested party described in section 771(9)(B) of the Act must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters.<sup>2</sup> If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which were produced in more than one country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

Please note that, for any party the Department was unable to locate in prior segments, the Department will not accept a request for an administrative review of that party absent new information as to the party's location. Moreover, if the interested party who files a request for review is unable to locate the producer or exporter for which it requested the review, the interested party must provide an explanation of the attempts it made to locate the producer or exporter at the same time it files its request for review, in order for the Secretary to determine if the interested party's attempts were reasonable, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.303(f)(3)(ii).

As explained in *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003), the Department has clarified its practice with respect to the collection of final antidumping duties on imports of merchandise where intermediate firms are involved. The public should be aware of this clarification in determining whether to request an administrative review of merchandise subject to antidumping

<sup>2</sup> If the review request involves a non-market economy and the parties subject to the review request do not qualify for separate rates, all other exporters of subject merchandise from the non-market economy country who do not have a separate rate will be covered by the review as part of the single entity of which the named firms are a part.

findings and orders. *See also* the Import Administration Web site at <http://trade.gov/ia>.

All requests must be filed electronically in Import Administration's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS") on the IA ACCESS Web site at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov>. *See Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Electronic Filing Procedures; Administrative Protective Order Procedures*, 76 FR 39263 (July 6, 2011). Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f)(1)(i), a copy of each request must be served on the petitioner and each exporter or producer specified in the request.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of March 2013. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of March 2013, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures "gap" period, of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the period of review.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: February 21, 2013.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2013-04840 Filed 2-28-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Application(s) for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Pub. L. 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before March 21, 2013. Address written comments to Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. at the U.S. Department of Commerce in Room 3720.

*Docket Number:* 13-001. *Applicant:* Oregon Health and Science University, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Rd., Portland, OR 97239. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, the Netherlands. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to obtain a powerfully detailed picture of the architecture of the molecular signals that function in normal and diseased tissues at the molecular, cell, tissue and organism levels. The data will be used to improve management of human diseases including cancer, cardiovascular disease, immunodeficiency and dementia. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* January 8, 2013.

*Docket Number:* 13-003. *Applicant:* Howard Hughes Medical Institute, 4000 Jones Bridge Rd., Chevy Chase, MD 20815. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI, the Netherlands. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to examine biological specimens such as protein complexes, noninfectious virus, and small cells, to help elucidate function. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* February 11, 2013.

*Docket Number:* 13-004. *Applicant:* Georgia Institute of Technology, 901 Atlantic Dr., Atlanta, GA 30332.