comprehensive surveillance sharing agreement with the Exchange.

(10) Consistent with the Exemptive Order, the Fund will not invest in options contracts, futures contracts, or swap agreements. The Fund’s investments will be consistent with the investment objectives and strategies described in the Registration Statement. The Fund will not invest to enhance leverage.

(11) A minimum of 100,000 Shares will be outstanding at the commencement of trading on the Exchange.

This approval order is based on all of the Exchange’s representations, including those set forth above and in the Notice, and the Exchange’s description of the Fund. For the foregoing reasons, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act 28 and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.

IV. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, 29 that the proposed rule change (SR–NASDAQ–2012–147), as modified by Amendment No. 1 thereto, be, and it hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 30

Kevin M. O’Neill,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–04614 Filed 2–27–13; 8:45 am]
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Self-Regulatory Organizations: NYSE Arca, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Amendments No. 1 and No. 2 and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of a Proposed Rule Change as Modified by Amendments No. 1 and No. 2 To List and Trade Shares of the iShares Copper Trust Pursuant to NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.201


I. Introduction

On June 19, 2012, NYSE Arca, Inc. (“Exchange” or “NYSE Arca”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) 31 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, 32 a proposed rule change to list and trade shares (“Shares”) of the iShares Copper Trust (“Trust” or “iShares Trust”) pursuant to NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.201.

On December 12, 2012, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change. 33 On December 21, 2012, the Commission designated February 22, 2013, as the date by which the Commission should either approve or disapprove Amendment No. 1.

Robert B. Bernstein, V&F, to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Commission, dated September 10, 2012 (“Sayenko Letter”). The Commission notes that the commenter incorporated by reference an amendment to the Sayenko Letter.

Additionally, the commenter stated in the Sayenko Letter that the commenter has submitted a comment letter in response to a written request from the Commission.”
disapprove the proposed rule change.8 On December 27, 2012, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change.9

The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments from interested persons, including whether Amendments No. 1 and No. 2 to the proposed rule change are consistent with the Act, and is approving the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendments No. 1 and No. 2, on an accelerated basis.

II. Description of the Proposal

The Exchange proposes to list and trade the Shares under NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.201, which governs the listing and trading of Commodity-Based Trust Shares.10 The Trust’s investment objective is for the value of the Shares to reflect, at any given time, the value of the copper owned by the Trust at that time, less the Trust’s expenses and liabilities at that time. The Trust will create Shares eligible for listing on the Exchange included in its filing.

The Trust will later be delivered in settlement of copper futures contracts traded on the LME; and (2) is eligible to be placed on warrant at the time it is delivered to the Trust.11 The Trust will not be actively managed and will not engage in any activities designed to obtain a profit from, or to prevent losses caused by, changes in the price of copper.12

A. Description of the Copper Market 13

The following is a summary of the description of the copper market that the Exchange included in its filing. Copper is traded in the over-the-counter (“OTC”) market and on commodities exchanges. There are spot sales in the physical market, as well as forward contracts, options contracts, and other derivative transactions. A major portion of annual copper production and use is covered through physical transactions, often through renewable annual supply contracts.

Participants in the copper market include primary and secondary producers; fabricators; manufacturers and once consumers; physical traders and merchants; the banking sector; and the investment community. Physical traders and merchants generally facilitate the domestic and international trade of copper supplies along the value chain and support the distribution of supplies to consumers. Banking institutions may provide market participants an assortment of services to assist copper market transactions. This investment community is composed of non-commercial market participants engaged in investment in copper or speculation about copper prices. This may range from large-scale institutional investors to hedge funds to small-scale retail investors. In addition, the investment community includes sovereign wealth funds as well as other governmental bodies that stockpile metal for strategic purposes.

1. OTC Copper Market

Physical traders, merchants, and banks participate in OTC spot, forward, option, and other derivative transactions for copper. OTC contracts are principal-to-principal agreements traded and negotiated privately between two principal parties, without going through an exchange or other intermediary. As such, both participants in OTC transactions are subject to counter-party risk, including credit and contractual obligations to perform. The OTC derivative market remains largely unregulated with respect to public disclosure of information by the parties, thus providing confidentiality among principals.

The terms of OTC contracts are not standardized and market participants have the flexibility to negotiate all terms of the transaction, including delivery specifications and settlement terms. The OTC market facilitates long-term transactions, such as life-of-mine off-take agreements,14 which otherwise could be constrained by contract terms on a futures exchange.

2. Copper Exchanges

According to the registration statement for the Trust (“Registration Statement”),15 the LME is the longest standing exchange trading copper futures, with the greatest number of open copper futures and options contracts (open interest). The Commodity Exchange, Inc. (“COMEX”) (a division of CME Group, Inc.), the Shanghai Futures Exchange (“SHFE”), and the recently launched Multi Commodity Exchange of India (“MCX”) also trade copper futures. At the end of March 2012, the LME held roughly 64% of copper open interest across the four futures exchanges with copper contracts (adjusted for lot size).

The LME falls under the jurisdiction of the United Kingdom Financial Services Authority (“FSA”). The FSA is responsible for ensuring the financial stability of the exchange member businesses, whereas the LME is largely responsible for the oversight of day-to-day exchange activities, including conducting arbitration proceedings under the LME arbitration regulations.

The SHFE is a self-regulatory body under the supervision and governance of the China Securities Regulatory Commission (“CSRC”). The SHFE is the day-to-day overseer of exchange activities, and is expected to carry out regulation as per the laws established by the CSRC. The CSRC serves as the final authority on exchange regulation and policy development and ultimately determines the effectiveness of the SHFE as a regulatory entity. It has the right to overturn or revoke the SHFE’s regulatory privileges at any time.

Commodity futures and options traded on the COMEX are subject to regulation by CME Group’s Market Regulation Oversight Committee (“MROCC”), under rules of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“CFTC”).16 The MROCC is a self-regulatory body created in 2004 to actively ensure competitive and financially sound trading activity on the CME and its subsidiary exchanges.

Regulation of the MCX falls under the responsibility of the Governing Board of the MCX and the Forward Markets Commission of India pursuant to the Forward Contracts (Regulation) Act of 1952 and amendments made thereafter.

---

9 In Amendment No. 2, the Exchange supplemented the representations in the proposed rule change regarding Web site disclosure and made clear that the Trust’s Web site will provide detailed information, updated on a daily basis, regarding the copper lot holdings of the Trust, including warehouse locations, warehouse identification numbers, lot numbers, weights, and brands. Additionally, in Amendment No. 2, the Exchange represented that the Trust’s Web site will list the copper lots in the order in which they will be delivered in a redemption pursuant to the applicable algorithm. See infra text accompanying note 27.
10 Commodity-Based Trust Shares are securities issued by a trust that represent investors’ discrete and undivided interest in and ownership of the net assets of the trust.
11 See Notice, supra note 3, 77 FR at 38356.
12 See id. at 38352.
13 See Notice, supra note 3, for a more detailed description of the copper market.
14 A life-of-mine off-take agreement is an agreement between a producer and a buyer to purchase/sell portions of the producer’s future production over the life of the operation. Off-take agreements are commonly negotiated prior to the construction of a project as they can assist in obtaining financing by showing future revenue streams.
15 The Registration Statement was most recently amended on September 2, 2011 (No. 333–170131).
16 Copper is traded over two CME platforms: CME Globex and Open Outcry. CME Globex, which offers electronic trading, operates Sunday through Friday, 6:00 p.m. Eastern Time (“E.T.”) through 5:15 p.m. E.T. with a 45-minute break each day beginning at 5:15 p.m. E.T. The Open Outcry operates Monday through Friday 8:10 a.m. E.T. through 1:00 p.m. E.T.
B. Description of the Proposed Rule Change and the Trust

The Exchange proposes to list and trade the Shares under NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.201, which governs the listing and trading of Commodity-Based Trust Shares. The Bank of New York Mellon is the trustee of the Trust ("Trustee"). Metro International Trade Services LLC is the custodian of the Trust ("Custodian").

As mentioned above, the Trust will hold only copper that, at the time it was delivered to the trust, (1) met the requirements to be delivered in settlement of copper futures contracts traded on the LME; and (2) was eligible to be placed on LME warrant. The Trust will not be actively managed and will not engage in any activities designed to obtain a profit from, or to prevent losses caused by changes in the price of copper.

The Custodian may keep the Trust’s copper at locations within or outside the United States that are agreed from time to time by the Custodian and the Trustee. As of the date of the Registration Statement, the Custodian is authorized to hold copper owned by the Trust at warehouses located in: East Chicago, Indiana; Mobile, Alabama; New Orleans, Louisiana; Saint Louis, Missouri; Hull, England; Liverpool, England; Rotterdam, Netherlands; and Antwerp, Belgium (collectively, "Approved Warehouses"). Unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Trustee, each of the warehouses where the Trust’s copper will be stored must be LME-approved at the time copper is delivered to the Custodian for storage in such warehouse. Unless otherwise instructed by the Trustee, no copper held by the Custodian on behalf of the Trust may be on LME warrant.

The Trustee will calculate the net asset value ("NAV") of the Trust as promptly as practicable after 4:00 p.m. EST on each business day. The Trustee will value the Trust’s copper at that day’s announced LME Bid Price. If there is no announced LME Bid Price on a business day, the Trustee will be authorized to use the most recently announced LME Bid Price unless the Sponsor determines that such price is inappropriate as a basis for valuation. The Exchange will obtain a representation from the Trust prior to the commencement of trading in the Shares that the NAV per Share will be calculated daily and made available to all market participants at the same time.

The Trust expects to create and redeem Shares on a continuous basis but only with authorized participants in blocks of five or more baskets of 2,500 Shares each (each basket of 2,500 Shares, a "Basket"). In connection with the creation of Baskets, only copper that meets the requirements to be delivered in settlement of copper futures contracts traded on the LME and that is eligible to be placed on LME warrant at the time of delivery to the Trust may be delivered to the Trust in exchange for Shares. Upon deposit of the corresponding amount of copper with the Custodian and the payment of applicable fees by an authorized participant, the Trustee will deliver the appropriate number of Baskets to the Depository Trust Company ("DTC") account of the authorized participant. Conversely, authorized participants may redeem Shares by surrendering five or more Baskets, each in exchange for the Basket Copper Amount announced by the Trustee on the first business day on which the LME Bid Price is announced following the date of receipt of the redemption order. Upon surrender of the Baskets and payment of applicable fees, expenses, taxes, and charges, the Custodian will transfer from the Trust’s account to the authorized participant’s account the aggregate Basket Copper Amount corresponding to the Baskets surrendered for redemption and will facilitate the reporting of inventories.

In exchange for each Basket purchased, an authorized participant must deposit the Basket Copper Amount announced by the Trustee on the first business day on which the LME Bid Price is announced following the date of receipt of the purchase order. The Basket Copper Amount is the amount of copper (measured in tonnes and fractions thereof), determined on each business day by the Trustee, which authorized participants must transfer to the Trust in exchange for a Basket, or are entitled to receive in exchange for each Basket surrendered for redemption. See id. at 38356 n.24.

Authorized participants desiring to create with copper on warrant will be required to take such copper off warrant prior to delivery to the Custodian. See id. at 38357 n.29. If it is not possible for the Custodian to issue LME warrants in connection with a redemption of Shares, the Custodian will deliver to the redeeming authorized participant one or more LME warrants representing as much copper needed to meet the requirements of the LME to be placed on warrant, and the Custodian having to break apart any specific parcel of copper so transferred pursuant to the algorithm referred to above.

To facilitate the issuance of Baskets, the Sponsor has arranged for J. Aron & Company ("J. Aron"), an international commodities dealer and subsidiary of The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. (which owns the Custodian), to stand ready to: (i) Make available for sale to eligible authorized participants any fractional amounts of copper needed to meet the obligation to transfer to the Trust the Basket Copper Amount in exchange for each Basket purchased from the Trust; and (ii) to the extent the lots of copper an eligible authorized participant may engage in any activities designed to obtain a profit from, or to prevent losses caused by changes in the price of copper.
participant intends to use in connection with an issuance of a Basket exceed the corresponding Basket Copper Amount, purchase any amount of such copper from such authorized participant.\textsuperscript{30}

Quotation and last-sale information for the Shares will be available via the Consolidated Tape Association.\textsuperscript{31} The Exchange also will make available via the Consolidated Tape trading volume, closing prices, and the NAV for the Shares from the previous day.\textsuperscript{32} The intraday indicative value (“IIV”) per Share,\textsuperscript{33} updated at least every 15 seconds, as calculated by the Exchange or a third-party financial data provider, will be widely disseminated by one or more major market data vendors during the Core Trading Session on the Exchange (9:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. E.T.).\textsuperscript{34}

The Trust’s Web site will contain the following information, on a per-Share basis, for the Trust: (a) The NAV as of the close of the prior business day and the mid-point of the bid-ask price at the close of trading in relation to such NAV (“Bid/Ask Price”), and a calculation of the premium or discount of such price against such NAV; and (b) data in chart format displaying the frequency distribution of discounts and premiums of the Bid/Ask Price against the NAV, within appropriate ranges, for each of the four previous calendar quarters.\textsuperscript{35}

The Trust’s Web site also will disclose the list of copper held by the Trust, updated on a daily basis, and display the following information: The Basket Copper Amount; the Trust’s prospectus; the two most recent reports to stockholders; and the last sale price of the Shares as traded in the U.S. market.\textsuperscript{36}

The Exchange states that investors may obtain, almost on a 24-hour basis, copper pricing information based on the spot price of copper from various financial information service providers, such as Reuters and Bloomberg.\textsuperscript{37} Reuters and Bloomberg provide at no charge on their Web sites delayed information regarding the spot price of copper and last-sale prices of copper futures, as well as information and news about developments in the copper market.\textsuperscript{38} Reuters and Bloomberg also offer a professional service to subscribers at the start that provides information on copper prices directly from market participants.\textsuperscript{39} Moreover, there are a variety of public Web sites providing information on copper, ranging from those specializing in precious metals to sites maintained by major newspapers, such as \textit{The Wall Street Journal}.\textsuperscript{40} The Exchange will provide on its Web site (www.nyse.com) a link to the Trust’s Web site.\textsuperscript{41}

NYSE Arca will require that a minimum of 100,000 Shares be outstanding for trading purposes.\textsuperscript{42} which represents 1,000 metric tons of copper. The Trust seeks to register 12,120,000 Shares.\textsuperscript{43}

Under NYSE Arca Equities Rule 7.34(a)(5), if the Exchange becomes aware that the NAV is not being disseminated to all market participants at the same time, it must halt trading on the Exchange until such time as the NAV is available to all market participants at the same time. If the IIV is not being disseminated as required, the Exchange will halt trading during the day in which the disruption occurs; if the interruption persists past the day in which it occurred, the Exchange will halt trading no later than the beginning of the trading day following the interruption.\textsuperscript{44} Further, the Exchange will consider suspension of trading pursuant to NYSE Arca Rule 8.201(e)(2) if, after the initial 12-month period following commencement of trading: (1) The value of copper is no longer calculated or available on at least a 15-second delayed basis from a source unaffiliated with the Sponsor, Trust, or Custodian, or the Exchange stops providing a hyperlink on its Web site to any such unaffiliated source providing that value; or (2) if the IIV is no longer made available on at least a 15-second delayed basis. More generally, with respect to trading halts, the Exchange may consider all relevant factors in exercising its discretion to halt or suspend trading in the Shares.\textsuperscript{45} Trading on the Exchange in the Shares may be halted because of market conditions or for reasons that, in the view of the Exchange, make trading in the Shares inadvisable.\textsuperscript{46} These may include: (1) The extent to which conditions in the underlying copper market have caused disruptions and/or lack of trading; or (2) whether other unusual conditions or circumstances detrimental to the maintenance of a fair and orderly market are present.\textsuperscript{47} Additionally, trading in the Shares will be subject to trading halts caused by extraordinary market volatility pursuant to the Exchange’s circuit breaker rule, NYSE Arca Equities Rule 7.12.\textsuperscript{48} NYSE Arca represents that its surveillance procedures are adequate to properly monitor Exchange trading of the Shares in all trading sessions and to deter and detect violations of NYSE Arca rules and applicable federal securities laws.\textsuperscript{49} The Exchange states that its existing trading surveillances, which are administered by FINRA, generally focus on detecting securities trading outside their normal patterns, which could be indicative of manipulative or other violative activity.\textsuperscript{50} NYSE Arca states that, in addition to those surveillances, FINRA will implement a product-specific review designed to identify potential manipulative trading activity through the use of the creation and redemption process, and that NYSE Regulation, Inc., on behalf of the Exchange, will monitor to ensure that these procedures continue to be operational.\textsuperscript{51}

The Exchange also states that, pursuant to NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.201(g), it is able to obtain information regarding trading in the Shares, physical copper, copper futures contracts, options on copper futures, or any other

\textsuperscript{30}The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and its affiliates (“GS Entities”) have represented to the Sponsor that they maintain policies that are reasonably designed to prevent misuse or improper dissemination of nonpublic information, including a “need-to-know” standard that states that confidential information may be shared only with persons who have a need to know the information to perform their duties and to carry out the purposes for which the information was provided. See id. at 38357 n.26. In addition, GS Entities have represented to the Sponsor that they maintain specific policies and procedures that are reasonably designed to protect confidential and commercially sensitive information associated with the Custodian’s business from being shared with GS Entity individuals engaged in commodity sales and trading activities. See id.

\textsuperscript{31}See id. at 38358.

\textsuperscript{32}See id. at 38359.

\textsuperscript{33}The IIV will be calculated by multiplying the indicative spot price of copper (the three-month LME copper contract) by the quantity of copper backing each Share as of the last calculation date. See id.

\textsuperscript{34}See id.

\textsuperscript{35}See id.

\textsuperscript{36}See id. also Amendment No. 2, supra note 9 (providing more details regarding the information about the Trust’s copper holdings that will be available on the Trust’s Web site).

\textsuperscript{37}See Notice, supra note 3, 77 FR at 38359.

\textsuperscript{38}See id.

\textsuperscript{39}See id.

\textsuperscript{40}See id.

\textsuperscript{41}See id. For example, the LME publishes LME official price information on its Web site with a one-day delay; LME official price information also is published on Basemetal.com and MetalPage.com with a one-day delay; COMEX publishes on its Web site delayed futures and options information on current and past trading sessions and market news free of charge. See id. The Exchange also states that the current day’s LME official prices (such as the LME Bid Price used to calculate the NAV of the Shares) are available from major market data vendors for a fee. See id.

\textsuperscript{42}See id.

\textsuperscript{43}See id.

\textsuperscript{44}See Registration Statement, supra note 15.

\textsuperscript{45}See Notice, supra note 3, 77 FR at 38359.

\textsuperscript{46}See id.

\textsuperscript{47}See id.

\textsuperscript{48}See id.

\textsuperscript{49}See id.

\textsuperscript{50}See Amendment No. 1, supra note 7.

\textsuperscript{51}See id.
copper derivative from Equity Trading Permit holders (“ETP Holders”) acting as registered market makers, in connection with their proprietary or customer trades.\textsuperscript{52} More generally, NYSE Arca states that it has regulatory jurisdiction over its ETP Holders and their associated persons, which include any person or entity controlling an ETP Holder, as well as a subsidiary or affiliate of an ETP Holder that is in the securities business.\textsuperscript{53} With respect to a subsidiary or affiliate of an ETP Holder that does business only in commodities or futures contracts, the Exchange states that it can obtain information regarding the activities of such subsidiary or affiliate through surveillance sharing agreements with regulatory organizations of which such subsidiary or affiliate is a member.\textsuperscript{54} Further, NYSE Arca states that it may obtain trading information via the ISG from other exchanges that are members of the ISG, including CME Group, Inc., which includes COMEX, and that it has entered into a comprehensive surveillance sharing agreement with the LME that applies with respect to trading in copper and copper derivatives.\textsuperscript{55}

Prior to the commencement of trading, the Exchange represents that it will inform its ETP Holders in an Information Bulletin of the special characteristics and risks associated with trading the Shares. Specifically, the Information Bulletin will discuss the following: (a) The procedures for purchases and redemptions of Baskets (including noting that Shares are not individually redeemable); (b) NYSE Arca Equities Rule 9.2(a), which imposes a duty of due diligence on ETP Holders to learn the essential facts relating to every customer prior to trading the Shares; (c) how information regarding the IV is disseminated; (d) the requirement that ETP Holders deliver a prospectus to investors purchasing newly issued Shares prior to, or concurrently with the confirmation of a transaction; (e) the possibility that trading spreads and the resulting premium or discount on the Shares may widen as a result of reduced liquidity of physical copper trading during the Core and Late Trading Sessions after the close of the major world copper markets;\textsuperscript{56} and (f) trading information.\textsuperscript{57}

The Notice and the Registration Statement include additional information regarding: the Trust; the Shares; the Trust’s investment objectives, strategies, policies, and restrictions; fees and expenses; creation and redemption of Shares; the physical copper market; availability of information; trading rules and halts; and surveillance procedures.\textsuperscript{58}

### III. Discussion and Commission Findings

After careful review and for the reasons discussed below, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act, including Section 6 of the Act,\textsuperscript{59} and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange. In particular, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,\textsuperscript{60} which requires, among other things, that the rules of a national securities exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, and to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. In addition, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act,\textsuperscript{61} which requires that the rules of a national securities exchange not impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Commission also finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 11A(a)(1)(C)(iii) of the Act,\textsuperscript{62} which sets forth Congress’s finding that it is in the public interest and appropriate for the protection of investors to assure the availability to brokers, dealers, and investors of information with respect to quotations for and transactions in securities. Further, pursuant to Section 3(f) of the Act,\textsuperscript{63} the Commission has considered whether the proposed rule change will promote efficiency, competition, and capital formation.

One commenter submitted five comment letters to explain its opposition to the proposed rule change.\textsuperscript{64} Generally, the opposing commenter asserts that the proposed rule change is inconsistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act.\textsuperscript{65} The commenter asserts that the issuance by the Trust of all of the Shares covered by the Registration Statement within a short period of time would result in a substantial reduction in the supply of global copper available for immediate delivery, and that this reduction in short-term supply would increase both the price of copper and volatility in the copper market, which would in turn significantly harm the U.S. economy.\textsuperscript{66} The commenter further states that the predicted decrease in copper available for immediate delivery would make the physical copper market more susceptible to manipulation.\textsuperscript{67}

In response, the Sponsor generally states the Trust will provide a more liquid and cost-effective vehicle for investment in the physical copper market.\textsuperscript{68} The Sponsor expects that much of the initial demand for the Shares will represent a reallocation of current investments in physical copper by professional copper market participants rather than new incremental demand.\textsuperscript{69} The Sponsor does not anticipate that creation of the Trust will impact copper prices,\textsuperscript{70} and disagrees with the notion that the Trust will render the copper market more susceptible to manipulation.\textsuperscript{71}

---

\textsuperscript{52} See Notice, supra note 3, 77 FR at 38359.

\textsuperscript{53} See Amendment No. 1, supra note 7.

\textsuperscript{54} See id.

\textsuperscript{55} See Notice, supra note 3, 77 FR at 38360. The Exchange will communicate as needed regarding trading in the Shares with other markets that are members of the ISG or with which the Exchange has in place a comprehensive surveillance sharing agreement. See Amendment No. 1, supra note 7.

\textsuperscript{56} The Exchange’s Core Trading Session is between 9:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. E.T. See Notice, supra note 3, 77 FR at 38359. The Exchange’s Late Trading Session begins after the end of the Core Trading Session and concludes at 8:00 p.m. E.T. See NYSE Arca Equities Rule 7.34(a)(3).

\textsuperscript{57} See Notice, supra note 3, 77 FR at 38360.

\textsuperscript{58} See Notice and Registration Statement, supra notes 3 and 15, respectively.

\textsuperscript{59} 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

\textsuperscript{60} 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

\textsuperscript{61} 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

\textsuperscript{62} See V&F July 18 Letter, supra note 4; V&F September 12 Letter, supra note 6; V&F September 27 Letter, supra note 6; V&F November 16 Letter, supra note 6; and EVW December 7 Letter, supra note 6. As discussed above, the commenter also attached to his letters other comment letters, or incorporated other comment letters by reference. See supra note 6 (citing V&F September 27 Letter). This commenter is referred to as “the commenter,” although the Commission also received an email from another commenter who opposes the proposed rule change. Ms. Janet Klein asserted that approval of the proposed rule change: (1) Would be “contrary to rational oversight of wise practice,” without explaining the basis for her judgment; (2) would not contribute to the economy; and (3) would promote “speculative swings of a commodity price not related to supply/demand,” again without explaining the basis for her conclusion. See Klein Email, supra note 6. The impact of the proposed rule change on the price of copper is discussed below in Section III.B.


\textsuperscript{64} See V&F July 18 Letter, supra note 4; V&F September 12 Letter, supra note 6; V&F September 27 Letter, supra note 6; V&F November 16 Letter, supra note 6; and EVW December 7 Letter, supra note 6. As discussed above, the commenter also attached to his letters other comment letters, or incorporated other comment letters by reference. See supra note 6 (citing V&F September 27 Letter). This commenter is referred to as “the commenter,” although the Commission also received an email from another commenter who opposes the proposed rule change. Ms. Janet Klein asserted that approval of the proposed rule change: (1) Would be “contrary to rational oversight of wise practice,” without explaining the basis for her judgment; (2) would not contribute to the economy; and (3) would promote “speculative swings of a commodity price not related to supply/demand,” again without explaining the basis for her conclusion. See Klein Email, supra note 6. The impact of the proposed rule change on the price of copper is discussed below in Section III.B.

\textsuperscript{65} 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

\textsuperscript{66} See V&F May 9 Letter, supra note 6, at 5–7.

\textsuperscript{67} See id. at 10.

\textsuperscript{68} See BlackRock Letter, supra note 6, at 1.

\textsuperscript{69} See id. at 4.

\textsuperscript{70} See id. at 5.

\textsuperscript{71} See id. at 6.
Given the concerns expressed by the commenter that the Trust would remove a substantial amount of the supply of copper available for immediate delivery over a short period of time, which would render the physical copper market more susceptible to manipulation, and that the Trust therefore would provide market participants an effective means to manipulate the price of copper and thereby the price of the Shares,\textsuperscript{72} the Commission analyzes the comments to examine, among other things, the extent to which the listing and trading of the Shares may (1) impact the supply of copper available for immediate delivery and the ability of market participants to manipulate the price of copper, and (2) be susceptible to manipulation. The sections below summarize and respond to the comments received.

A. The Trust’s Impact on the Supply of Copper Available for Immediate Delivery

The commenter believes that the issuance by the Trust of all of the Shares covered by the Registration Statement within a short period of time would result in the withdrawal of substantial quantities of copper from LME and COMEX warehouses, thus negatively impacting the supply of copper available for immediate delivery.\textsuperscript{73} As discussed below, this belief assumes that: (1) Copper held by the Trust would not be available for immediate delivery; (2) the global supply of copper available for immediate delivery that could be used to create Shares consists almost exclusively of copper already under LME or COMEX warrant, and therefore the Shares would be created primarily using copper already under LME or COMEX warrant; and (3) the Trust would acquire a substantial amount of copper within a short period of time, such that copper suppliers would not be able to adjust production to replace the copper removed from the market by the Trust. The Commission believes the record does not support the commenter’s conclusions, which are based on his contentions, and thus, for the reasons discussed below, the Commission does not believe that the listing and trading of the Shares is likely to disrupt the supply of copper available for immediate delivery.

1. Availability of the Trust’s Copper

The commenter asserts that copper held by the Trust would not be available for immediate delivery, and therefore copper deposited into the Trust would be removed from the market and would be unavailable to end-users.\textsuperscript{74} In response, the Sponsor asserts that the Trust would not remove immediately available copper inventory from the market.\textsuperscript{75} The Sponsor predicts that demand for the Shares is most likely to come from current metals dealers and others who already hold physical copper inventory or investments, and that the creation of Shares by these entities will not affect available supply.\textsuperscript{76} The Sponsor also notes that Shares can be redeemed as well as created, thus allowing the Trust’s copper to be withdrawn by authorized participants.\textsuperscript{77}

The Commission agrees with the Sponsor that copper held by the Trust will remain available to consumers and other participants in the physical copper market because: (1) The Trust will not consume copper; (2) Shares are redeemable (in size) for copper on every business day; and (3) provided certain conditions are met, on the third business day after the day on which the LME Bid Price is announced following the placement of a redemption order, the Custodian will transfer from the Trust’s account to the redeeming authorized participant’s account the parcels of copper identified pursuant to the Trustee’s algorithm and corresponding to the number of Baskets surrendered, and promptly thereafter, the Custodian will issue LME warrants, or (b) negotiable warehouse receipts, if the copper transferred cannot be placed on LME warrant or if the Custodian cannot issue LME warrants.\textsuperscript{78} Accordingly, in the normal course of the Trust’s operations, redeeming authorized participants will receive copper that the commenter acknowledges is available for immediate delivery (i.e., copper on LME warrant).\textsuperscript{79}

Given the structure of the Trust, the Commission believes that the amount of copper available to industrial users will not meaningfully change as a result of the listing and trading of the Shares. Accordingly, the Commission believes that the proposed rule change will not burden capital formation for users who acquire copper for industrial and other purposes.

The commenter states that end users would not acquire Shares for the purpose of redeeming them to acquire copper because the copper they would receive in exchange for Shares might be in a location far from their plants or might be of brands that are not acceptable to their plants.\textsuperscript{80} Regardless available for immediate delivery.\textsuperscript{81} The Commission believes that the wait time discussed above to receive a LME warrant—or in some cases a negotiable warehouse receipt—is not a significant enough delay to consider the copper held by the Trust unavailable for immediate delivery because, as mentioned above, on the third business day after the day on which the LME Bid Price is announced following the placement of a redemption order, the Custodian will transfer from the Trust’s account to the redeeming authorized participant’s account the parcels of copper identified pursuant to the Trustee’s algorithm and corresponding to the number of Baskets surrendered, and promptly thereafter, the Custodian will issue LME warrants, which will be delivered whenever possible, or negotiable warehouse receipts.

The commenter expresses concern in the EVW December 7 Letter about an increasing length of time that it takes to withdraw metal, including copper, from LME warehouses. The commenter argues that this “troubling new development” may, together with the proposed listing and trading of the Shares, jeopardize the ability of United States copper consumers to obtain the physical copper they need in a timely manner. The EVW December 7 Letter, supra note 6. The commenter previously acknowledged, however, that taking copper off LME warrant takes time; according to the commenter, “(1) the announcement that copper off LME warrant is available; (2) the time it takes to take copper off LME warrant depends “on the length of the loading out queue” at the LME warehouse; and (3) “queues currently range from 20 to 360 working days (more than one year) in Vlissingen, Netherlands, 91 working days (4.5 months) in New Orleans, 51 working days (2.5 months) in Johor, Malaysia to under one month in Korea and Rotterdam, Netherlands.” V&F August 24 Letter, supra note 6, at 14. By his December 7 letter, the commenter appears to be updating information previously provided about the length of queues, but does not assert any new reason for disapproving the listing and trading of the Shares that is distinct from his original assertion, responded to in the text above, that listing and trading of the Shares will reduce the supply of copper available for immediate delivery. The Commission notes that the LME appears to be attempting to address the unloading queue issue, see London Metal Exchange, Consultation on Changes to LME Policy for Approval of Warehouses in Relation to Delivery Out Rates, Notice 12/296: A295: W152 (November 15, 2012), available at http://www.lme.com/downloads/ notices/12_296_A295_W152_Consultation_on_Changes_to_LME_Policy_for_Approval_of_Warehouses_in_Relation_to_Delivery_Out_Rates.pdf, which applies to LME warehoused aluminum and zinc, not just copper. See also EVW December 7 Letter, supra note 6, at 3.\textsuperscript{80} See V&F September 10 Letter, supra note 6, at 4; V&F July 13 Letter, supra note 6, at 7.

\textsuperscript{72} See id. at 5.
\textsuperscript{73} See BlackRock Letter, supra note 6, at 3–4. See also V&F September 12 Letter, supra note 6, at 2 (“Copper backed ETFs will also not affect the aggregate inventory of copper. But the ETF will move the inventory that resides within the LME outside of the LME.”).
\textsuperscript{74} See BlackRock Letter, supra note 6, at 4.
\textsuperscript{75} See id. at 3.
\textsuperscript{76} See Registration Statement, supra note 15. The Exchange states that, in the normal course of the Trust’s operations, it anticipates that authorized participants will receive LME warrants following a redemption transaction and that, in the event that its copper is no longer warrantable, the Trust will have operational procedures in place to put such metal on LME warrant when possible. See Notice, supra note 3, 77 FR at 38357–58.
\textsuperscript{77} See, e.g., V&F July 18 Letter, supra note 4, at 1 (“The copper in the LME and COMEX warehouses is the only refined copper generally
\textsuperscript{78} See V&F May 9 Letter, supra note 6, at 1, 10.
\textsuperscript{79} See V&F July 18 Letter, supra note 4, at 1–2.
of the preferences of these consumers, authorized participants may redeem Shares for copper and the record does not contain any evidence that these or any other consumers of copper could not use the Shares to obtain copper through an authorized participant. Further, the record supports that the same logistical issues currently exist and are addressed by market participants holding LME warrants. For example, it is the Commission’s understanding that when a market participant buys a long-dated copper futures contract on the LME and settles for a warrant, or when an LME member buys a cash futures contract in ring trading, these market participants do not know the location or brand of the underlying copper. Accordingly, LME warrant holders sometimes swap warrants to acquire copper of a preferred brand in a convenient location, and nothing in the record indicates that redeeming authorized participants would not be able to swap LME warrants received in connection with Share redemptions for other LME warrants for more suitable copper.

The commenter also expresses concern that investors who hold the Shares would not sell them, and therefore Shares would not be readily available for redemption.

The Commission believes that the listing and trading of the Shares, as proposed, could provide another way for market participants and investors to trade copper, and could enhance competition among trading venues. Further, the Commission believes that the listing and trading of the Shares will provide investors another investment alternative, which could enhance a well-diversified portfolio. By broadening the securities investment alternatives available to investors, the Commission believes that trading in the Shares could increase competition among financial products and the efficiency of financial investment.

2. Source of Copper Used To Create Shares

The commenter asserts that the global supply of copper available for immediate delivery, and eligible to be used to create Shares, consists almost exclusively of copper already under LME or COMEX warrant, and therefore the commenter believes that Shares would be created primarily using copper already under LME or COMEX warrant. The commenter states that the size of the market for copper available for immediate delivery is small relative to the size the commenter expects the Trust to attain, asserting that there are only 240,000 metric tons available on the LME, with an additional 60,000 metric tons available on the COMEX, and that the Trust would remove as much as 121,200 metric tons from the market of copper available for immediate delivery.

The commenter also asserts that the Trust would be funded with copper on warrant in the United States, which would result in a shortage of copper in the United States.

The commenter further urges that the Commission consider collectively the supply impacts of the iShares Trust and the JPM Copper Trust.

In contrast, the Sponsor believes that there are very substantial copper inventories available outside of the LME and COMEX that are deliverable on a short-term basis and that could be used to fund the Trust. The Sponsor states that the Trust will accept creations using both copper already held in, as well as warrantable copper newly delivered to, LME-approved warehouses of the Custodian.

The Commission believes that there is significant uncertainty about the locations from which copper will be purchased to create Shares. Based on the description of the Trust in the proposed rule change, authorized participants and their customers will choose what eligible copper to deposit with the Trust. As discussed further

the supply of copper available for immediate delivery.

The Sponsor asserts that “[d]uring years when the refined copper market is in deficit copper fabricators and other end users can consume supplies from warehouses [sic] stocks held by producers, consumers, merchants and traders, governments, and exchange warehouses.” See BlackRock Letter, supra note 6, at Exhibit B. But see V&F September 12 Letter, supra note 6, at 3 (”The only other theoretical source of ETF feedstock copper is current off-warrant inventories that are held by investors, assuming such stock even exists. It is possible that hoarding of copper outside of China has been taking place to the possible benefit of such holders upon commencement of physically backed copper ETF unit creation. We are however unaware of any such inventory.”).

The Sponsor provided data estimating that total worldwide warrantable copper supply was 2,926 million tons as of July 2012, of which 1,358 million tons were considered to be “liquid”; and of the 1,358 million tons of “liquid” stock, 434,105 tons are held in LME, COMEX, and SHFE warehouses. See BlackRock Letter, supra note 6, at 2 (claiming Metal Bulletin Research, “Independent Assessment of Global Copper Stocks,” August 22, 2012). This leaves 223,855 tons of liquid stock that is not held in LME, COMEX, or SHFE warehouses. The data provided by the Sponsor is substantially similar to data referenced in the JPM Order. See JPM Order, supra note 6, 77 FR at 75475. The differences between the sets of data appear to be a function of rounding and the inclusion of copper held in SHFE warehouses as part of the liquid stock held in exchanges in the data provided by the Sponsor of the iShares Trust.

The Sponsor asserts that “[t]he large size of the total copper market as compared to exchange inventories belies the assertion that only exchange inventories will be available for creations into the Trust.” See id. In contrast, the commenter states that “[e]xcept for copper that may be stored in bonded warehouses in China, all such copper is, as far as we know, subject to taking in contracts and is ‘liquid,’ only in the sense that it is en route to fabricators around the world.” V&F September 27 Letter, supra note 6, at 2. The Commission believes that it is plausible that some portion of the estimated 223,855 metric tons of liquid copper inventory identified by the Sponsor currently would be available for authorized participants to use to create Shares.

See BlackRock Letter, supra note 6, at 2. Not all of the approved warehouses are in the United States. See Notice, supra note 3, 77 FR at 38356 n.23.

The Sponsor provided data estimating that total worldwide warrantable copper supply was 2,926 million tons as of July 2012, of which 1,358 million tons were considered to be “liquid”; and of the 1,358 million tons of “liquid” stock, 434,105 tons are held in LME, COMEX, and SHFE warehouses. See BlackRock Letter, supra note 6, at 2 (claiming Metal Bulletin Research, “Independent Assessment of Global Copper Stocks,” August 22, 2012). This leaves 223,855 tons of liquid stock that is not held in LME, COMEX, or SHFE warehouses. The data provided by the Sponsor is substantially similar to data referenced in the JPM Order. See JPM Order, supra note 6, 77 FR at 75475. The differences between the sets of data appear to be a function of rounding and the inclusion of copper held in SHFE warehouses as part of the liquid stock held in exchanges in the data provided by the Sponsor of the iShares Trust.

The Sponsor asserts that “[t]he large size of the total copper market as compared to exchange inventories belies the assertion that only exchange inventories will be available for creations into the Trust.” See id. In contrast, the commenter states that “[e]xcept for copper that may be stored in bonded warehouses in China, all such copper is, as far as we know, subject to taking in contracts and is ‘liquid,’ only in the sense that it is en route to fabricators around the world.” V&F September 27 Letter, supra note 6, at 2. The Commission believes that it is plausible that some portion of the estimated 223,855 metric tons of liquid copper inventory identified by the Sponsor currently would be available for authorized participants to use to create Shares.
below, the Commission also believes that the amount of copper that the Trust will hold is uncertain.93

However, even assuming that authorized participants will need to remove copper from LME warrant to deposit the copper into the Trust, as discussed above, the Commission believes that the Trust’s copper will remain available for immediate delivery to consumers and participants in the physical markets.94 Accordingly, the Commission does not believe that the listing and trading of the Shares is likely to disrupt the supply of copper available for immediate delivery.

3. Growth of the Trust

The commenter states it is reasonable to expect that the Trust would sell all of the Shares covered by the Registration Statement in the three months after the registration becomes effective because of: (1) What the commenter characterizes as the Sponsor’s stated desire to remove enough copper from the market for copper available for immediate delivery to cause an artificial rise in price and cover the monthly costs of storage; (2) the commenter’s view that there is a very limited quantity of copper available for immediate delivery to accomplish the Trust’s objective; and (3) the increase in copper prices in the three months following October 2010, when the iShares Trust, JPM Copper Trust, and ETFS Physical Copper were announced.95 The commenter also asserts that the copper supply is inelastic and that supply, therefore, is unlikely to increase fast enough to account for the increased demand that the commenter believes would be unleashed by the creation and growth of the Trust.96 The commenter asserts that

The Trust would hold as much as 121,200 metric tons of copper if the Sponsor sells all of the Shares it seeks to register pursuant to the Registration Statement.97

The Sponsor argues that it is not possible to extrapolate the ultimate size of the Trust from the number of Shares initially registered because the Trust may not issue any Shares if it is unsuccessful, or the Trust may need to file additional registration statements if it is very successful.98 The Sponsor also argues that prior experience of other existing commodity-based trusts contradicts the commenter’s assertions;99 specifically, the Sponsor states that it took over two years to sell the shares initially registered for the SPDR Gold Trust and ETFS Physical Platinum and one year to sell the shares initially registered for the iShares Silver Trust.100

As a preliminary matter, as the Sponsor pointed out, the commenter appears to inflate the amount of copper held by the Trust with the number of Shares issued. When commodity-based trusts redeem shares, those redeemed shares do not get put “back on the shelf”; once securities are redeemed, the issuer cannot resell securities of the same amount unless there is either sufficient capacity left on the registration statement (i.e., enough registered securities to cover the new issuance of shares by the issuer) or unless a new registration statement is filed to register the offer and sale of the securities.101 Accordingly, 12,120,000 issued Shares will correspond to 121,200 metric tons of copper held by the Trust only if authorized participants do not redeem any Shares.102 Based on the existence of the arbitrage mechanism of the Trust,103 which is common to many exchange-traded vehicles, the Commission believes it is very unlikely that no Shares will be redeemed.

The Commission believes that the amount of copper held by the Trust will depend on investor demand for the Shares and the extent to which authorized participants fulfill such demand by exchanging copper for Baskets of Shares and do not redeem issued Shares. Investor demand for the Shares is currently unknown. The Commission notes that ETFS Physical Copper has not grown to a substantial size since its inception.104

The commenter also predicts that copper supply will not increase fast enough to accommodate what he views as the new demand that will be created by the Trust. The Commission believes that the commenter has not provided evidence to support this projection. Data submitted by the commenter provides that the global supply of refined copper has increased every year since 2000—except 2002 and 2003—and in those years where supply increased, in all but one year (2009), it increased by more than the amount of copper that the commenter predicts the iShares Trust and the JPM Copper Trust will hold collectively.105 Further, data provided by the commenter project that production will increase through 2016 in amounts that also exceed—and in most years greatly exceed—the amount of copper that the commenter predicts the iShares Trust and the JPM Copper Trust will hold collectively.106

As discussed above, the Commission believes that copper held by the Trust will be available for immediate delivery.107 However, even assuming that the Trust’s copper will be unavailable for immediate delivery, the Commission believes that the commenter has not supported his predictions that the Trust will grow so quickly, and that the supply of copper will not increase sufficiently, such that the Trust will significantly disrupt the supply of copper available for immediate delivery.

92 See infra Section III.A.3.
93 The Commission drew the same conclusion regarding the size of the JPM Copper Trust. See JPM Order, supra note 6, 77 FR 75468, 75476–77.
94 See supra Section III.A.1.
95 See V&F August 24 Letter, supra note 6, at 20. ETFS Physical Copper is a trust that holds copper under LME warrant: its shares are traded on the London Stock Exchange and Deutsche Börse. See http://www.etfsecurities.com/en/updates/document_pdf/ETFS_Physical_Industrial_Copper_Fact_Sheet.pdf. A discussion of the effect of ETFS Physical Copper on the price of copper is included below. See infra Section III.B.
96 See V&F May 9 Letter, supra note 6, at 5, See also V&F September 12 Letter, supra note 6, at 2 (“In the short term, any resulting price appreciation from copper-backed ETF share owners will not affect mine and may minimally benefit refined production, to the extent that higher copper prices encourage additional scrap recovery and processing. The copper ETF is unlikely to affect the supply of copper refineries in a 0–12 month timeframe.”). The commenter states that, in the longer term, copper miners are likely to respond to price signals and increase production. See V&F August 24 Letter, supra note 6, at 28.
97 See V&F July 18 Letter, supra note 4, at 1.
98 See BlackRock Letter, supra note 6, at 3.
99 See id.
100 See id. The Sponsor also notes that ETFS Physical Palladium has yet to deplete the shares initially registered in December 2009. See id.
102 The Commission drew a similar conclusion regarding the size of the JPM Copper Trust. See JPM Order, supra note 6, at 77 FR 75468, 75476 (“6,180,000 issued Shares will correspond with 61,800 metric tons of copper held by the [JPM Copper Trust] only if authorized participants do not redeem any Shares.”).
103 The arbitrage mechanism allows authorized participants to create and redeem Shares, and is designed to align the secondary market price per Share to the NAV per Share. See, e.g., Blackrock Letter, supra note 6, at 7 n.32.
104 According to the commenter, on December 17, 2010 (one week after the product was launched), ETFS Physical Copper held 1,445.4 metric tons of copper, and on August 3, 2012, it held 1,763.7 metric tons of copper, although there have been periods where ETFS Physical Copper has held greater quantities of copper, reaching as high as 7,072.9 metric tons of copper in March and April of 2012. See V&F August 24 Letter, supra note 6, at 15.
105 See id. at 2.
106 See id. (providing data indicating that global refined copper production is projected to increase by 519,000 metric tons in 2012: 1,603,000 metric tons in 2013: 1,195,000 metric tons in 2014: 1,091,000 metric tons in 2015; and 375,000 metric tons in 2016).
107 See supra Section III.A.1.
4. Other Physical Commodity Trusts

The commenter admits that the introduction of commodity-based trusts that hold other metals had virtually no impact on the available supply, but asserts that these other metals—gold, silver, platinum, and palladium—are fundamentally different because they have traditionally been held for investment purposes and currently are used as currency, and that, as a result, there were ample stored sources available to fund commodity-based trusts overlying those metals.108 The commenter asserts that copper, in contrast, generally is not held as an investment, but rather is used exclusively for industrial purposes, with the annual demand generally exceeding the available supply, and, therefore, believes that the introduction of the Trust would impact supply.109

In response, the Sponsor states that while gold is used primarily as a currency equivalent and perhaps silver is as well, “there is little plausible reason to regard platinum and palladium as currency equivalents in a manner that copper is not;”110 the Sponsor states that silver, platinum, and palladium are used primarily for industrial purposes.111 The Sponsor also asserts that copper trading on the OTC marks exchange exchanges “clearly demonstrates that copper is utilized for investment purposes and is viewed by the investment community as an investable asset.”112

Given the industrial usage of silver, platinum, and palladium as compared to copper,113 the Commission believes that it is reasonable to project that any impact of the listing and trading of the Shares will not be meaningfully different than that of the listing and trading of shares of the other commodity-based trusts due solely to the nature of the underlying commodity markets. In any event, the Commission’s analyses above in Sections III.A.1–3 are the primary bases for the Commission’s belief that the listing and trading of the Shares is not likely to disrupt the supply of copper available for immediate delivery. The non-impact of those other trusts on the supplies in the underlyng precious metals markets is consistent with this view, but it is not a significant factor underlying it.

B. The Trust’s Impact on the Price of Copper

Due to what he predicts will be a rapid growth of the Trust, the commenter believes a substantial portion of the supply of immediately available LME-warranted copper would be removed from the market,114 which would drive up the price of copper.115 As noted above, the commenter estimates that the iShares Trust, which would hold up to 121,200 metric tons of copper, and the JPM Copper Trust, which would hold up to 61,800 metric tons of copper, collectively would hold approximately 63% of the copper available in LME and COMEX warehouses, where the commenter asserts is the only refined copper generally available for immediate delivery.116 The commenter concludes that the removal of so much copper from LME and COMEX warehouses will lead to artificially inflated prices.117 The commenter also states: “[t]he LME settlement price is axiomatically affected by the quantity of copper on warrant * * * because the quantity on warrant defines how much copper is eligible to be delivered against a cash contract, i.e., it is the total supply that is available when setting the settlement price.”118 The commenter further asserts that the launch of the UK-listed ETFs Physical Copper security and announcements about the proposed copper trusts in the United States were part of the cause of a copper price run up,119 and predicts that the price increases for copper would be especially dramatic in the U.S., where copper currently is relatively inexpensive.120

The commenter further argues that the listing and trading of the Shares would “risk endangering the price discovery functions of the LME and [COMEX].”121 In contrast, the Sponsor asserts that copper prices are a function of demand and supply, as well as other factors, and that it would be difficult to predict the impact of the introduction of an exchange-traded vehicle backed by physical copper on copper prices given the many variables that exist.122 The Sponsor argues that it is impossible to predict demand for the Shares; the future behavior of investors and copper market participants; the supply and demand dynamics of the copper market outside of the Trust; or fundamental economic factors that impact demand for copper.123 In addition, the Sponsor asserts that data show that there is a weak correlation between LME copper prices and LME 

108 See V&F May 9 Letter, supra note 6, at 2.
109 See BlackRock Letter, supra note 6, at 1–2.
110 See Levin Letter, supra note 6, at 2–3.
111 The Levin Letter, which the commenter attached to the V&F July 18 Letter, states that because copper is very expensive to store and difficult to transport, relative to precious metals, copper is not currently held for investment purposes, and predicts that holding copper for investment purposes will have a significantly greater impact on the copper market than the precious metals commodity-based trusts had on their markets and the broader economy. See Levin Letter, supra note 6, at 7.
112 See BlackRock Letter, supra note 6, at 7.
113 See id. at 7–8.
114 See id. at 8. For example, the Sponsor cites data showing that non-commercial market participants trading copper futures on the COMEX accounted for, on average, 40% of total reported copper positions in the first half of 2012, which the Sponsor suggests is similar to the non-commercial market participation in the precious metals markets. See supra note 6.
115 In the Order Instituting Proceedings, the Commission asked for comment regarding how much gold, silver, platinum, and palladium has been used for investment and industrial purposes in each of the last 10 years. See Order Instituting Proceedings, supra note 5, at 17. In response, the Sponsor stated that silver, platinum, and palladium are used “primarily for industrial purposes.” BlackRock Letter, supra note 6, at 7–8. The Sponsor also provided data to support its contention that the investment community regards copper—like gold, silver, platinum, and palladium—as an investable asset. See id. While declining to provide data regarding the industrial usage of silver, the commenter presented evidence that gold, platinum, and palladium are put to industrial use. See V&F August 24 Letter, supra note 6, at 14–15. Further, in approving the listing and trading of shares of the JPM Copper Trust, the Commission similarly noted the industrial use of silver, platinum, and palladium. See JPM Order, supra note 6, at 77.
116 See supra Section III.A.1.
117 See V&F May 9 Letter, supra note 6, at 5. See also V&F September 12 Letter, supra note 6, at 4.
118 See V&F July 18 Letter, supra note 4, at 1.
119 See id. at 1–2.
120 See V&F August 24 Letter, supra note 6, at 7.
121 See infra note 126.
122 See BlackRock Letter, supra note 6, at 5.
123 See id.
124 See id.
125 See id. The Sponsor provided charts showing correlation coefficients between monthly and annual changes in copper prices and copper supply/demand balance. As discussed below, Commission staff performed its own analyses to look for evidence of price impact related to changes in copper inventory levels and fund flows. See infra note 128.
126 See BlackRock Letter, supra note 6, at 5 n.26. See also supra notes 9–13 and accompanying text (stating the Commission’s belief that there is significant uncertainty about the locations from which copper will be purchased to create Shares).
to disrupt the supply of copper available for immediate delivery, which is what the commenter predicts would increase the price of copper. However, even if the supply of copper under LME warrant would decrease because previously warranted copper were transferred to the Trust, for the reasons discussed below, the Commission does not believe that lower LME inventory level by itself will increase the LME Bid Price (or any other price of copper).

To analyze the potential impact of changes in the LME inventory level on changes in the LME Bid Price, Commission staff performed two regression analyses.\textsuperscript{128} The first analysis was a linear regression of daily copper price changes, using five years of daily data from 2007–2012, against the following explanatory variables: the change in LME copper inventory from the previous day (i.e., the lagged change in LME copper inventory), and the changes in spot prices of nickel, tin, gold, silver, platinum, and palladium, and the S&P 500, VIX index, and the China A-Shares index returns. The results indicate that LME copper inventories do not appear to have any independent statistical effect on prices.\textsuperscript{129}

Commission staff also performed a similar regression analysis using monthly data from January 2000 until June 2012 obtained from the International Copper Study Group ("ICSG") to determine whether a relation between copper prices and LME inventories exists over a longer time horizon. The second analysis was a linear regression of monthly copper price changes against the following explanatory variables: the previous month’s change in LME copper inventory, total exchange copper inventory (i.e., combined inventory from LME, COMEX, and SHFE), non-exchange copper inventory (i.e., inventory from merchants, producers, and consumers), and spot price changes for nickel, tin, and platinum. This analysis again indicates that LME inventories specifically do not appear to have any independent statistical effect on prices.\textsuperscript{130}

Based on these analyses, even if the listing and trading of Shares were to result in the removal of copper on warrant from LME inventories, the Commission does not believe that such a supply reduction will by itself directly impact the LME Bid Price (or any other price of copper). Although total exchange inventories, in contrast to LME inventories, appear to have some effect on monthly copper prices in this linear regression analysis, the coefficient estimate associated with total exchange inventories indicates that copper prices should decrease when copper is taken off-exchange.\textsuperscript{131}

Commission staff also performed Granger causality analyses\textsuperscript{132} to test the causal effect the holdings of other commodity-based trusts historically have had on the prices of their underlying commodities. Specifically, to evaluate whether the introduction of the SPDR Gold Trust, iShares Silver Trust, ETFs Physical Palladium Shares, and ETFs Physical Copper had an impact on the return of the metals underlying those trusts, using monthly data from their inceptions until September 2012, Commission staff examined flows into these funds and subsequent changes in underlying prices over time.\textsuperscript{133} This analysis revealed no observable relation between the flow of assets and subsequent price changes of the underlying metal prices.\textsuperscript{134} Commission staff repeated this analysis on a daily frequency for iShares Silver Trust, ETFs Platinum Trust, ETFs Physical Palladium Shares, and ETFs Physical Copper.\textsuperscript{135} Again, Commission staff found no evidence that fund flows were statistically related to subsequent changes in the underlying metals prices. Given the industrial usage of silver, platinum, and palladium as compared to copper,\textsuperscript{136} the Commission believes that it is reasonable to project that any impact of the listing and trading of the Shares will not be meaningfully different from that of the listing and trading of shares of other commodity-based trusts due solely to the nature of the underlying commodity markets.

In connection with the proposed rule change, the Commission received one comment letter regarding the Commission’s analysis.\textsuperscript{137} This letter includes comments on both the substantive conclusions reached as well as the methodology used. As described further below, the Commission believes the staff’s analysis reasonably evaluates whether historical price impacts are associated with changes in copper supply, one of the commenter’s contentions.

The commenter states that the Granger causality analyses appear on their face to be incongruous.\textsuperscript{138} The commenter asserts that Commission staff appears to be comparing assets under management to the respective price of the commodity held by the trust, and provides a chart that the commenter purports to show that there is a 92% correlation between the rolling monthly change in NAV of the iShares Silver Trust and the silver price.\textsuperscript{139} The Granger causality analysis from Tables 1 and 2 of the RF Analysis examines the relation between dollar flows into the funds and subsequent changes in the prices of the underlying metals. It does not examine the relation between changes in assets under management, which are driven by both flows and returns of the underlying, and the concurrent change in the prices of the underlying metals. Therefore, the Commission believes that the relation between the change in NAV for these funds and the concurrent change in the prices of the underlying metal is irrelevant for the purposes of the cited analysis.

The commenter also asserts that the Commission staff should have examined alternative price variables in its analysis. The commenter suggests that Commission staff should have examined the cash to three month time spread and provides its own analysis, which the commenter concludes demonstrates a strong relationship between LME inventory changes and the cash to three month time spread.\textsuperscript{140} The commenter states that if the iShares Trust and the JPM Copper Trust were to sell all of

\textsuperscript{128} See Memorandum to File, dated November 6, 2012, from the Division of Risk, Strategy, and Financial Innovation ("RF Analysis"). The RF Analysis was designed to look for evidence of price impact related to changes in copper inventory levels and fund flows.

\textsuperscript{129} See id. at 10.

\textsuperscript{130} See id. at 11.

\textsuperscript{131} See id. The commenter asserts that Commission staff included likely heteroskedastic variables of other LME and LIMB metals prices in the regression, which may in the least, have undermined the cogency of the coefficient pertaining to LME copper inventory levels. See V&F November 16 Letter, supra note 6, at 1–2.

\textsuperscript{132} See supra note 6, at 1–2.

\textsuperscript{133} There is no evidence in the record of the existence of heteroskedasticity in these variables that would affect the results of the RF Analysis.

\textsuperscript{134} Granger causality is a statistical concept of causality that is based on prediction. If a signal X "Granger-causes" a signal Y, past values of X should contain information that helps predict Y above and beyond the information contained in past values of Y alone. See RF Analysis, supra note 128, at 3 n.9.

\textsuperscript{135} See id. at 2–9. Because ETFs Physical Copper is small relative to the potential size of the Trust—holding only approximately 2,000 metric tons of copper as of August 2012—Commission staff augmented its analysis by comparing asset growth of SPDR Gold Trust, iShares Silver Trust, ETFs Platinum Trust, and ETFs Physical Palladium Shares with changes in spot prices for the underlying metals.

\textsuperscript{136} See id. at 4.

\textsuperscript{137} Daily asset data was not available for the SPDR Gold Trust within the Commission’s existing data sources.

\textsuperscript{138} See supra note 113.

\textsuperscript{139} See V&F November 16 Letter, supra note 6.

\textsuperscript{139} See id.

\textsuperscript{140} See id. at 6–7.
shares registered through their respective registration statements, the cash to three month time spread “would blow out to a massive backwardation, potentially approaching record levels, making it impossible for copper consumers to finance their inventory.” The analysis provided by the commenter, however, does not provide the significance level of any test statistics associated with these findings, which would provide an assessment of the likelihood that relations were observed in the data by statistical chance. Without an assessment of statistical significance, it is difficult to conclude whether observed relations in the commenter’s data are systematic or anecdotal. Furthermore, an assessment of the statistical significance of these results is not possible without knowing which alternative tests of the hypothesis were also examined and reported. The commenter did not provide any information about which alternative tests were examined, if any. In addition, the commenter’s analysis appears to analyze inventory changes against concurrent price changes. The Commission does not believe that such a concurrent analysis can isolate the effect of inventory changes on prices because such an analysis cannot distinguish whether price changes lead to inventory changes or vice versa. Further, as discussed above, the Commission does not believe that the listing and trading of the Shares is likely to disrupt the supply of copper available for immediate delivery, and believes that the commenter has not supported its prediction that the Trust would grow so quickly that it would significantly disrupt the supply of copper available for immediate delivery.

The commenter also states that Commission staff should have considered the impact on locational premia. The commenter asserts that the relationship between COMEX inventory and locational premia in the U.S. is strong, and provides data that the commenter suggests shows that when COMEX inventories are at anemic levels, locational premia can be very high (above $200 per metric ton). Thus, the commenter argues that if the Trust results in the removal of inventory from LME and COMEX warehouses, the associated market impact will be much higher locational premia. The analysis provided by the commenter, however, does not provide the significance level of any test statistics associated with these findings. In addition, the commenter’s analysis appears to analyze inventory changes against concurrent price changes. The Commission does not believe that such a concurrent analysis can isolate the effect of inventory changes on prices, as discussed previously. In addition, according to data provided by the commenter, locational premia typically appear to be no greater than 2%. Therefore, the Commission believes the degree to which such premia can be influenced is limited. Further, assuming that copper was taken off LME warrant to be deposited into the Trust, the Commission believes that the Trust’s copper will remain available for immediate delivery to consumers and participants in the physical markets, which will limit the possible effect on locational premia.

The commenter also believes that Commission staff erred by using lagged daily LME stock data. The commenter asserts that because there are “many consecutive and non-consecutive days that LME stock levels and LME trade-LME metals do not change while LME prices do * * *, running a daily LME stock series through a regression analysis will yield statistically weak results in most cases.” The commenter states that LME inventory data for the prior day is released at 9:00 a.m. in the London trading day, thereby giving the market a full trading day to digest the data. The lagged daily LME inventory change used in the RF Analysis in fact was regressed against the change in copper prices for the day on which this information was released at 9:00 a.m. In addition, the commenter asserts that there is not a strong statistical relationship between lagged copper inventories and concurrent copper prices because the LME represents the copper market’s “warehouse of last resort.” According to the commenter, when LME stocks are drawn down or added to, market participants “should have already fully discounted the fundamental information contained within that particular stock move.” This assertion seems consistent with a hypothesis that price changes precede inventory changes, which is contrary to the commenter’s assertions that lagged inventory changes precede price changes. The Commission believes that this argument provides further weight to the Commission staff’s finding that the LME copper inventory changes do not appear to precede price changes. In sum, the Commission believes the daily periods used in the RF Analysis were reasonable and appropriate because evidence of the relationship between inventories and prices would likely be seen at daily intervals.

---

141 See id. The commenter further states that the mechanics of unit creation for commodity-based trusts backed by precious metals are fundamentally different than those for commodity-based trusts backed by industrial metals, citing the lack of copper in unallocated accounts that could be used in creating Shares. According to the commenter, neither producers nor consumers carry meaningful inventories of copper, which would require authorized participants to acquire copper from LME and COMEX inventories to create Shares. The commenter asserts that a backwardation would be necessary to trigger the movement of copper to authorized participants and that consumers would have to compete for this metal or lend to authorized participants. See id. at 4.

142 See supra Section III.A.

143 See supra note 6, at 3, 5. The commenter refers to “physical” premia in describing the manner in which the Trust will value its copper holdings: “Another market price that the SEC could have done well to look into is the physical premia, especially in light of the [Trust’s] implied objective to value metal . . . on an in-situ basis, taking into account regional physical price variations.” See id. at 5. Consistent with this description, the Commission refers to locational premia rather than physical premia. The Trust will value its copper using the LME Bid Price, and unlike the [JPM Copper Trust], will not take into account locational premia. See infra note 216.

144 See V&F November 16 Letter, supra note 6, at 3, 5.

145 See id.

146 See supra text following note 141.

147 See supra text following note 141.

148 See supra August 24 Letter, supra note 6, at 11–12. The data provided relates to locational premia for warehouses that were associated with state copper warehouses held in the JPM Copper Trust, which are different from the warehouses that would be used by the iShares Trust. As the locational premia provided do not reflect all of the cities in which the iShares Trust’s warehouses will be located, the Commission evaluated the data only to understand the significance of locational premia as compared to copper prices.

149 See supra text accompanying note 94.
The commenter suggests that, instead of looking at lagged daily LME stock data, the Commission staff should have looked at the 30 largest quarter-to-quarter LME inventory declines against changes in the LME cash price over the same time periods. The commenter asserts that such analysis, which the commenter submitted, shows that for the 30 largest observations, the median stock decline was 28.6%, and that the LME cash price rose in 25 out of 30 observations, for a median increase of 10.5%. The commenter states that these findings suggest that if LME and COMEX inventories were to decline by more than 50%, which the commenter asserts could happen if the Shares, Trust and the JPM Copper Trust were to sell all of the shares registered through their respective registration statements, prices could increase 20–60% in the quarter that the LME and COMEX inventory decline occurs.

The analysis provided by the commenter, however, does not provide the significance level of any test statistics associated with these findings. In addition, the commenter’s analysis appears to analyze inventory changes against concurrent price changes. The Commission does not believe that such a concurrent analysis can isolate the effect of inventory changes on prices. Further, as discussed above, the Commission does not believe that the listing and trading of the Shares is likely to disrupt the supply of copper available for immediate delivery, and believes that the commenter has not supported his prediction that the Trust would grow so quickly that it would significantly disrupt the supply of copper available for immediate delivery.

Finally, the commenter asserts that the listing and trading of the Shares could change the fundamental structure of the copper market, and that Commission staff should “ponder” such a structural change in the copper market. The commenter states that the ex-post implications for copper outright prices in a market that involves listing and trading of the Shares cannot be accurately inferred from what the commenter characterizes as “an overly-simplistic ex-ante statistical analysis of LME/global inventories and LME settlement prices.” According to the commenter, never before has it been possible for financial players to “lock up” significant amounts of LME and COMEX inventory in a short period of time and remove that copper from the market. Further, while the commenter indicates that “[overall historically the level of LME inventories has been generally indicative of the trading environment, not a driver of the metal price movements.”

The Commission believes that such assertions are speculative and unsupported by the record. As discussed in detail throughout this order, the Commission does not believe that the listing and trading of the Shares is likely to alter the supply and demand fundamentals of the copper market. Further, as discussed above, the Commission does not believe that the listing and trading of the Shares is likely to disrupt the supply of copper available for immediate delivery and, even assuming that copper was taken off LME warrant to be deposited into the Trust, the Commission believes that the Trust’s copper will remain available for immediate delivery to consumers and participants in the physical markets.

Because the Commission does not believe that the listing and trading of the Shares, by itself, will increase the price of copper, the Commission also believes that approval of the proposed rule change will not have an adverse effect on the efficiency of copper allocation for industrial uses and will also not have an adverse effect on capital formation for industrial uses of copper.

C. The Trust’s Impact on Copper Price Volatility

The commenter asserts that the successful creation and growth of the Trust would make the price of copper, which the commenter states already is volatile, even more volatile. Specifically, the commenter asserts that the successful creation and growth of the Trust, which the commenter believes would substantially restrict supply and increase copper prices, would create a boom and bust cycle in copper prices. The commenter predicts that this ultimate sell-off would be quick, and that the expected “dumping” of thousands of metric tons of copper back onto the market would depress the price of copper and negatively impact the world economy at large.

In contrast, the Sponsor asserts that it would be difficult to predict the impact of the introduction of an exchange-traded vehicle backed by physical copper on price volatility given that many variables exist. The Sponsor asserts that the arguments presented in the Levin Letter based on research reports and hearing testimony related to futures and other derivative-based instruments do not demonstrate that an exchange-traded vehicle backed by physical copper would contribute to price volatility. Further, the Sponsor believes that “the physical-backed nature of the Trust may in fact reduce price volatility.”

Analysis and allowed for the examination of the effect of exchange-traded copper inventories for which only monthly data were available within the Commission’s existing data sources. See V&F November 16 Letter, supra note 6, at 2. See id. See supra text following note 141. See supra text following note 141. See supra Section III.A. Even assuming that the Trust’s copper will be unavailable for immediate delivery, the Commission believes that the commenter has not supported his prediction that the Trust would grow so quickly that it would significantly disrupt the supply of copper available for immediate delivery. See supra Section III.A.3. See V&F November 16 Letter, supra note 6, at 3–4. See supra text accompanying note 94.

See V&F May 9 Letter, supra note 6, at 5. See id. But see V&F November 16 Letter, supra note 6, at 8 (stating that if Commission staff were to analyze whether the discrete flow of ounces in and out of exchange-traded vehicles drives underlying metal prices, they should consider that volatility in precious metals is not solely a function of net metal flow in and out of exchange-traded vehicles). The commenter cites to a statement in the Registration Statement to argue that the Sponsor admits that this boom and bust cycle may occur. See V&F July 18 Letter, supra note 4, at 4 (citing Registration Statement, supra note 15, at 10). More specifically, the commenter states that, because of this predicted boom and bust, mines will go bust and resources will be needlessly misallocated. See V&F August 24 Letter, supra note 6, at 28.

See Blackrock Letter, supra note 6, at 5. See also supra text accompanying note 123 (discussing the Sponsor’s view of the variables that can impact price volatility).
during times when the market is in a shortage." 175

The commenter’s prediction that the listing and trading of the Shares would cause a boom and bust is premised upon both the supply and price impacts he predicts. As discussed above, the Commission does not believe that the listing and trading of the Shares is likely to disrupt the supply of copper available for immediate delivery 176 or increase the price of copper. 177 In addition, this boom and bust prediction is unsupported by any empirical evidence. As a result, the Commission does not believe that the proposed listing and trading of the Shares will impact copper volatility in the manner that the commenter suggests. Further, the Commission does not believe that approval of the proposed rule change will enable the use of copper because the listing and trading of the Shares is not expected to, as discussed above, result in heightened volatility. Therefore, the Commission does not believe that the listing and trading of the Shares will have an adverse effect on the efficiency of copper allocation and capital formation.

D. The Trust’s Impact on the Potential to Manipulate the Price of Copper

The commenter sets forth a number of arguments about why the Trust would increase the potential for manipulation of the copper market. The commenter asserts that the Trust, in effect, would introduce so much transparency into the copper market that it would allow the Trust to manipulate, or alternatively provide market participants an effective means to manipulate, the price of copper and thereby the price of the Shares. 178 According to the commenter, investors in the Trust would be able to measure how much impact their collective removal of copper from the supply available for immediate delivery would have on copper prices each day, and could adjust their purchasing strategies accordingly. 179 Therefore, the commenter argues that the increased market transparency would not be in the public interest. 180 Instead, the commenter believes the transparency of the Trust’s holdings would provide market participants with critical information about “how much copper needs to be removed on any given day in order to artificially inflate [copper] prices and thus the price of the Trust’s shares.” 181 The commenter also predicts that the Trust would make the copper market more susceptible to squeezes and corners by reducing the supply of copper available for immediate delivery. 182 According to the commenter, after a substantial portion of the copper market is deposited in one or more physical copper trusts, the costs of acquiring the remaining inventory would be relatively inexpensive, thus reducing a hurdle to engineering a corner or squeeze. 183 The Levin Letter, which the commenter attached to the V&F July 18 Letter, also states that such manipulative activities could go undetected by the LME because trusts that hold physical commodities are not subject to any form of commodity regulations; by holding physical copper rather than LME warrants, the Trust would be able to control more of the available supply of copper without triggering LME reporting or rules. 184 The commenter believes that the presence of the Trust would increase the likelihood of market squeezes because in the Sponsor’s view: (1) market squeezes have been occurring in the markets since long before the introduction of commodity-based trusts; (2) no evidence has been presented to show that the introduction of the Trust will contribute to a market squeeze; (3)

175 See id. at 6.
176 See supra Section III.A.
177 See supra Section III.B.
178 See V&F May 9 Letter, supra note 6, at 9–10.
179 See id. at 9.
180 See id. at 10.
181 See V&F July 13 Letter, supra note 6, at 10. The commenter also states that anyone who knows that market participants are buying LME warrants to create Shares could front-run the creation by buying Shares on the Exchange and profit thereby. See V&F September 22 Letter, supra note 6, at 9. The profitability of such action appears premised upon the commenter’s belief that the creation of Shares will cause the LME Bid Price, and correspondingly the price of the Shares, to increase. As discussed above, the Commission does not believe that the listing and trading of the Shares is likely to disrupt the supply of copper available for immediate delivery, which is what the commenter predicts would cause the price of copper to increase. See supra Section III.B. With respect to other types of front-running, the Exchange’s surveillance program for the Trust, which is designed in part to identify and deter manipulative activity, is described in Section III.E.
182 See V&F May 9 Letter, supra note 6, at 1, 10. The Levin Letter, which the commenter attached to the V&F July 18 Letter, describes a squeeze on the copper market as occurring “when a lack of supply and excess demand forces the price upward, and a corner is when one party acquires enough copper to be able to manipulate its price.” Levin Letter, supra note 6, at 7. Senator Levin asserts that the Trust will make the copper market more susceptible to squeezes because it could be used by market participants to remove copper from the available supply in order to artificially inflate the price. See id. at 7.
183 See V&F September 10 Letter, supra note 6, at 7. The commenter also suggests that mere launch of the Trust could create a corner and squeeze given the relatively small amount of copper on LME warrant.
184 See Levin Letter, supra note 6, at 7.
185 See BlackRock Letter, supra note 6, at 6.
187 See BlackRock Letter, supra note 6, at 9. More specifically, the Trust will disclose on its Web site: (1) for each copper lot held by the Trust, the warehouse location, warehouse identification number, lot number, net weight, and brand; and (2) the order in which lots will be delivered to redeeming authorized participants pursuant to the algorithm. See Amendment 2, supra note 9. See also notes 27–28 and accompanying text (describing the Trust’s redemption procedure).
188 See BlackRock Letter, supra note 6, at 10.
189 See id.
190 See id. at 6.
191 See id.
192 See id. While the commenter states that “traders and investors have sought to manipulate silver on at least two occasions,” the commenter does not identify any instances of manipulation tied to
The Commission does not believe that the listing and trading of the Shares is likely to increase the likelihood of manipulation of the copper market and, correspondingly, of the price of the Shares. Generally, the Commission believes that increased transparency helps mitigate risks of manipulation. For example, in approving the listing and trading of shares of the iShares Silver Trust, the Commission stated that the dissemination of information about the silver shares would “facilitate transparency with respect to the Silver Shares and diminish the risk of manipulation or unfair informational advantage.” In this case, the Commission believes the transparency that the Trust will provide with respect to its holdings, as well as the dissemination of quotations for and last-sale prices of transactions in the Shares and the IVV and NAV of the Trust, all are expected to help reduce the ability of market participants to manipulate the physical copper market or the price of Shares. Also, the Commission believes that the listing and trading of the Shares on the Exchange (and any other national securities exchange that trades the Shares pursuant to unlisted trading privileges) may serve to make the overall copper market more transparent if OTC trading of unreported warehouse receipts shifts to trading Shares on exchanges. In particular, additional information regarding the supply of copper will be disseminated, which will enable users of copper to make better-informed decisions. Over the long term, this additional transparency could enhance efficiency in the market for copper and capital formation for participants in this market. In addition, the Commission believes that the listing and delisting criteria for the Shares are expected to help to maintain a minimum level of liquidity and therefore minimize the potential for manipulation of the Shares.

The commenter asserts that serious disruptions in the supply of copper would make corners and squeezes more likely. As discussed above, the Commission does not believe that the listing and trading of the Shares is likely to disrupt the supply of copper available for immediate delivery. Depending on the size of the Trust though, it is possible that copper holdings may be dispersed across an additional market—i.e., less copper may be held under LME and/or COMEX warrants and more copper may be held by the Trust. However, the availability of inter-market arbitrage is expected to help mitigate any potential increase in the ability of market participants to engage in corners or squeezes as a result of any dispersion of copper holdings across markets (as distinguished from a reduction in the copper supply). For example, if the Trust grows large relative to the market for warrants on the LME, LME market participants faced with a potential corner or squeeze may acquire Shares, redeem them (through an authorized participant) for LME warrants, and deliver the warrants. Further, although the Exchange currently provides for the listing and trading of shares of commodity-based trusts backed by physical gold, silver, platinum, and palladium, the commenter has not identified any evidence that the trading of shares of these commodity-based trusts has led to manipulation of the gold, silver, platinum, or palladium markets.

For the reasons discussed above, the Commission does not believe that the proposed listing and trading of the Shares is likely to render the copper market or the price of the Shares more susceptible to manipulation. Correspondingly, the Commission does not believe that approval of the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition between participants in the market for copper as it will not provide market participants a greater opportunity to achieve an unfair competitive advantage.

E. Surveillance

The commenter questions whether NYSE Arca’s surveillance procedures are adequate to prevent fraudulent and manipulative trading in the Shares. According to the commenter, NYSE Arca’s surveillance procedures are not adequate because they are the kind of “garden-variety measures” that are always in place to prevent collusion and other forms of manipulation by traders.

NYSE Arca states that its surveillance procedures will be adequate to properly monitor Trading exchange of the Shares in all trading sessions and to deter and detect violations of Exchange rules and applicable federal securities laws. In particular, the Exchange represents the following:

- Pursuant to NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.201(g), an ETP Holder acting as a registered Market Maker in Commodity-Based Trust Shares must file with the Exchange and keep current a list identifying all accounts for trading in an underlying commodity, related commodity futures or options on commodity futures, or any other related commodity derivatives, which the
Market Maker may have or over which it may exercise investment discretion. No Market Maker shall trade in an underlying commodity, related commodity futures or options on commodity futures, or any other related commodity derivatives, in an account in which a Market Maker, directly or indirectly, controls trading activities, or has a direct interest in the profits or losses thereof, which has not been reported to the Exchange as required by NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.201.

- In addition, pursuant to NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.201(g), the Exchange is able to obtain information regarding trading in the Shares and the underlying copper, copper futures contracts, options on copper futures, or any other copper derivative, through ETP Holders acting as registered Market Makers, in connection with their proprietary or customer trades that they effect on any relevant market.
- NYSE Arca has regulatory jurisdiction over its ETP Holders and their associated persons, which include any person or entity controlling an ETP Holder, as well as a subsidiary or affiliate of an ETP Holder that is in the securities business.
- With respect to a subsidiary or affiliate of an ETP Holder that does business only in commodities or futures contracts, the Exchange can obtain information regarding the activities of such subsidiary or affiliate through surveillance sharing agreements with regulatory organizations of which such subsidiary or affiliate is a member.
- Commentary .04 of NYSE Arca Equities Rule 6.3 requires an ETP Holder acting as a registered Market Maker in the Shares, and its affiliates, to establish, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to prevent the misuse of any material nonpublic information with respect to such products, any components of the related products, any physical asset or commodity underlying the product, applicable currencies, underlying indexes, related futures or options on futures, and any related derivative instruments (including the Shares).
- NYSE Arca may obtain trading information via ISG from other exchanges that are members of the ISG, including the COMEX. The Exchange also states that it has entered into a comprehensive surveillance sharing agreement with LME that applies to trading in copper and copper derivatives.

Further, in the context of preventing fraudulent and manipulative acts, the Exchange discusses its authority to halt trading in the Shares in the interest of promoting a fair and orderly market and protecting the interests of investors.

In addition, NYSE Arca has obtained a representation from the Sponsor that it will: (1) Implement a firewall with respect to its affiliates regarding access to material non-public information of the Trust concerning the Trust and the Shares; and (2) will be subject to procedures designed to prevent the use and dissemination of material non-public information of the Trust regarding the Trust and the Shares.

The Commission believes the firewall that the Exchange will require the Sponsor to erect is a reasonable measure to help prevent the flow of non-public information to the Sponsor’s affiliates.

More generally, based on the Exchange’s representations, the Commission believes that the Exchange’s surveillance procedures appear to be reasonably designed to permit the Exchange to monitor for, detect, and deter violations of Exchange rules and applicable federal securities laws and rules.

In addition to all of the same surveillance procedures employed with respect to the trading of all other Commodity-Based Trust Shares, NYSE Arca states that a new product-specific review will be employed to monitor trading in the Shares to identify potential manipulative trading activity through the use of the creation and redemption process. The commenters have not identified any specific deficiency in the proposed procedures or provided any evidence that the Exchange’s surveillance program has been ineffective with respect to trading in other Commodity-Based Trust Shares.

F. Dissemination of Information About the Shares and Copper

The Commission believes the proposal is reasonably designed to promote sufficient disclosure of information that may be necessary to price the Shares appropriately. Specifically, the Commission believes that dissemination of the NAV, IV, and copper holdings information, as discussed above, will facilitate transparency with respect to the Shares and diminish the risk of manipulation or unfair informational advantage.

Further, as noted above, quotation and last-sale information for the Shares will be available via the Consolidated Tape Association, and the Exchange will make available via the Consolidated Tape trading volume, closing prices, and NAV for the Shares from the previous day. Additionally, as discussed above, the Exchange has identified numerous sources of copper price information unconnected with the Exchange that are readily available to

---

208 See Notice, supra note 6, at 7–8. The commenter asserts that the values of LME-traded industrial metals are determined by their location, and that the LME Bid Price is the value of copper at the cheapest-to-deliver location. See id. The commenter predicts that, if the Trust accumulates all of the metal from LME warehouses in the cheapest-to-deliver location, then the cheapest-to-deliver location will change, and correspondingly the LME Bid Price will be based on a new location. See id. In that circumstance, the commenter argues, there may be a significant divergence between the NAV of the Trust and the actual value of the Trust’s copper. See id. at 7–8.

209 The Sponsor states that the Trust does not assign locational premia because any warrant, regardless of location, can be delivered at the LME Bid Price and further asserts that this valuation method will allow an authorized participant to effectively reconcile its position in copper. See BlackRock Letter, supra note 6, at 9.

The Commission believes the use of the LME Bid Price to value the Trust’s copper may lead to a divergence between the NAV of the Trust and the market value of the Trust’s copper because the LME Bid Price is used to value the Trust’s copper and the Trust’s copper may not be in the cheapest-to-deliver location. The Commission does not expect any possible divergence to cause any problems with respect to trading in the Shares, and notes that the commenter did not assert it would. The Commission believes that the degree of divergence will be limited to the difference in the price of copper held by the Trust at a copper location and the price of copper at the cheapest-to-deliver location. The Commission notes that the Trust will disclose on its Web site the location, warehouse identification number, lot number, net weight of the lot, and brand of each lot of copper it holds, as well as the order in which all lots will be delivered to redeeming authorized participants. See Amendment No. 2, supra note 9.

210 See supra text accompanying notes 31–32.
investors. The Commission therefore believes that sufficient venues for obtaining reliable copper pricing information exist to allow investors in the Shares to adequately monitor the price of copper and compare it to the NAV of the Shares.

G. Listing and Trading of the Shares

The Commission believes that the Exchange’s proposed rules and procedures for the listing and trading of the Shares are consistent with the Act. For example, the Commission believes that the proposal is reasonably designed to prevent trading when a reasonable degree of transparency cannot be assured. As detailed above, NYSE Arca Equities Rules 7.34(a)(5) and 8.201(e)(2) respectively provide that: (1) If the Exchange becomes aware that the NAV is not being disseminated to all market participants at the same time, it will halt trading on the NYSE Marketplace until such time as the NAV is available to all market participants; and (2) the Exchange will consider suspension of trading if, after the initial 12-month period following commencement of trading: (a) the value of copper is no longer calculated or available on at least a 15-second delayed basis from a source unaffiliated with the Sponsor, Trust, or Custodian, or the Exchange stops providing a hyperlink on its Web site to any such unaffiliated source providing that value; or (b) if the IV is no longer made available on at least a 15-second delayed basis. In addition, the Exchange’s general authority to halt trading because of market conditions or for reasons that, in the view of the Exchange, make trading in the Shares inadvisable, also will advance this objective. Further, trading in the Shares will be subject to NYSE Arca Equities Rule 7.12, the Exchange’s circuit breaker rule, which governs trading halts caused by extraordinary market volatility. Further, the Shares will be subject to Exchange rules governing the responsibilities of market makers and customer suitability requirements. In addition, the Shares will be subject to Exchange Rule 8.201 for initial and continued listing of Shares. As discussed above, the Commission believes that the listing and delisting criteria for the Shares are expected to maintain a minimum level of liquidity and therefore minimize the potential for manipulation of the Shares. The Commission also believes that the Information Bulletin will adequately inform members and member organizations about the terms, characteristics, and risks of trading the Shares.

H. Commission Findings

After careful review, and for the reasons discussed in Sections III.A–G above, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act, including Section 6 of the Act, and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange. In particular, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, which requires, among other things, that the rules of a national securities exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, and to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest; with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act, which requires that the rules of a national securities exchange not impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act; and with Section 11A(a)(1)(C)(iii) of the Act, which sets forth Congress’s finding that it is in the public interest and appropriate for the protection of investors to assure the availability to brokers, dealers, and investors of information with respect to quotations for and transactions in securities.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether Amendments No. 1 and No. 2 to the proposed rule change are consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments
• Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
• Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–NYSEArca–2012–66 on the subject line.

Paper Comments
• Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSEArca–2012–66. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submissions, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule changes that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule changes between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filings also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal offices of the Exchanges. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSEArca–2012–66 and should be submitted on or before March 21, 2013.

V. Accelerated Approval of Proposed Rule Change as Modified by Amendments No. 1 and No. 2

As discussed above, the Exchange submitted Amendment No. 1 to make additional representations regarding the Exchange’s surveillance program, and
submitted Amendment No. 2 to supplement representations regarding
Web site disclosure of the Trust’s copper holdings.230 The Commission
believes these additional representations are, among other things,
useful to help assure adequate information is available to the Exchange
to support its monitoring of Exchange trading of the Shares in all trading
sessions; to help the Exchange deter and
detect violations of NYSE Arca rules
and applicable federal securities laws;
and to help assure adequate availability of information to support the arbitrage
mechanism. Accordingly, the
Commission finds good cause, pursuant
to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,231 for
approving the proposed rule change, as
modified by Amendments No. 1 and No.
2, prior to the 30th day after the date of
publication of notice in the Federal
Register.

VI. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to
Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,232 that the
proposed rule change (SR–NYSEArca–
2012–66), as modified by Amendments
No. 1 and No. 2, be, and hereby is,
approved on an accelerated basis.

By the Commission.

Kevin M. O’Neill,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–04623 Filed 2–27–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
[Disaster Declaration # 13473 and # 13474]
Arkansas Disaster Number AR–00061

AGENCY: U.S. Small Business
Administration.

ACTION: Amendment 1.

SUMMARY: This is an amendment of the
Presidential declaration of a major
disaster for Public Assistance Only for
the State of ARKANSAS (FEMA–4100–
DR), dated 01/29/2013.

Incident: Severe Winter Storm.

Incident Period: 12/25/2012 through
12/26/2012.

Effective Date: 02/14/2013.

Physical Loan Application Deadline
Date: 04/01/2013.

Economic Injury (EIDL) Loan
Application Deadline Date: 10/29/2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit completed loan
applications to: U.S. Small Business
Administration, Processing And
Disbursement Center, 14925 Kingsport
Road, Fort Worth, TX 76155.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
A. Escobar, Office of Disaster Assistance,
U.S. Small Business Administration,
409 3rd Street SW., Suite 6050,
Washington, DC 20416.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION: The notice
of the President’s major disaster
declaration for Private Non-Profit
organizations in the State of
ARKANSAS, dated 01/29/2013, is
hereby amended to include the
following areas as adversely affected by the
disaster.

Primary Counties: Clark.

All other information in the original
declaration remains unchanged.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance
Numbers 59002 and 59008)

James E. Rivera,
Associate Administrator for Disaster
Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2013–04441 Filed 2–27–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8025–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF STATE
[Public Notice 8202]

60-Day Notice of Proposed Information
Collection: Repatriation/Emergency
Medical and Dietary Assistance Loan
Application

ACTION: Notice of request for public
comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of State is
seeking Office of Management and
Budget (OMB) approval for the
information collection described below.
In accordance with the Paperwork
Reduction Act of 1995, we are
requesting comments on this collection
from all interested individuals and
organizations. The purpose of this
notice is to allow 60 days for public
comment preceding submission of the
collection to OMB.

DATES: The Department will accept
comments from the public up to April
29, 2013.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments
by any of the following methods:

• Web: Persons with access to the
Internet may use the Federal Docket
Management System (FDMS) to
comment on this notice by going to
www.Regulations.gov. You can search
for the document by entering “Public
Notice ####” in the Search bar. If
necessary, use the Narrow by Agency
filter option on the Results page.

• Email: mailto:Ask-OCS-L-Public-
Inquiries@state.gov.

• Mail: (paper, disk, or CD–ROM
submissions): U.S. Department of State,
CA/OCS/L, SA–29, 4th Floor,
Washington, DC 20307–3202.

• Fax: 202–736–9111.

• Hand Delivery or Courier: U.S.
Department of State, CA/OCS/L, 2100
Pennsylvania Avenue, 4th Floor,
Washington, DC 20307–3202.

You must include the DS form
number (1405–0150) in any correspondence.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Direct requests for additional