

- Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask OMB in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that it will be done.

Dated: February 13, 2013.

**Madonna L. Baucum,**

*Information Collection Clearance Officer,  
National Park Service.*

[FR Doc. 2013-04537 Filed 2-26-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312-EH-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

[NPS-NER-HPPC-11732; PPWONRADE2  
PMP00E105]

#### Chronic Wasting Disease Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement, Shenandoah National Park

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Council on Environmental Quality regulations, the National Park Service (NPS) is preparing a Chronic Wasting Disease Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement (CWD Management Plan/EIS) for Shenandoah National Park, Virginia. Action is needed at this time prevent the establishment of CWD in the white-tailed deer population of Shenandoah National Park and, should the disease become established, to slow the spread of the disease. To ensure that all significant issues are identified and considered, all interested parties are invited to comment on the proposed scope of the project, the purpose, need, and objectives of the plan, and draft alternatives.

**DATES:** The National Park Service will accept comments from the public for a period of 60 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register**. Public meetings will be held during the review period to facilitate the

submission of public comment. Once scheduled, the meeting dates will be announced on the NPS's Planning Environment and Public Comment (PEPC) Web site (<http://parkplanning.nps.gov/shen>); by newsletter posted on the Shenandoah National Park Web page ([www.nps.gov/shen](http://www.nps.gov/shen)); and by a press release to the local media.

**ADDRESSES:** The preferred method of comment is to submit comments electronically through the NPS PEPC Web site (<http://parkplanning.nps.gov/shen>). You may also mail comments to Superintendent, Shenandoah National Park, 3655 U.S. Highway 211 East, Luray, VA, 22835.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Erin Flanagan, National Park Service, Denver Service Center, at (303) 969-2327.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A long-range CWD Management Plan is needed at this time because: CWD is established and spreading within the region and represents a threat to white-tailed deer, which are an important park resource; the risk of CWD introduction and amplification is high because of high deer population density in certain areas of the park and deer movement in and out of the park; there is no known treatment to eliminate CWD once it is established in the population; a variety of management options must be considered to limit the prevalence and minimize spread; and CWD is a nonnative disease process, therefore, NPS policy states that CWD should be managed or eliminated, if prudent and feasible.

To date, Shenandoah National Park has prepared a CWD Detection and Assessment Plan and Environmental Assessment which, when completed and approved, will guide future actions for detecting and responding to initial CWD cases within the park. The next step is to comprehensively evaluate alternative approaches for long-term management of CWD, which is the purpose of the proposed CWD Management Plan/EIS.

The objectives of the long-range CWD management plan are to: Prevent CWD establishment and, should CWD become established, slow the spread of CWD within the park; monitor disease progression and impacts on park resources; provide a framework to assess or evaluate the success of the management actions and for the NPS to cooperate with other state and federal agencies on the management of CWD; develop public support for CWD management through education; minimize disruption to visitor use and experience from management actions;

and minimize the potential for health and safety issues for park staff and visitors during CWD management activities.

The NPS has begun development of two action alternatives that will be made available for public comment as part of the scoping process. The first action alternative proposes a phased approach to CWD management and would be implemented when CWD is identified within a specified distance from the park. In slight contrast, the second alternative would initiate management actions immediately, rather than in response to proximity of CWD detection to the boundaries of the park. The NPS will also evaluate a no action alternative, under which current management approaches would continue, including implementation of the approved CWD detection and assessment plan.

To ensure that all significant issues are identified and considered, all interested parties are invited to comment on the proposed scope of the project; the purpose, need and objectives of the plan; and draft alternatives. These materials will be available for review and comment on the NPS PEPC Web site (<http://parkplanning.nps.gov/shen>) and the park's Web page ([www.nps.gov/shen](http://www.nps.gov/shen)).

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: November 20, 2012.

**Dennis R. Reidenbach,**

*Regional Director, Northeast Region, National Park Service.*

[FR Doc. 2013-04549 Filed 2-26-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-WV-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

[NPS-PWR-PWRO-11436;  
PXP0137227A001]

#### Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Cottonwood Cove and Katherine Landing Development Concept Plans, Clark County, NV, and Mohave County, AZ

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C), the National Park Service announces the availability of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Cottonwood Cove and Katherine Landing Development Concept Plans, Lake Mead National Recreation Area. The Draft EIS identifies and analyzes three alternatives, as follows. Alternative 1 *Continue Current Management Trends* (no action alternative) reflects current management direction and serves as a baseline for comparison with the other alternatives. Existing facilities would be retained with minimal changes. Alternative 2 *Implement Previous Planning Proposals* would implement previous planning proposals that separate day use and marina facilities, maintain the type of overnight facilities, and provide flood mitigation. Alternative 3 *Enhance Visitor Experience and Park Operations* (agency-preferred alternative) would enhance day-use opportunities, upgrade and expand the type of overnight facilities, and provide flood mitigation. The Draft EIS also evaluates the potential environmental impacts of the alternatives, including potential impacts to native plant communities and soils, wildlife, threatened, endangered, and special status species; floodplains; archeological resources; historic structures; cultural landscape; ethnographic resources; visitor use, experience, and safety; park operations; and socioeconomic environment.

**DATES:** The National Park Service will accept comments on the Draft EIS from the public for 60 days after the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes its notice in the **Federal Register**. The National Park Service will also hold public meetings during the public comment period; the date, time, and location of the meetings will be announced on Project Web site <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/lake>, as well as via local and regional press media.

**ADDRESSES:** Respondents may submit comments by one of two methods. You may mail written comments to Lake Mead National Recreation Area, Attn: DCP-DEIS, 601 Nevada Highway, Boulder City, NV 89005. You may also submit comments electronically via the Internet at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/lake>. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying

information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Jim Holland, Park Planner, Lake Mead National Recreation Area, 601 Nevada Highway, Boulder City, NV 89005 (702) 293-8986.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The purpose of the development concept plans is to reevaluate the implementation strategies for these two areas that were identified in the 1986 *Lake Mead National Recreation Area General Management Plan/Development Concept Plans/Final Environmental Impact Statement* and to incorporate the concepts and carrying capacities that were approved in the 2003 *Lake Mead National Recreation Area Lake Management Plan/Final Environmental Impact Statement*. Each development concept plan provides an integrated plan for development with site specific guidance for the extent, type, and location of facilities and services that is consistent with the management direction and intent established in the general management plan and lake management plan.

The general management plan addressed the need to provide recreational opportunities while preserving and protecting natural and cultural resources. It established land-based management zones and included development concept plans for Cottonwood Cove and Katherine Landing that identified limits on the development, established the number and type of facilities, and addressed flood hazards. The general management plan's vision for both areas was to accommodate increasing use, enhance the visitor experience, and mitigate flood hazards. The lake management plan established water-based management zones and provided further guidance for the long-term protection of park resources while allowing a range of recreational opportunities to support visitor needs. A number of the management actions identified in both approved plans require more site-specific development planning. There are also a number of management issues that have not been adequately addressed or resolved in the previous planning efforts and that require a more detailed examination of development and operational needs.

The primary issues affecting the management of the Cottonwood Cove and Katherine Landing developed areas are as follows:

- Providing flood mitigation

- Enhancing shoreline-based day-use opportunities and facilities to meet a growing demand
  - Improving the safety and ease of access, providing better organized and more convenient parking, and providing an appropriate number of parking spaces
  - Improving NPS campgrounds to function effectively to meet visitor needs while protecting the cultural landscape
  - Providing adequate visitor information and education programs and determining if commercial services and NPS educational and interpretive services be provided in a joint facility enhancing operational facilities to function effectively and efficiently, meeting the needs of both park staff and visitors
  - Identifying which concession facilities or services are still necessary and appropriate at these sites for public use and enjoyment of the park
- Decision Process:** Following due consideration of all comments received, a Final EIS will be prepared. As a delegated EIS, the official responsible for a final decision is the Regional Director, Pacific West Region. Subsequently the official responsible for implementing the approved development concept plans and for monitoring results is the Superintendent, Lake Mead National Recreation Area.

Dated: September 28, 2012.

**Martha J. Lee,**

*Acting Regional Director, Pacific West Region.*

[FR Doc. 2013-04538 Filed 2-26-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312-FF-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

[NPS-WASO-NRNHL-12225;  
PPWOCRADIO, PCU00RP14.R50000]

### Landmarks Committee of the National Park System Advisory Board Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

---

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. Appendix (1988), that a teleconference meeting of the Landmarks Committee of the National Park System Advisory Board will be held beginning at 1:00 p.m. on April 9, 2013, at the following location. Members of the public may attend the meeting in person in Washington, DC, or may participate via teleconference.