The applicant proposes to make no more than three applications of Kasumin 2L on not more that 10,000 acres of apples between April 1 and May 31, 2013, in Antrim, Berrien, Cass, Grand Traverse, Ionia, Kent, Leelanau, Montcalm, Newaygo, Oceana, Ottawa, and Van Buren counties. As currently proposed, the maximum amount of product to be applied would be 30,000 gallons.

This notice does not constitute a decision by EPA on the application itself. The regulations governing FIFRA section 18 requires publication of a notice of receipt of an application for a specific exemption proposing use of a new chemical (i.e., an active ingredient) which has not been registered by EPA. The notice provides an opportunity for public comment on the application. The Agency will review and consider all comments received during the comment period in determining whether to issue the specific exemption requested by the Michigan Department of Agriculture.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: February 8, 2013.

Daniel J. Rosenblatt,
Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: An electronic copy of each alternative test method approval document is available on the EPA’s Web site at www.epa.gov/ttn/emc/approalt.html. For questions about this notice, contact Ms. Lula H. Melton, Air Quality Assessment Division, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (E143–02), Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711; telephone number: 919–541–2910; fax number: 919–541–0516; email address: melton.lula@epa.gov. For technical questions about individual alternative test method decisions, refer to the contact person identified in the individual approval documents.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this notice apply to me?

This notice will be of interest to entities regulated under 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) parts 60, 61, and 63, state, local, and tribal agencies, and the EPA Regional Offices responsible for implementation and enforcement of regulations under 40 CFR parts 60, 61, and 63.

B. How can I get copies of this information?

You may access copies of the broadly applicable alternative test method approval documents from the EPA’s Web site at www.epa.gov/ttn/emc/approalt.html.

II. Background

Broadly applicable alternative test method approval decisions made by the EPA in 2012 under the NSPS, 40 CFR part 60 and the NESHAP, and 40 CFR parts 61 and 63 are identified in this notice (see Table 1). Source owners and operators may voluntarily use these broadly applicable alternative test methods subject to their specific applicability. Use of these broadly applicable alternative test methods does not change the applicable emission standards.

As explained in a previous Federal Register notice published at 72 FR 4257 (January 30, 2007) and found on the EPA’s Web site at www.epa.gov/ttn/emc/approalt.html, the EPA Administrator has the authority to approve the use of alternative test methods to comply with requirements under 40 CFR parts 60, 61, and 63. This authority is found in sections 60.8(b)(3), 61.13(h)(1)(i), and 63.7(e)(2)(ii). In the past, we have performed thorough technical reviews of numerous requests for alternatives and modifications to test methods and procedures. Based on these reviews, we have often found that these changes or alternatives would be equally valid and appropriate to apply to other sources within a particular class, category, or subcategory. Consequently, we have concluded that, where a method modification or an alternative method is clearly broadly applicable to a class, category, or subcategory of sources, it is both more equitable and efficient to approve its use for all appropriate sources and situations at the same time.

It is important to clarify that alternative methods are not mandatory but permissive. Sources are not required to employ such a method but may choose to do so in appropriate cases. Source owners or operators should review the specific broadly applicable alternative method approval decision on the EPA’s Web site at www.epa.gov/ttn/emc/approalt.html before electing to use it. As per 63.7(f)(2), by electing to use an alternative method for all 40 CFR part 63 standards, the source owner or operator must continue to use the alternative method until approved otherwise.

The criteria for approval and procedures for submission and review of broadly applicable test methods are outlined at 72 FR 4257 (January 30, 2007). We will continue to announce approvals for broadly applicable alternative test methods on the EPA’s Web site at www.epa.gov/ttn/emc/approalt.html and annually publish a notice that summarizes approvals for broadly applicable alternative test methods.

This notice comprises a summary of seven such approval documents added to our Technology Transfer Network from January 1, 2012, through December 31, 2012. The alternatives method decision letter/memo number, the reference method affected, sources allowed to use this alternative, and the modification or alternative method allowed are summarized in Table 1 of this notice. Please refer to the complete copies of these approval documents available from the EPA’s Web site at www.epa.gov/ttn/emc/approalt.html as Table 1 serves only as a brief summary of the broadly applicable alternative test methods. In addition to alternative decisions listed in Table 1, we received comments and updated Alternative-082, which was approved the previous year in 2011. This alternative approval letter can also be viewed at www.epa.gov/ttn/emc/approalt.html.

If you are aware of reasons why a particular alternative test method approval that we issued should not be broadly applicable, we request that you make us aware of the reasons in writing, and we will revisit the broad approval. Any objection to a broadly applicable alternative test method, as well as the resolution of that objection, will be announced on the EPA’s Web site at www.epa.gov/ttn/emc/approalt.html and in the subsequent Federal Register notice. If we decide to retract a broadly applicable test method, we would continue to grant case-by-case approvals, as appropriate, and would (as
states, local and tribal agencies and the EPA Regional Offices should) consider the need for an appropriate transition period for users either to request case-

by-case approval or to transition to an approved method.

Dated: February 8, 2013.

Mary E. Henigin,
Acting Director, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards.

**TABLE 1—APPROVED ALTERNATIVE TEST METHODS AND MODIFICATIONS TO TEST METHODS REFERENCED IN OR PUBLISHED UNDER APPENDICES IN 40 CFR PARTS 60, 61, AND 63 MADE BETWEEN JANUARY 2012 AND DECEMBER 2012**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative method decision letter/ memo No.</th>
<th>As an alternative or modification to . . .</th>
<th>For . . .</th>
<th>You may . . .</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALT–091</td>
<td>Method 4-Determination of Moisture Content in Stack Gases.</td>
<td>Sources subject to 40 CFR part 63, subpart UUUU-National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Coal- and Oil-Fired Electric Utility Steam Generating Units.</td>
<td>Use alternative procedures in lieu of Method 4 to determine the moisture content of the stack gas during low emitting EGU (LEE) testing for mercury emissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT–092</td>
<td>Method 7E-Determination of Nitrogen Oxides Emissions from Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure).</td>
<td>Natural gas burning internal combustion engines subject to 40 CFR part 60, subpart JJJJ-Standards of Performance for Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion Engines.</td>
<td>Use a single 10 ppm (or less) calibration gas in lieu of a three-point calibration for determination of NO₂ when the NO₂ emissions remain below 10 ppm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT–093</td>
<td>Method 5-Determination of Particulate Matter Emissions from Stationary Sources.</td>
<td>Sources subject to 40 CFR part 63, subpart RRR-National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Secondary Aluminum Production.</td>
<td>Use alternative test procedures for batch processes subject to 40 CFR 63.1511(b)(3) that are required to be sampled using an isokinetic train.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT–094</td>
<td>Measure total sulfides using an H₂S and CS₂ CEMS.</td>
<td>Sources subject to 40 CFR part 63, subpart UUUU-National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Cellulose Products Manufacturing.</td>
<td>Use a sample conditioning, sample conversion, and SO₂ CEMS in place of H₂S and CS₂ CEMS to measure total sulfides at process vents.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source owners or operators should review the specific broadly applicable alternative method approval letter on the EPA’s Web site at [www.epa.gov/ttn/emc/approalt.html](http://www.epa.gov/ttn/emc/approalt.html) before electing to employ it.

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission

Agency Information Collection Activities


SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (Commission or EEOC) announces that it intends to revise a Commission form (Demographic Information on Applicants, OMB No. 3046–0046) to include disability status data.

DATES: Written comments on this notice must be submitted on or before April 16, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to the Executive Officer, Executive Secretariat, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, 131 M Street, NE., Washington, DC 20507. As a convenience to commenters, the Executive Secretariat will accept comments totaling six or fewer pages by facsimile (“FAX”) machine. This limitation is necessary to assure access to the equipment. The telephone number of the fax receiver is (202) 663–4114. (This is not a toll-free number). Receipt of FAX transmittals will not be acknowledged, except that the sender may request confirmation of receipt by calling the Executive Secretariat staff at (202) 663–4070 (voice) or (202) 663–4074 (TTD). (These are not toll-free telephone numbers.) Instead of sending written comments to the EEOC, you may submit comments and attachments electronically at [http://www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), which is the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Follow the instructions online for submitting comments. All comments received through this portal will be posted without change, including any personal information you provide. Copies of comments submitted by the public to the EEOC directly or through the Federal eRulemaking Portal will be available for review, by advance appointment only, at the Commission’s...