

holder with the HTSUS number in lieu of filing of entry papers each time. CBP believes such frequent filing of entry papers for these containers or holders would be overly burdensome to the importer or shipper.

19 CFR 10.41 provides that substantial holders or containers are to have prescribed markings in clear and conspicuous letters of such a size that they will be easily discernable. Section 10.41b of the CBP regulations eliminates the need for an importer to file entry documents by instead requiring the marking of the containers or holders to indicate under which item number of the HTSUS the containers or holders are entitled duty free entry.

In order to comply with 19 CFR 10.41b, the owner of the holder or container is required to place the markings on a metal tag or plate containing the following information: 9801.00.10, HTSUS; the name of the owner; and the serial number assigned by the owner. In the case of serially numbered holders or containers of foreign manufacture for which free clearance under the second provision of item 9803.00.50 HTSUS is claimed, the owner must place the following markings containing the following information: 9803.00.50 HTSUS; the port code numbers of the port of entry; the entry number; the last two digits of the fiscal year of entry covering the importation of the holders and containers on which duty was paid; the name of the owner; and the serial number assigned by the owner.

Action: CBP proposes to extend the expiration date of this information collection with no change to the burden hours or to the information collected.

Type of Review: Extension (without change).

Affected Public: Businesses.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 20.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 18.

Estimated Number of Total Annual Responses: 360.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 90.

Dated: February 6, 2013.

Tracey Denning,

Agency Clearance Officer, U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

[FR Doc. 2013-02982 Filed 2-8-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111-14-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-5613-N-06-C]

Privacy Act of 1974; New System of Records, Office of General Counsel E-Discovery Management System: Republication of System Description and Solicitation of Comment

AGENCY: Office of the Chief Information Officer, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provision of the Privacy Act of 1974, HUD is providing notice of its formal adoption of a new system of records for the Office of General Counsel (OGC) E-Discovery Management System (EDMS). The OGC discovery productions typically require the preservation, collection and analysis of massive emails, word processing documents, PDF files, spreadsheets, presentations, database entries, and other documents in a variety of electronic file formats, as well as paper records. EDMS is expected to improve significantly the efficiency of OGC's processing of records during the discovery and processing of litigation requests and will dramatically reduce the time spent on the document review and production process.

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 18, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For inquiries pertaining to Privacy Act records, contact Donna Robinson-Staton, Chief Privacy Officer, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, DC 20410 (Attention: Capitol View Building, 4th Floor) telephone number (202) 402-8073 (this telephone number is not toll free). A telecommunications device for hearing- and speech-impaired persons (TTY) is available by calling the Federal Relay Service's toll-free telephone number (800) 877-8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a) (Privacy Act), HUD published in the **Federal Register** on July 17, 2012, at 77 FR 41997, a notice that announced a new system of records for OGC's E-Discovery Management System (OGC-EDMS), a system expected to significantly improve the efficiency of OGC's processing of records during the preservation, discovery, and processing of litigation requests when litigation is "reasonably anticipated"¹ and reduce

the time HUD staff spend on the document review and production process. OGC-EDMS is in response to and consistent with e-discovery preservation and production requirements in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

The July 17, 2012, notice solicited public comment on OGC-EDMS for a period of 30 days. The notice advised that EDMS would carry a final effective date of August 16, 2012, unless HUD received comments which would result in a contrary determination. HUD received public comment in response to the July 17, 2012, notice. On August 15, 2012, at 77 FR 49011, HUD published a notice advising of a change in the final effective date of OGC-EDMS, the commitment to re-publish the description of OGC-EDMS with certain clarifications, and to respond to public comments received in response to the July 17, 2012, notice.

In response to public comments, a notice expanding the description of OGC-EDMS and soliciting further public comments was published on November 15, 2012, at 77 FR 68140. Specifically, HUD clarified in the notice published on November 15, 2012 that when litigation is "reasonably anticipated," related electronic data is forensically copied and maintained in a secure server environment separate from HUD's network servers as part of the OGC-EDMS. In this secure server environment, electronic data is preserved in a way that prevents metadata spoliation by the system or the owner of the data. HUD further clarified that electronic data is properly retained on network servers and other sources as mandated by the HUD's Office of General Counsel Records Disposition Schedule 2—Legal Records, 2225.6 REV-1, CHG-APPENDIX 2² and HUD's Office of the Chief Information Officer Electronic Mail Policy, 2400.1 REV01, CHG.³ These handbooks are available on HUD's Web pages through hudclips.

The public comment period for the November 15, 2012, notice closed on December 17, 2012. HUD received no public comments in response to the November 2012 additional solicitation of comment. In this notice, HUD provides a complete summary of the location, purposes, and operational description of EDMS. The summary is

key case is *Pension Comm. of the Univ. of Montreal Pension Plan v. Banc of Am. Secs., LLC*, 05 Civ. 9016 (SAS), 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 4546, at *14-15 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 15, 2010).

² http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/administration/hudclips/handbooks/admh/2225.6.

³ <http://www.hud.gov/offices/adm/hudclips/handbooks/cioh/>.

¹ "Reasonably anticipated" is the legal test articulating the standard for when the duty to preserve electronically stored information begins. A

the same as that provided in the November 15, 2012, notice. HUD has made no further changes.

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552a; 88 Stat. 1896; 42 U.S.C. 3535(d).

Dated: February 5, 2013.

Jerry E. Williams,
Chief Information Officer.

Summary Description of EDMS OGC.CAGC.01

SYSTEM NAME:

Office General Counsel Electronic Discovery Management System. (OGC-EDMS)

SYSTEM LOCATIONS:

The EDMS application will be stored on servers located at 4701 Forbes Boulevard, Lanham, MD 20706. Custodian data to be retrieved is stored on servers and HUD workstations located throughout the country.⁴

PURPOSES:

OGC-EDMS provides OGC with a method to initiate, track, and manage the collection, organization, and production of paper and electronic documents for discovery requests, such as litigation hold memoranda, E-Discovery certifications, electronically stored information (ESI) search requests, closure letters, and any other documents and data relevant to the discovery process requiring analysis, review, redaction, and production to respond to litigation discovery requirements. The purpose of this system is to assist HUD to collect electronically stored information and data of any individual who is, or will be, in litigation with HUD, as well as the attorneys representing the plaintiff(s) and defendant(s) in response to claims by employees, former employees, and other individuals; to assist in the settlement of claims against the government; to represent HUD during litigation, and to maintain internal statistics. A new software component is being added to HUD's EDMS process that will streamline the collection, storage, and analysis of case data to be responsive to requests to HUD.

On December 1, 2006, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure were amended to create and clarify responsibility for preserving and accessing ESI. The obligation to preserve ESI, as well as paper records, begins when an individual "reasonably anticipates" litigation and concludes that the evidence may be relevant to such future litigation. Once an individual

"reasonably anticipates" litigation, he/she must suspend any document alteration or destruction to ensure the preservation of relevant documents and electronically stored information, including emails.

EDMS and its various capabilities will allow OGC to streamline and automate the document and data reviews it conducts, allow the attorneys to analyze the information in different formats, conduct the analysis in bulk more efficiently, and protect unwarranted disclosure of information by flagging files that contain information therein that is protected from disclosure.

AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:

The federal regulation(s)/statute(s) that gives OGC the authority to collect and store this information is Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP) 16(b) which allows the court to establish rules around disclosure, privilege, methods and work product prior to electronic discovery commencing. In this context, disclosure is the collection of data. Other relevant regulations surrounding the collection and management of electronic discovery are FRCP 26(b)(2), 26(b)(5)(B), 26(f), 33(d), 34(a), 34(b), 37(f), and 45.

CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

Categories of individuals covered by this system include: (1) all persons subject to a litigation hold due to a "reasonable anticipation of litigation" as determined by HUD's OGC; (2) all persons deemed a participant of past or present litigation, investigations, or arbitration where HUD is involved; and (3) specified individuals impacted by FOIA requests, litigation, and other cases in HUD.

A wide variety of individuals are covered by the system including: individuals who either file administrative complaints with HUD or are the subject of administrative complaints initiated by HUD; individuals who are named parties in cases in which HUD believes it will or may become involved; individuals involved in matters within the jurisdiction of HUD either as plaintiffs or as defendants in both civil and criminal matters; witnesses, and to the extent not covered by any other system, tort and property claimants who have filed claims against the Government; individuals who are the subject of an action requiring approval or action by a HUD official, such as appeals, actions, training, awards, promotions, selections, grievances and delegations, including the OGC attorneys to whom cases are assigned, and attorneys and authorized

representatives for whom HUD has received complaints regarding their practices before HUD.

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

Categories of records in this system include: (1) Custodian name; (2) Custodian work address; (3) Custodian email address; (4) Case Name; (5) Case number; (6) Custodian email data, including messages among other HUD employees and/or personnel of other federal agencies or outside entities, and attachments; (7) Custodian local/shared drive data of information collected or compiled from law enforcement or other agency databases; (8) Spreadsheets including data collections, often including personally identifiable information and sensitive law enforcement data used to track the process or investigations or focus investigative priorities; records relating to litigation by or against the U.S. Government (or litigation in which the U.S. Government is not a party, but has an interest) resulting from questions concerning HUD cases and legal actions that HUD either is involved in or in which it believes it will or may become involved; claims by or against the U.S. Government, other than litigation cases, arising from a transaction with HUD, and documents related thereto, including demographic information, vouchers, witness statements, legal decisions, and related material pertaining to such claims; investigation reports; legal authority; legal opinions and memoranda; criminal actions; criminal conviction records; claims and records regarding discrimination, including employment and sex discrimination; claims and records regarding the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (26 U.S.C. 701); personnel matters; contracts; foreclosures; actions against HUD officials; records relating to requests for HUD records other than requests under the Freedom of Information Act and the Privacy Act of 1974; testimonies of HUD employees in federal, state, local, or administrative criminal or civil litigation; documentary evidence; supporting documents including the legal and programmatic issues of the case, correspondence, legal opinions and memoranda and related records; security clearance information; any type of legal document, including but not limited to complaints, summaries, affidavits, litigation reports, motions, subpoenas, and any other court filing or administrative filing or evidence; employee and former employee ethics question forms and responses; and court transcripts.

⁴ <http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/documents/huddoc?id=append2.pdf>.

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:

1. To a Congressional office from the record of an individual in response to an inquiry from that Congressional office made at the request of the individual to whom the records pertain;
2. To the National Archives and Records Administration for use in its records management inspections and its role as an Archivist;
3. To the Department of Justice (DOJ) when seeking legal advice for a HUD initiative or in response to DOJ's request for the information, after either HUD or DOJ determine that such information is relevant to DOJ's representatives of the United States or any other component in legal proceedings before a court or adjudicative body, provided that, in each case, the agency also determines prior to disclosure that disclosure of the records to the DOJ is a use of the information contained in the records that is compatible with the purpose for which HUD collected the records. HUD on its own may disclose records in this system of records in legal proceedings before a court or administrative body after determining that the disclosure of the records to the court or administrative body is a use of the information contained in the records that is compatible with the purpose for which HUD collected the records; or to another agency or to an instrumentality of any governmental jurisdiction within or under the control of the United States for a civil or criminal law enforcement activity if the activity is authorized by law, and if the head of the agency or instrumentality has made a written request to the agency which maintains the record specifying the particular portion desired and the law enforcement activity for which the record is sought;
4. To third parties during the course of a law enforcement investigation to the extent necessary to obtain information pertinent to the investigation;
5. To contractors, grantees, experts, consultants, and the agents thereof, and others performing or working on a contract, service, grant, cooperative agreement, or other assignment for HUD, when necessary to accomplish an agency function related to its system of records. Individuals provided information under this routine use are subject to the same Privacy Act requirements and limitations on disclosure as are applicable to HUD officers and employees;
6. To third parties during the course of a law enforcement investigation to the extent necessary to obtain

information pertinent to the investigation, provided disclosure is appropriate to the proper performance of the official duties of the officer making the disclosure;

7. To a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal in the course of presenting evidence, including disclosures to opposing counsel or witnesses in the course of civil discovery, litigation, or settlement negotiations or in connection with criminal law proceedings or in response to a subpoena;

8. To a grand jury agent pursuant either to a federal or state grand jury subpoena, or to a prosecution request that such record be released for the purpose of its introduction to a grand jury, where the subpoena or request has been specifically approved by a court; and

9. To appropriate agencies, entities, and persons when: a) HUD suspects or has confirmed that the security or confidentiality of information in a system of records has been compromised; b) HUD has determined that as a result of the suspected or confirmed compromise there is a risk of harm to economic or property interests, identity theft or fraud, or harm to the security or integrity of systems or programs (whether maintained by HUD or another agency or entity) that rely upon the compromised information; and c) the disclosure made to such agencies, entities, and persons is reasonably necessary to assist in connection with HUD's efforts to respond to the suspected or confirmed compromise and prevent, minimize, or remedy such harm for purposes of facilitating responses and remediation efforts in the event of a data breach.

POLICIES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, AND DISPOSING OF SYSTEM RECORDS:

STORAGE:

Data collected by OGC-EDMS is stored electronically in a Storage Area Network/Network Attached. There are no manual records stored or maintained outside the system. Storage is at a secure Lockheed Martin facility, and backed up via an Avamar Backup Storage system.

RETRIEVABILITY:

Records will be retrieved by the (1) Custodian name; (2) Work address; (3) Custodian email address; (4) Case name; (5) Case number; (6) Custodian email data; (7) Custodian local drive data; (8) Custodian home/shared drive data; (9) Litigation hold closures; (10) Litigation hold memoranda; (11) Litigation preservation notices; (12) Litigation hold reminder notices; and (13) ESI identification email notifications. E-

Discovery notifications data is only accessed by individually assigned legal counsel on a case by case basis.

SAFEGUARDS:

Strict controls have been imposed to minimize the risk of compromising the information that is being stored. Access to the computer system containing the records in this system is limited to those individuals who are authorized to access by appropriate security clearances and user ID/password permissions. Only assigned users with a need-to-know are allowed access, on a case-by-case basis, after going through HUD's background investigation process.

RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

In response to the FRCP 16(b), when litigation is "reasonably anticipated," related electronic data is copied and maintained in a secure server environment separate from HUD's network servers as part of the EDMS.⁵ Upon authorization from a HUD Associate General Counsel, Regional Counsel, or other designated official, OGC closes a case. The closed case and related electronic litigation data that has been copied and secured in a production environment for the purposes of litigation is purged electronically from the EDMS. The purging process does not extend to purging electronic data from its original source, such as network servers. Electronic data is properly retained on network servers and other sources as required by HUD's Office of General Counsel Records Disposition Schedule 2—Legal Records, 2225.6 REV-1, CHG-APPENDIX 2⁶ and the Electronic Mail Policy, 2400.1 REV01, CHG.⁷

SYSTEM MANAGERS AND ADDRESSES:

Office of General Counsel (OGC)
Tenille Washburn, Assistant General Counsel, Field Management and IT Division, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 1250 Maryland Avenue SW., Suite 200, Washington, DC 20024. The phone contact information is (202) 402-6536. This is not a toll free number.

NOTIFICATION AND RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:

Individuals seeking to determine whether this system of records contains

⁵ Other relevant regulations surrounding the collection and management of electronic discovery are FRCP 26(b)(2), 26(b)(5)(B), 26(f), 33(d), 34(a), 34(b), 37(f), and 45.

⁶ http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/administration/hudclips/handbooks/admh/2225.6.

⁷ <http://www.hud.gov/offices/adm/hudclips/handbooks/cioh/>.

information about them, or those seeking access to such records, should address inquiries to Donna Staton-Robinson, Chief Privacy Officer, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 4156, Washington, DC 20410. (Attention: Capitol View Building, 4th Floor.) The phone contact information is (202) 708-5495. This is not a toll free number. Provide verification of your identity by providing two proofs of official identification. Your verification of identity must include your original signature and must be notarized.

CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

HUD's rules for contesting the contents of records and appealing initial denials by the individual concerned appear in 24 CFR part 16. If additional information or assistance is needed, it may be obtained by contacting HUD officials as follows:

(i) Contesting contents of records: The Department of Housing and Urban Development, Chief Privacy Officer, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20410;

(ii) Appeals of initial HUD determinations: In relation to contesting contents of records, the HUD Departmental Privacy Appeals Officers, Office of General Counsel, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20410.

RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

Documents and records in this system originate from HUD and its components, courts, subpoenas, law enforcement agencies, other federal, state, and local agencies, inquiries and/or complaints from witnesses or members of the general public.

EXEMPTIONS:

The records in EDMS are maintained for use in civil rather than criminal actions. For that reason, the relevant provision of the Privacy Act is 5 U.S.C. 552a(d)(5) which states "nothing in this [Act] shall allow an individual access to any information compiled in reasonable anticipation of a civil action or proceeding." (See U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Privacy and Civil Liberties, Overview of the Privacy Act of 1974 (2010) 212.⁸)

[FR Doc. 2013-03071 Filed 2-8-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

⁸ <http://www.justice.gov/opcl/1974tenexemp.htm#one>.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of the Secretary

Invasive Species Advisory Committee; Meetings

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, Interior.
ACTION: Notice of public meetings of the Invasive Species Advisory Committee.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given of meetings of the Invasive Species Advisory Committee (ISAC). Comprised of 31 nonfederal invasive species experts and stakeholders from across the nation, the purpose of the Advisory Committee is to provide advice to the National Invasive Species Council, as authorized by Executive Order 13112, on a broad array of issues related to preventing the introduction of invasive species and providing for their control and minimizing the economic, ecological, and human health impacts that invasive species cause. The Council is co-chaired by the Secretary of the Interior, the Secretary of Agriculture, and the Secretary of Commerce. The duty of the Council is to provide national leadership regarding invasive species issues.

Purpose of Meeting: The meeting will be held on March 7-8, 2013 in Arlington, Virginia, and will focus on the changing approaches to invasive species. The purpose of the meeting is to convene the full ISAC and consider strategies and methodologies for implementing performance elements outlined in the 2008-2012 National Invasive Species Management Plan. The meeting agenda is now available on the NISC Web site, www.invasivespecies.gov. Supplemental materials will be uploaded to the site on or before Friday, February 22, 2013.

The full committee meeting on Thursday, February 7, 2013 and Friday, February 8, 2013 is open to the public. An orientation session will be held on Wednesday, February 06, 2013 for the 14 new ISAC members appointed by Secretary Ken Salazar on January 22, 2013. **Note:** There will be no committee business conducted during the orientation session, which is closed to the public.

DATES: ISAC New Member Orientation (CLOSED): Wednesday, February 6, 2013; 9:00 a.m.-1:45 p.m. Meeting of the Invasive Species Advisory Committee (OPEN): Thursday, February 7, 2013 through Friday, March 8, 2013, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Sheraton Pentagon City, 900 South Orme Street, Arlington, VA

22204-4520. The general session on Thursday, February 7, 2013, and Friday, February 8, 2013 will be held in the Galaxy Ballroom.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kelsey Brantley, National Invasive Species Council Program Specialist and ISAC Coordinator, Phone: (202) 513-7243; Fax: (202) 371-1751; email: Kelsey_Brantley@ios.doi.gov. Additional information can also be obtained from the NISC Web site, www.invasivespecies.gov.

Dated: February 6, 2013.

Lori Williams,

Executive Director, National Invasive Species Council.

[FR Doc. 2013-03062 Filed 2-8-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-RK-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-R4-ES-2013-N015;
FXES1113040000EA-123-FF04EF1000]

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Receipt of Application for Incidental Take Permit; Availability of Proposed Low-Effect Habitat Conservation Plan; Florida Power Corporation, Progress Energy Florida Inc., Lake County, FL

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability; request for comment/information.

SUMMARY: We, the Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), have received an application from Florida Power Corporation, Progress Energy Florida Inc. (applicant), for an incidental take permit (ITP). The applicant requests a 20-year ITP under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act). We request public comment on the permit application (#TE93592A-0) and accompanying proposed habitat conservation plan (HCP), as well as on our preliminary determination that the plan qualifies as low-effect under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). To make this determination, we used our environmental action statement and low-effect screening form, which are also available for review.

DATES: To ensure consideration, please send your written comments by March 13, 2013.

ADDRESSES: If you wish to review the application and HCP, you may request documents by email, U.S. mail, or phone (see below). These documents are also available for public inspection by appointment during normal business