in the geographic area that the applicant proposes to serve, the franchising authority grant or deny the application within 90 days of the date the application is received by the franchising authority. If a competitive franchise applicant does not have existing authority to access public rights-of-way in the geographic area that the applicant proposes to serve, the franchising authority must perform grant or deny the application within 180 days of the date the application is received by the franchising authority. A franchising authority and a competitive franchise applicant may agree in writing to extend the 90-day or 180-day deadline, whichever is applicable.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–02512 Filed 2–5–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[CC Docket No. 92–237; DA 13–103]

Next Meeting of the North American Numbering Council

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Commission released a public notice announcing the meeting and agenda of the North American Numbering Council (NANC). The intended effect of this action is to make the public aware of the NANC’s next meeting and agenda.

DATES: Thursday February 21, 2013, 10:00 a.m.

ADDRESSES: Requests to make an oral statement or provide written comments to the NANC should be sent to Carmell Weathers, Competition Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, Portals II, 445 12th Street SW., Room 5–C162, Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carmell Weathers at (202) 418–2325 or Carmell.Weathers@fcc.gov. The fax number is: (202) 418–1413. The TTY number is: (202) 418–0484.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission’s document in CC Docket No. 92–237, DA 13–103 released January 25, 2013. The complete text in this document is available for public inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street SW., Room CY–A257, Washington, DC 20554.


The North American Numbering Council (NANC) has scheduled a meeting to be held Thursday, February 21, 2013, from 10:00 a.m. until 2:00 p.m. The meeting will be held at the Federal Communications Commission, Portals II, 445 12th Street SW., Room TW–C305, Washington, DC. This meeting is open to members of the general public. The FCC will attempt to accommodate as many participants as possible. The public may submit written statements to the NANC, which must be received two business days before the meeting. In addition, oral statements at the meeting by parties or entities not represented on the NANC will be permitted to the extent time permits. Such statements will be limited to five minutes in length by any one party or entity, and requests to make an oral statement must be received two business days before the meeting.

People with Disabilities: To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an email to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202–418–0530 (voice), 202–418–0432 (tty). Reasonable accommodations for people with disabilities are available upon request. Include a description of the accommodation you will need, including as much detail as you can. Also include a way we can contact you if we need more information. Please allow at least five days advance notice; last minute requests will be accepted, but may be impossible to fill.

Proposed Agenda: Thursday, February 21, 2013, 10:00 a.m.*

1. Announcements and Recent News
2. Approval of Transcript —Meeting of December 13, 2012
4. Report of the National Thousands Block Pooling Administrator (PA)
5. Report of the National Numbering Oversight Working Group (NOWG)
11. Status of the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) activities
13. Numbers and the PSTN Technology Transition: Updates from Henning Schulzrinne, FCC’s Chief Technology Officer, based on the work of the FCC’s Technological Advisory Council, the FCC’s Technology Transitions Policy Task Force and the FTC–FCC caller ID spoofing prevention efforts
14. Summary of Action Items
15. Public Comments and Participation (5 minutes per speaker)
16. Other Business

Adjourn no later than 2:00 p.m.

* The Agenda may be modified at the discretion of the NANC Chairman with the approval of the DFO.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marilyn Jones, Attorney, Wireline Competition Bureau.

[FR Doc. 2013–02518 Filed 2–5–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

[Notice 2013–03]

Price Index Adjustments for Contribution and Expenditure Limitations and Lobbyist Bundling Disclosure Threshold

AGENCY: Federal Election Commission.

ACTION: Notice of adjustments to contribution and expenditure limitations and lobbyist bundling disclosure threshold.

SUMMARY: As mandated by provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (“FECA” or “the Act”), the Federal Election Commission (“FEC” or “the Commission”) is adjusting certain contribution and expenditure limitations and the lobbyist bundling disclosure threshold set forth in the Act, to index the amounts for inflation. Additional details appear in the supplemental information that follows.

DATES: Effective Date: The effective date for the limitation at 2 U.S.C. 441a(1)(A) is November 7, 2012. The effective date for the limitations at 2 U.S.C. 434(i)(3)(A), 441a(a)(1)(B),
441a(a)(3), 441a(d) and 441a(h) is January 1, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Elizabeth S. Kurland, Information Division, 999 E Street NW., Washington, DC 20463; (202) 694–1100 or (800) 424–9530.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, 2 U.S.C. 431 et seq., coordinated party expenditure limits (2 U.S.C. 441a(d)(2) and (3)(A), (B)), certain contribution limits (2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(1)(A) and (B), (a)(3) and (h)), and the disclosure threshold for contributions bundled by lobbyists (2 U.S.C. 434(i)(3)(A)) are adjusted periodically to reflect changes in the consumer price index. See 2 U.S.C. 434(i)(3) and 441a(c)(1), and 11 CFR 109.32 and 110.17(a), (f). The Commission is publishing this notice to announce the adjusted limits and disclosure threshold.

Coordinated Party Expenditure Limits for 2013

Under 2 U.S.C. 441a(c), the Commission must adjust the expenditure limitations established by 2 U.S.C. 441a(d) (the limits on expenditures by national party committees, state party committees, or their subordinate committees in connection with the general election campaign of candidates for Federal office) annually to account for inflation. This expenditure limitation is increased by the percent difference between the price index, as certified to the Commission by the Secretary of Labor, for the 12 months preceding the beginning of the calendar year and the price index for the base period (calendar year 1974).

1. Expenditure Limitation for House of Representatives in States With More Than One Congressional District

Both the national and state party committees have an expenditure limitation for each general election held to fill a seat in the House of Representatives in states with more than one congressional district. This limitation also applies to those states and territories that elect individuals to the office of Delegate or Resident Commissioner.1 The formula used to calculate the expenditure limitation in such states multiplies the base figure of $10,000 by the difference in the price index (4.65647), rounding to the nearest $100. See 2 U.S.C. 441a(c)(1)(B) and 441a(d)(3)(B), and 11 CFR 109.32(b) and 110.17. Based upon this formula, the expenditure limitation for 2013 general elections for House candidates in these states is $46,600.

2. Expenditure Limitation for Senate and for House of Representatives in States With Only One Congressional District

Both the national and state party committees have an expenditure limitation for a general election held to fill a seat in the Senate or in the House of Representatives in states with only one congressional district. The formula used to calculate this expenditure limitation considers not only the price index but also the voting age population (“VAP”) of the state. The VAP of each state is published annually in the Federal Register by the Department of Commerce. 11 CFR 110.16. The general election expenditure limitation is the greater of: The base figure ($20,000) multiplied by the difference in the price index, 4.65647 (which totals $93,100); or $0.02 multiplied by the VAP of the state, multiplied by 4.65647. Amounts are rounded to the nearest $100. See 2 U.S.C. 441a(c)(1)(B) and 441a(d)(3)(B), and 11 CFR 109.32(b) and 110.17. The chart below provides the state-by-state breakdown of the 2013 general election expenditure limitation for Senate elections. The expenditure limitation for 2013 House elections in states with only one congressional district 2 is $93,100.

### Senate General Election Expenditure Limits—2013 Elections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Voting age population (VAP)</th>
<th>VAP × .02 × the price index (4.65647)</th>
<th>Senate expenditure limit (the greater of the amount in column 3 or $93,100)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>3,697,617</td>
<td>$344,400</td>
<td>$344,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>544,349</td>
<td>50,700</td>
<td>93,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>4,932,361</td>
<td>459,300</td>
<td>459,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>2,238,250</td>
<td>208,400</td>
<td>208,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>28,801,211</td>
<td>2,682,200</td>
<td>2,682,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>3,956,224</td>
<td>368,400</td>
<td>368,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>2,796,789</td>
<td>260,500</td>
<td>260,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>712,042</td>
<td>66,300</td>
<td>93,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>15,315,088</td>
<td>1,426,300</td>
<td>1,426,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>7,429,820</td>
<td>691,900</td>
<td>691,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>1,089,302</td>
<td>101,400</td>
<td>101,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>1,169,075</td>
<td>108,900</td>
<td>108,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>9,811,190</td>
<td>913,700</td>
<td>913,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>4,945,857</td>
<td>460,600</td>
<td>460,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>2,351,233</td>
<td>219,000</td>
<td>219,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>2,161,601</td>
<td>201,300</td>
<td>201,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>3,362,177</td>
<td>313,100</td>
<td>313,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>3,484,090</td>
<td>324,500</td>
<td>324,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>1,063,274</td>
<td>99,000</td>
<td>99,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>4,540,763</td>
<td>422,900</td>
<td>422,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>5,244,729</td>
<td>488,400</td>
<td>488,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>7,616,490</td>
<td>709,300</td>
<td>709,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Currently, these states are the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and the territories of American Samoa, Guam, the United States Virgin Islands and the Northern Mariana Islands. See http://www.house.gov/representatives/.

2 Currently, these states are: Alaska, Delaware, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Vermont and Wyoming. See http://www.house.gov/representatives/.

---

For further information contact: Ms. Elizabeth S. Kurland, Information Division, 999 E Street NW., Washington, DC 20463; (202) 694–1100 or (800) 424–9530.

Supplementary Information: Under the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, 2 U.S.C. 431 et seq., coordinated party expenditure limits (2 U.S.C. 441a(d)(2) and (3)(A), (B)), certain contribution limits (2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(1)(A) and (B), (a)(3) and (h)), and the disclosure threshold for contributions bundled by lobbyists (2 U.S.C. 434(i)(3)(A)) are adjusted periodically to reflect changes in the consumer price index. See 2 U.S.C. 434(i)(3) and 441a(c)(1), and 11 CFR 109.32 and 110.17. The Commission is publishing this notice to announce the adjusted limits and disclosure threshold.

Coordinated Party Expenditure Limits for 2013

Under 2 U.S.C. 441a(c), the Commission must adjust the expenditure limitations established by 2 U.S.C. 441a(d) (the limits on expenditures by national party committees, state party committees, or their subordinate committees in connection with the general election campaign of candidates for Federal office) annually to account for inflation. This expenditure limitation is increased by the percent difference between the price index, as certified to the Commission by the Secretary of Labor, for the 12 months preceding the beginning of the calendar year and the price index for the base period (calendar year 1974).

1. Expenditure Limitation for House of Representatives in States With More Than One Congressional District

Both the national and state party committees have an expenditure limitation for each general election held to fill a seat in the House of Representatives in states with more than one congressional district. This limitation also applies to those states and territories that elect individuals to the office of Delegate or Resident Commissioner. The formula used to calculate the expenditure limitation in such states multiplies the base figure of $10,000 by the difference in the price index (4.65647), rounding to the nearest $100. See 2 U.S.C. 441a(c)(1)(B) and 441a(d)(3)(B), and 11 CFR 109.32(b) and 110.17. Based upon this formula, the expenditure limitation for 2013 general elections for House candidates in these states is $46,600.

2. Expenditure Limitation for Senate and for House of Representatives in States With Only One Congressional District

Both the national and state party committees have an expenditure limitation for a general election held to fill a seat in the Senate or in the House of Representatives in states with only one congressional district. The formula used to calculate this expenditure limitation considers not only the price index but also the voting age population (“VAP”) of the state. The VAP of each state is published annually in the Federal Register by the Department of Commerce. 11 CFR 110.16. The general election expenditure limitation is the greater of: The base figure ($20,000) multiplied by the difference in the price index, 4.65647 (which totals $93,100); or $0.02 multiplied by the VAP of the state, multiplied by 4.65647. Amounts are rounded to the nearest $100. See 2 U.S.C. 441a(c)(1)(B) and 441a(d)(3)(B), and 11 CFR 109.32(b) and 110.17. The chart below provides the state-by-state breakdown of the 2013 general election expenditure limitation for Senate elections. The expenditure limitation for 2013 House elections in states with only one congressional district is $93,100.
SENATE GENERAL ELECTION EXPENDITURE LIMITS—2013 ELECTIONS—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Voting population (VAP)</th>
<th>VAP \times 0.02 \times \text{the price index (4.65647)}</th>
<th>Senate expenditure limit (the greater of the amount in column 3 or $93,100)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>4,102,991</td>
<td>382,100</td>
<td>382,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>2,239,593</td>
<td>208,600</td>
<td>208,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>4,618,513</td>
<td>430,100</td>
<td>430,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>783,161</td>
<td>72,900</td>
<td>93,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>1,392,120</td>
<td>129,600</td>
<td>129,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>2,095,348</td>
<td>195,100</td>
<td>195,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>1,045,878</td>
<td>97,400</td>
<td>97,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>6,838,206</td>
<td>636,800</td>
<td>636,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>1,571,096</td>
<td>146,300</td>
<td>146,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>15,307,107</td>
<td>1,425,500</td>
<td>1,425,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>7,465,545</td>
<td>695,300</td>
<td>695,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>545,020</td>
<td>50,800</td>
<td>93,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>8,880,551</td>
<td>827,000</td>
<td>827,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>2,877,457</td>
<td>268,000</td>
<td>268,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>3,088,729</td>
<td>283,000</td>
<td>283,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>10,024,150</td>
<td>933,500</td>
<td>933,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>833,818</td>
<td>77,700</td>
<td>93,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>3,643,633</td>
<td>339,300</td>
<td>339,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>629,185</td>
<td>58,600</td>
<td>93,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>4,962,227</td>
<td>462,100</td>
<td>462,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>19,073,564</td>
<td>1,776,300</td>
<td>1,776,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>1,967,315</td>
<td>183,200</td>
<td>183,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>502,060</td>
<td>48,600</td>
<td>93,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>6,392,130</td>
<td>589,400</td>
<td>589,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>5,312,045</td>
<td>494,700</td>
<td>494,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>1,471,372</td>
<td>137,000</td>
<td>137,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>4,408,841</td>
<td>410,600</td>
<td>410,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>440,922</td>
<td>41,100</td>
<td>93,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Limitations on Contributions by Individuals, Non-Multicandidate Committees and Certain Political Party Committees Giving to U.S. Senate Candidates for the 2013–2014 Election Cycle

The Act requires inflation indexing to: (1) The limitations on contributions made by persons under 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(1)(A) (contributions to candidates) and 441a(a)(1)(B) (contributions to national party committees); (2) the biennial aggregate contribution limitations applicable to individuals under 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(3); and (3) the limitation on contributions made to U.S. Senate candidates by certain political party committees at 2 U.S.C. 441a(h). See 2 U.S.C. 441a(c). These contribution limitations are increased by multiplying the respective statutory contribution amount by 1.29668, the percent difference between the price index, as certified to the Commission by the Secretary of Labor, for the 12 months preceding the beginning of the calendar year and the price index for the base period (calendar year 2001). The resulting amount is rounded to the nearest multiple of $100. See 2 U.S.C. 441a(c) and 11 CFR 110.17(b). Contribution limitations shall be adjusted accordingly:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statutory provision</th>
<th>Statutory amount</th>
<th>2013–2014 Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(1)(A)</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$2,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(1)(B)</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$32,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(3)(A)</td>
<td>$37,500</td>
<td>$48,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(3)(B)</td>
<td>$57,500 (of which no more than $37,500 may be attributable to contributions to political committees that are not political committees of national political parties.)</td>
<td>$74,600 (of which no more than $48,600 may be attributable to contributions to committees that are not political committees of national political parties).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 U.S.C. 441a(h)</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
<td>$45,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The increased limitation at 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(1)(A) is to be in effect for the two-year period beginning on the first day following the date of the general election in the preceding year and ending on the date of the next regularly scheduled election. Thus the $2,600 figure above is in effect from November 7, 2012, to November 4, 2014. The limitations under 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(1)(B), 441a(a)(3)(A) and (B), and 441a(h), shall be in effect beginning January 1st of the odd-numbered year and ending on December 31st of the next even-numbered year. Thus the new contribution limitations under 2 U.S.C. 441a(a)(1)(B), 441a(a)(3)(A) and (B), and 441a(h) are in effect from January 1, 2013, to December 31, 2014. See 11 CFR 110.17(b)(1).
Lobbyist Bundling Disclosure Threshold for 2013
The Act requires certain political committees to disclose contributions bundled by lobbyists/registrants and lobbyist/registrant political action committees once the contributions exceed a specified threshold amount. The Commission must adjust this threshold amount annually to account for inflation. The disclosure threshold is increased by multiplying the $15,000 statutory disclosure threshold by 1.13887, the difference between the price index, as certified to the Commission by the Secretary of Labor, for the 12 months preceding the beginning of the calendar year and the price index for the base period (calendar year 2006). The resulting amount is rounded to the nearest multiple of $100. See 2 U.S.C. 434(i)(3)(A) and (B), 441af(c)(1)(B) and 11 CFR 104.22(g). Based upon this formula ($15,000 x 1.13887), the lobbyist bundling disclosure threshold for calendar year 2013 is $17,100.

On behalf of the Commission.

Ellen L. Weintraub,
Chair, Federal Election Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013–02520 Filed 2–5–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6715–01–P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION
Notice of Agreements Filed
The Commission hereby gives notice of the filing of the following agreements under the Shipping Act of 1984. Interested parties may submit comments on the agreements to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573, within ten days of the date this notice appears in the Federal Register. Copies of the agreements are available through the Commission’s Web site (www.fmc.gov) or by contacting the Office of Agreements at (202)–523–3793 or tradeanalysis@fmc.gov.

Agreement No.: 011790–002.
Title: Dole Ocean Cargo Express/King Ocean Services Limited Space Charter Agreement.
Parties: Dole Ocean Cargo Express, Inc., and King Ocean Services Limited.
Filing Party: Dole Ocean Cargo Express, Inc.
Synopsis: The amendment adds Guatemala, Honduras and ports in Costa Rica other than Puerto Morin and Puerto Limon to the geographic scope of the agreement.
Agreement No.: 011842–001.
Title: Crowley/Dole Space Charter Agreement.
Parties: Crowley Latin America Services, LLC and Dole Ocean Cargo Express, Inc.
Filing Party: Wayne R. Rohde, Esq.; Cozen O’Connor; 1627 I Street, NW.
Synopsis: The amendment would expand the geographic scope of the agreement to include all of the U.S. Atlantic and Gulf Coasts and all ports and inland points in Costa Rica, Guatemala and Honduras. The amendment would also change Crowley’s name and correct its address.
Agreement No.: 012067–009.
Title: U.S. Supplemental Agreement to HLC Agreement.
Parties: BBC Chartering & Logistics GmbH & Co. KG; Beluga Chartering GmbH; Chipolbrok; Clipper Project Ltd.; Hyundai Merchant Marine Co., Ltd.; Industrial Maritime Carriers, L.L.C.; Nordana Line A/S; and Rickmers-Linie GmbH & Cie. KG.
Synopsis: The amendment would delete Safmarine Container Lines N.V. and add Safmarine MPV N.V. as a party to the U.S. Agreement and to the worldwide Agreement of the Heavy Lift Club.
Agreement No.: 012135–003.
Title: EUKOR Car Carriers, Inc./FOML Space Charter.
Parties: EUKOR Car Carriers, Inc. and FESCO Ocean Management Limited
Filing Parties: Neal M Mayer, Esq.; Hoppel, Mayer & Coleman; 1050 Connecticut Avenue NW, 10th Floor; Washington, DC 20036
Synopsis: The amendment revises the geographic scope of the agreement to include ports in South Korea, and makes corresponding changes to the agreement.
Agreement No.: 012190–001.
Title: HSDG–GWF Space Charter Agreement.
Parties: Hamburg Sud and Great White Fleet Liner Services Ltd.
Filing Party: Wayne R. Rohde, Esq.; Cozen O’Connor; 1627 I Street, NW.
Synopsis: The amendment adds Mexico to the geographic scope of the agreement, clarifies language in the agreement, and makes technical corrections to the agreement.
Dated: February 1, 2013.
Agreement No.: 012190–002.
Title: Crowley/Dole Space Charter Agreement.
Parties: Crowley Latin America Services, LLC and Dole Ocean Cargo Express, Inc.
Filing Party: Wayne R. Rohde, Esq.; Cozen O’Connor; 1627 I Street, NW.
Synopsis: The amendment would expand the geographic scope of the agreement to include all of the U.S. Atlantic and Gulf Coasts and all ports and inland points in Costa Rica, Guatemala and Honduras. The amendment would also change Crowley’s name and correct its address.
Agreement No.: 012067–009.
Title: U.S. Supplemental Agreement to HLC Agreement.
Parties: BBC Chartering & Logistics GmbH & Co. KG; Beluga Chartering GmbH; Chipolbrok; Clipper Project Ltd.; Hyundai Merchant Marine Co., Ltd.; Industrial Maritime Carriers, L.L.C.; Nordana Line A/S; and Rickmers-Linie GmbH & Cie. KG.
Synopsis: The amendment would delete Safmarine Container Lines N.V. and add Safmarine MPV N.V. as a party to the U.S. Agreement and to the worldwide Agreement of the Heavy Lift Club.
Agreement No.: 012135–003.
Title: EUKOR Car Carriers, Inc./FOML Space Charter.
Parties: EUKOR Car Carriers, Inc. and FESCO Ocean Management Limited
Filing Parties: Neal M Mayer, Esq.; Hoppel, Mayer & Coleman; 1050 Connecticut Avenue NW, 10th Floor; Washington, DC 20036
Synopsis: The amendment revises the geographic scope of the agreement to include ports in South Korea, and makes corresponding changes to the agreement.
Agreement No.: 012190–001.
Title: HSDG–GWF Space Charter Agreement.
Parties: Hamburg Sud and Great White Fleet Liner Services Ltd.
Filing Party: Wayne R. Rohde, Esq.; Cozen O’Connor; 1627 I Street, NW.
Synopsis: The amendment adds Mexico to the geographic scope of the agreement, clarifies language in the agreement, and makes technical corrections to the agreement.
Dated: February 1, 2013.
FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION
Ocean Transportation Intermediary License Reissuances
The Commission gives notice that the following Ocean Transportation Intermediary license has been reissued pursuant to section 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 (46 U.S.C. 40101) effective on the date shown.

License No.: 002052F.
Name: Sobe Enterprises, Inc.
Address: 150 NW 176th Street, Unit C, Miami Gardens, FL 33169.
Date Reissued: November 15, 2012.

Vern W. Hill,
Director, Bureau of Certification and Licensing.

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION
Ocean Transportation Intermediary License Revocations
The Commission gives notice that the following Ocean Transportation Intermediary licenses have been revoked pursuant to section 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 (46 U.S.C. 40101) effective on the date shown.

License No.: 4483NF.
Name: Hag International, L.L.C.
Address: 148 Deer Trail North, Ramsey, NJ 07446.
Date Revoked: December 31, 2012.
Reason: Voluntary Surrender of License.

License No.: 004580F.
Name: Express Lanes International, Inc.
Address: 401 Broadway, Suite 1208, New York, NY 10013.
Date Revoked: December 29, 2012.
Reason: Failed to maintain a valid bond.

License No.: 009799N.
Name: Cargo One Inc. dba Cargo One.
Address: 287 Northern Blvd., Suite 204, Great Neck, NY 11021.
Date Revoked: December 28, 2012.
Reason: Failed to maintain a valid bond.

License No.: 16841N.
Name: FRS Freight Services, Inc.
Address: 69–05 Roosevelt Avenue, Woodside, NY 11377.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.
Karen V. Gregory,
Secretary.
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