§ 165.707–0828 Safety Zone; Indian Street Bridge Construction, St. Lucie Canal, Palm City, FL.

(a) Regulated Area. The following regulated area is a safety zone. All waters of the St. Lucie Canal, Palm City, FL surrounding the Indian Street Bridge bounded by the following positions: starting at point 1 in position 27°09′36″ N, 80°15′06″ W; thence southeast across the canal to position 2 in position 27°09′35″ N, 80°15′04″ W; thence southwest along the shoreline to position 3 in position 27°09′29″ N, 80°15′07″ W; thence northwest across the canal to position 4 in position 27°09′30″ N, 80°15′09″ W; then northeast along the shoreline back to point of origin.

(b) Definition. The term “designated representative” means Coast Guard Patrol Commanders, including Coast Guard Coxswains, petty officers, and other officers operating Coast Guard vessels, and Federal, state, and local officers designated by or assisting the Captain of the Port Miami in the enforcement of the regulated area.

(c) Regulations. (1) All persons and vessels are prohibited from entering, transiting through, anchoring in, or remaining within the regulated area unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Miami or a designated representative.

(2) Persons and vessels desiring to enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the regulated area may contact the Captain of the Port of Miami by telephone at 305–535–4472, or a designated representative via VHF radio on channel 16, to request authorization. If authorization to enter, transit through, anchor in, or remain within the regulated area is granted by the Captain of the Port Miami or a designated representative, all persons and vessels receiving such authorization must comply with the instructions of the Captain of the Port Miami or a designated representative.

(3) The Coast Guard will provide notice of the regulated area by Local Notice to Mariners, Broadcast Notice to Mariners, and on-scene designated representatives.

(d) Effective Date. This rule is effective from 10 a.m. February 11, 2013 through 4 p.m. March 11, 2013.


J.B. Pruett,
Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Captain of the Port of Miami.

[FR Doc. 2013–02308 Filed 2–1–13; 8:45 am]
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ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Texas; Beaumont/Port Arthur Ozone Maintenance Plan Revision to Approved Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is approving a revision to the Beaumont/Port Arthur (BPA) 1997 8-hour ozone maintenance air quality State Implementation Plan (SIP) which replaces the previously approved motor vehicle emissions budgets (budgets) with budgets developed using EPA’s Motor Vehicle Emissions Simulator (MOVES) 2010a emissions model. The BPA 1997 8-hour ozone maintenance area consists of Hardin, Jefferson, and Orange Counties in Texas.

DATES: This final rule is effective on March 6, 2013.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket Identification No. EPA–R06–OAR–2012–0435. All documents in the docket are available at www.regulations.gov. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Air Planning Section (6PD–L), Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733. The file will be made available by appointment for public inspection in the Region 6 Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Review Room between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. weekdays except for legal holidays. Contact the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT paragraph below or Mr. Bill Deese at 214–665–7253 to make an appointment. If possible, please make the appointment at least two working days in advance of your visit. There will be a 15 cent per page fee for making photocopies of documents. On the day of the visit, please check in at the EPA Region 6 reception area at 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Jeffrey Riley, Air Planning Section (6PD–L), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733, telephone 214–665–8542; fax number 214–665–6762; email address riley.jeffrey@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document, “we,” “us,” and “our” means EPA.
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I. What is the background for this action?

The background for today’s action is discussed in detail in our September 19, 2012 proposal (77 FR 58058). In that notice, we proposed to approve a revision to the BPA 1997 8-hour ozone maintenance air quality SIP by replacing the previously approved motor vehicle emissions budgets, developed with EPA’s MOBILE 6.2 model, with budgets developed using EPA’s more current Motor Vehicle Emissions Simulator (MOVES) 2010a emissions model. At the time of our proposal, Texas had provided for public review and comment of the SIP revision at the state level. Subsequently, the State adopted the revision and submitted it to us on December 10, 2012.

An air quality maintenance plan is required to show that an area will continue to maintain attainment of the applicable standard taking into account projections of future emissions. Our approval means EPA is finding that Beaumont’s ozone air quality maintenance plan still demonstrates that the area will maintain attainment of the 1997 ozone national ambient air quality standard through the year 2021 while taking into account the revised emissions from the MOVES model. The motor vehicle emissions budgets are the amount of emissions from on-road motor vehicles that are consistent with the maintenance plan. Once EPA approves the submitted budgets, they must be used by local, state and Federal agencies in determining whether transportation activities conform to the SIP as required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) and 40 CFR 93.102.
II. What public comments were received?

The State public comment period for this SIP revision was from June 29, 2012, until August 3, 2012. A public hearing was offered but was not requested. No public comments were received by Texas during the comment period.

The Federal Register proposing approval of this SIP revision was published on September 19, 2012, and the public comment period closed on October 19, 2012. EPA received three comment letters. However, one comment letter is not related to EPA’s proposal and is outside the scope of this action. Therefore, EPA is responding only to the two comments that are relevant to this action. Those comments expressed support of EPA’s approval of this SIP revision and were submitted by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, Austin; Executive Director, and the 8-Hour Ozone SIP Coalition, Austin; Project Coordinator. EPA appreciates the support for this action. The comment letters are available for review in the docket for this rulemaking.

III. What action is EPA taking?

EPA is approving as a SIP revision new MOVES2010a-based budgets for the Beaumont/Port Arthur 1997 ozone maintenance area because the submitted budgets will continue to keep emissions below the attainment level and maintain air quality. On the effective date of this rulemaking, the submitted MOVES2010a budgets will replace the existing, MOBILE6.2-based budgets in the state’s 1997 8-hour ozone maintenance plan and will be used in future transportation conformity analyses for the area. The previously approved MOBILE6.2 budgets will no longer be applicable for transportation conformity purposes.

IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a “significant regulatory action” subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this rule does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the state, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register.

This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by August 20, 2012. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen oxides, Ozone, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: January 16, 2013.

Ron Curry,
Regional Administrator, Region 6.

40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart SS—Texas

2. Section 52.2270(e) is amended by adding an entry for On-Road Mobile Source Emissions Inventory and Motor Vehicle Emissions Budget Update at the end of the second table titled “EPA Approved Nonregulatory Provisions and Quasi-Regulatory Measures in the Texas SIP” as follows:

§ 52.2270 Identification of plan.

* * * * *

(e) * * *

* * * * *
EPA APPROVED NONREGULATORY PROVISIONS AND QUASI-REGULATORY MEASURES IN THE TEXAS SIP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of SIP provision</th>
<th>Applicable geographic or non-attainment area</th>
<th>State submittal/effective date</th>
<th>EPA approval date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On-Road Mobile Source Emissions Inventory and Motor Vehicle Emissions Budget Update</td>
<td>Beaumont/Port Arthur, TX</td>
<td>12/10/2012</td>
<td>2/4/2013 [Insert citation of publication in Federal Register]</td>
<td>MOVES update to motor vehicle emissions budgets.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[FR Doc. 2013–02237 Filed 2–1–13; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
[Docket No. CDC–2011–0007]

42 CFR Part 71
RIN 0920–AA37

Foreign Quarantine; Import Regulations for Infectious Biological Agents, Infectious Substances, and Vectors

AGENCY: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) within the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) is issuing this final rule amending the regulations regarding the importation of infectious biological agents, infectious substances, and vectors. The amendments improve HHS/CDC's ability to prevent the introduction, transmission, or spread of communicable diseases into the United States.

DATES: The final rule is effective April 5, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robbin Woyant, Ph.D., Director, Division of Select Agents and Toxins, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Road NE., MS A–46, Atlanta, GA 30333. Telephone: 404–718–2000.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The preamble is organized as follows:

I. Background
II. Statutory Authority
III. Responses to Public Comments
   A. Definitions
   B. Infectious Biological Agent
   C. Biosafety
   D. Permit Exemptions
   E. Transportation
   F. Subsequent Transfer
   G. Miscellaneous

IV. Required Regulatory Analyses Under Executive Orders 13563 and 12866 and Regulatory Flexibility Act

V. Other Administrative Requirements
   A. Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995
   B. Executive Order 12986, Civil Justice Reform and Executive Order 13132, Federalism
   C. Plain Language in Government Writing

I. Background

On October 14, 2011, we published a proposed rule in the Federal Register (76 FR 63891) to clarify regulatory definitions, ensure adequate biosafety measures, increase oversight through inspections, to address permit exemptions and transportation requirements, and to describe an appeal process. The proposed rule provided a 60-day public comment period that ended on December 13, 2011.

This final rule contains provisions that apply to a variety of entities including academic institutions and biomedical centers, commercial manufacturing facilities, Federal, State, and local laboratories, including clinical and diagnostic laboratories, research facilities, exhibition facilities, and educational facilities.

II. Statutory Authority

This final rule is issued under the authority of Section 361 of the Public Health Service Act (PHS Act) (42 U.S.C. 264). This provision authorizes the Health and Human Services (HHS) Secretary to make and enforce such regulations as in her judgment are necessary to prevent the introduction, transmission, or spread of communicable diseases from foreign countries into the States or possessions of the United States and from one State or possession into any other State or possession. For purposes of carrying out and enforcing such regulations, the HHS Secretary may authorize a variety of public health measures, including inspection, fumigation, disinfection, sanitation, pest extermination, destruction of animals or articles found to be sources of dangerous infection to human beings, and other measures.

The Foreign Quarantine regulations (42 CFR part 71) set forth provisions to prevent the introduction, transmission, and spread of communicable disease from foreign countries into the United States. Part 71, Subpart F (Importations) contains provisions for importation of etiological agents, hosts, and vectors (42 CFR 71.54), requiring persons to obtain a permit issued by the CDC before importing, or distributing after import, any of these materials.

III. Responses to Public Comment

We received nine comments from academic, private and government facilities. The comments are discussed below.

A. Definitions

Commenters requested clarification about whether the definition of “vector” should (1) include an exemption for animals meant for a zoo, (2) address pelts or other objects meant for museum use or (3) limit the definition to the importation of live animals. Prior to entry into the United States and regardless of the purpose for the importation, a permit will continue to be required for any live animal or animal product (e.g., a mount, rug, or other display item composed of the hide, hair, skull, teeth, bones, or claws of an animal) unless (1) the animal or animal product is not known to transfer or to be capable of transferring an infectious biological agent to a human or (2) the animal product has been rendered noninfectious. The documentation may include a statement from a treating veterinarian, statement from a medical facility, medical certificate, or in the case of an animal product, documentary evidence, such as a veterinary or taxidermy certificate, describing how the material had been treated to render it noninfectious. Any live animal or animal product imported for scientific, educational or exhibition purposes (e.g., bats and bat products) will also continue to require a permit, unless accompanied by documentation indicating that the animal or animal product is not known to transfer or to