recommend that you include your name and a mailing address, an email address, or a telephone number in the body of your document so that we can contact you if we have questions regarding your submission.

To submit your comment online, go to http://www.regulations.gov, type the docket number (USCG–2012–1069) in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH.” Click on “Submit a Comment” on the line associated with this rulemaking. If you submit your comments by mail or hand delivery, submit them in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. If you submit comments by mail and would like to know that they reached the Facility, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period and may change the rule based on your comments.

2. Viewing comments and documents

To view comments, as well as documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to http://www.regulations.gov, type the docket number “USCG–2012–1069” in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH.” Click and Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this rulemaking. You may also visit the Docket Management Facility in Room W12–140 on the ground floor of the Department of Transportation West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. We have an agreement with the Department of Transportation to use the Docket Management Facility.

3. Privacy Act

Anyone can search the electronic form of comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review a Privacy Act notice regarding our public dockets in the January 17, 2008, issue of the Federal Register (73 FR 3316).

4. Public meeting

The Coast Guard will hold two public scoping meetings in order to expand the opportunity for public comment. We seek comments from any interested or affected stakeholders and encourage all stakeholders to attend these meetings. We will also provide a written summary of the meetings and comments and will place that summary in the docket. A teleconference phone number has been established for those who desire to participate, but are unable to attend the meetings in person; call toll free 1–877–672–3882, follow the instructions given on the phone and enter the following pass code #4890957 when prompted.

For information on the facility or services for individuals with disabilities or to request special assistance at the public meeting contact Mr. Mark Cutter, Coast Guard Sector Boston Waterways Management Division, at telephone 617–223–4000 or via email at Mark.E.Cutter@uscg.mil.

DATES: The public scoping meetings are currently planned for the following dates, to be held at U.S. Coast Guard Base Boston, 455 Commercial Street, Boston, MA 02109:

- March 6, 2013, from 11:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.;
- April 24, 2013, from 11:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.

B. Background

The original Chelsea Street Bridge was a bascule-type bridge owned by the City of Boston and constructed in 1939. It spanned the Chelsea River providing a means for vehicles to travel between Chelsea, MA and East Boston, MA. Several petroleum-product transfer facilities are located on the Chelsea River, upstream and downstream of the Chelsea Street Bridge. Transit of tank vessels through the bridge is necessary to access the petroleum facilities upstream of the bridge. The narrow, ninety-six foot horizontal span created a narrow passage through the bridge for larger vessels. Adding to the difficulty is the close proximity of neighboring shore structures and, at times, vessels moored at the Sunoco Logistics facility downstream of the bridge on the East Boston side. These factors led to the establishment of the present safety zone regulation which restricts certain vessel passage through the Chelsea Street Bridge based on vessel dimensional criteria, assist tug support, and daylight restrictions. Since the implementation of the regulations, physical changes have occurred within the confines of the safety zone. A new vertical lift span bridge with a 175 foot vertical clearance and a 175 foot horizontal navigable channel span has been constructed in place of the old Chelsea Street Bridge. The federal navigational channel has been expanded to a width of 175 feet. Six new permanent fixed lighted aids to navigation structures have been installed in the immediate area of the bridge to best mark the new channel. While the current regulations have provided an acceptable level of safety, it may be desirable to amend or disestablish the current regulation in light of the new bridge and navigational channel improvements within the area of the existing safety zone.

C. Information requested

The Coast Guard is seeking comments pertaining to the existing safety zone in the vicinity of the Chelsea Street Bridge in light of the modified waterway. In particular, comments addressing the need and or relevancy of regulations governing vessel dimensional restrictions, assist tug requirements, and transits between sunset and sunrise are requested.

Additionally, the Coast Guard welcomes input and possible solutions regarding any other navigational and waterway safety issue on the Chelsea River that is not currently addressed in the existing safety zone regulation.


J.C. O’Connor, III,
Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Boston.

[FR Doc. 2013–02080 Filed 1–30–13; 8:45 am]
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Approval and promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Minnesota; Flint Hills Resources Pine Bend

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve an August 29, 2011, request for revision to the Minnesota sulfur dioxide State Implementation Plan for Flint Hills Resources Pine Bend, LLC, in Dakota County. This oil refinery is shutting down an incinerator, rerouting process gases, planning for a new boiler, and making other emission limit reductions. This revision addresses an important safety issue with the current off-gas incinerator. Because the revision will result in a decrease in sulfur dioxide emissions, EPA proposes to approve the revision.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 4, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R05–OAR–2011–0328, by one of the following methods:
1. www.regulations.gov: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
2. Email: blakley.pamela@epa.gov
3. Fax: (312) 692–2450.

**Hand Delivery:** Pamela Blakley, Chief, Control Strategies Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Regional Office normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information. The Regional Office official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding Federal holidays.

Please see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this Federal Register for detailed instructions on how to submit comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**
Mary Portanova, Environmental Engineer, Control Strategies Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353–5954, portanova.mary@epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the Final Rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State’s SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment. For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: January 17, 2013.

Susan Hedman,
Regional Administrator, Region 5.
[FR Doc. 2013–02018 Filed 1–30–13; 8:45 am]
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**Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Placer County Air Pollution Control District**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Placer County Air Pollution Control District (PCAPCD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern volatile organic compound (VOC), oxides of nitrogen (NOx), and particulate matter (PM) emissions from open burning. We are proposing to approve local rules to regulate this emission source under the Clean Air Act (CAA or the Act).

**DATES:** Any comments on this proposal must arrive by March 4, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments, identified by docket number EPA–R09–OAR–2012–0849, by one of the following methods:


2. Email: steckel.andrew@epa.gov.

3. Mail or deliver: Andrew Steckel (Air–4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

**Instructions:** All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that you consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and should not be submitted through www.regulations.gov or email. www.regulations.gov is an “anonymous access” system, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send email directly to EPA, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the public comment. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

**Docket:** Generally, documents in the docket for this action are available electronically at www.regulations.gov and in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105–3901. While all documents in the docket are listed at www.regulations.gov, some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material, large maps), and some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**
Rynda Kay, EPA Region IX, (415) 947–4118, Kay.Rynda@epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This proposal addresses the following local rules: PCAPCD Rule 102 Definitions, PCAPCD Rule 301 Nonagricultural Burning Smoke Management, PCAPCD Rule 302 Agricultural Burning Smoke Management, PCAPCD Rule 303 Prescribed Burning Smoke Management, PCAPCD Rule 304 Land Development Smoke Management, PCAPCD Rule 305 Residential Allowable Burning, and PCAPCD Rule 306 Open Burning of Nonindustrial Wood Waste at Designated Disposal Sites. In the Rules and Regulations section of this Federal Register, we are approving these local rules in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe these SIP revisions are not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. Please note that if we receive adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, we may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.