submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your request should state that the NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment submissions into ADAMS.

II. Further Information

The NRC seeks public comment on a proposed new SRP subsection entitled “Introduction—Part 2. Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants: Integral Pressurized Water (iPWR) Edition.” This subsection has been developed to assist NRC staff with the review of certain iPWR applications for Design Certifications or Combined Licenses made under part 52 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), and to inform new reactor applicants and other affected entities of proposed SRP guidance for an acceptable method of implementation of a risk-informed and integrated review framework for iPWRs.

Following NRC staff evaluation of public comments, the NRC intends to incorporate the final approved guidance into the next revision of NUREG 0800.

DATED AT Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of January 2013.

For the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Amy E. Cabbage,
Chief, Policy Branch, Division of Advanced Reactors and Rulemaking, Office of New Reactors.

[FR Doc. 2013–01143 Filed 1–18–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT


SUMMARY: The U.S. Office of Personnel Management (OPM) is changing its contract awards process from a full solicitation to an application process for the Federal Employees Dental and Vision Insurance Program (FEDVIP). This process is being changed to be in line with the process used for the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program. The application is on Federal Business Opportunities (FedBizOpps.gov).


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FEDVIP law, sections 8953 and 8983 of title 5, United States Code, (enhanced dental and vision benefits, respectively) requires OPM to contract with a reasonable number of qualified companies for a policy or policies of benefits described in the law, without regard to section 5 of title 41, United States Code, or any other statute requiring competitive bidding. OPM shall ensure that each resulting contract is awarded on the basis of contractor qualifications, price, and reasonable competitions. And, each contract entered must be for a uniform term of 7 years and may not be renewed automatically.


John Berry,
Director.

[FR Doc. 2013–01162 Filed 1–18–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6325–63–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2013–42; Order No. 1623]

New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning an additional Global Plus 2C contract. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: Comments are due: January 24, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Table of Contents

I. Introduction
II. Contents of Filing
III. Commission Action
IV. Ordering Paragraphs

III. Commission Action

Notice of filing. On January 11, 2013, the Postal Service filed a notice announcing that it is entering into an additional Global Plus 2C contract (Agreement) (Id. at 2. The Postal Service seeks to have the Agreement included within the Global Plus 2C product on the grounds of functional equivalence to previously approved baseline agreements. Id. at 2.

Background: The Commission added Global Plus 2 to the competitive product list, based on Governors’ Decision No. 06–10, by operation of Order No. 112. It later approved the addition of Global Plus 2C contracts to the competitive product list as a result of Docket No. MC2012–5. The Commission designated the contracts filed in companion Docket Nos. CP2012–10 and CP2012–11 as the baseline agreements for purposes of establishing the functional equivalency of other agreements proposed for inclusion with the Global Plus 2C product. Notice at 2.

Customers for Global Plus 2C contracts are Postal Qualified Wholesalers (PQWs) and other large businesses that offer mailing services to end users for shipping articles via Global Direct and/or International Business Reply Service. Id. at 3.

II. Contents of Filing

The filing includes the Notice, along with the following attachments:

• Attachment 1—a redacted copy of the Agreement;
• Attachment 2—a redacted copy of the certification required under 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2);
• Attachment 3—a redacted copy of Governors’ Decision No. 11–6; and
• Attachment 4—an application for non-public treatment of material filed under seal.

The material filed under seal consists of unredacted copies of the Agreement and supporting financial documents. Id. at 2. The Postal Service filed redacted versions of the sealed financial documents in public Excel spreadsheets. Id. at 3.

Functional equivalency. The Postal Service asserts that the instant Agreement and the baseline agreements

1 Notice of the United States Postal Service of Filing a Functionally Equivalent Global Plus 2C Contract Negotiated Service Agreement and Application for Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal, January 11, 2013 (Notice). The Notice was filed in accordance with 39 CFR 3015.5. Id. at 1.