

the management program of the Republic of South Africa, for the purpose of enhancement of the survival of the species.

*Applicant:* David Gainer, Victoria, TX; PRT-93273A

*Applicant:* Charles Collins, North Palm Beach, FL; PRT-93485A

*Applicant:* Ray Holder, Madison, MS; PRT-93576A

*Applicant:* Joseph Herold, Bronte, TX; PRT-93939A

**Brenda Tapia,**

*Program Analyst/Data Administrator, Branch of Permits, Division of Management Authority.*

[FR Doc. 2013-01039 Filed 1-17-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Bureau of Indian Affairs**

**Renewal of Agency Information Collection for Appointed Counsel in Involuntary Indian Child Custody Proceedings in State Courts**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) is seeking comments on the renewal of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for the collection of information for Appointed Counsel in Involuntary Indian Child Custody Proceedings in State Courts authorized by OMB Control Number 1076-0111. This information collection expires May 31, 2013.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before March 19, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments on the information collection to Linda Ketcher, 1849 C Street NW., Mail Stop 4513, Washington, DC 20240; facsimile: (202) 208-5113; email: [Linda.Ketcher@bia.gov](mailto:Linda.Ketcher@bia.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Linda Ketcher, (202) 513-7610.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Abstract**

The Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) is seeking comments on the information collection conducted under 25 CFR 23.13, implementing the Indian Child Welfare Act (25 U.S.C. 1901 *et seq.*). The information collection allows BIA to receive written requests by State courts that appoint counsel for an indigent Indian parent or Indian custodian in an involuntary Indian child custody

proceeding when appointment of counsel is not authorized by State law. The applicable BIA Regional Director uses this information to decide whether to certify that the client in the notice is eligible to have his counsel compensated by the BIA in accordance with the Indian Child Welfare Act.

**II. Request for Comments**

The BIA requests your comments on this collection concerning: (a) The necessity of this information collection for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (hours and cost) of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) Ways we could enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) Ways we could minimize the burden of the collection of the information on the respondents.

Please note that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and an individual need not respond to, a collection of information unless it has a valid OMB Control Number.

It is our policy to make all comments available to the public for review at the location listed in the **ADDRESSES** section. Before including your address, phone number, email address or other personally identifiable information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**III. Data**

*OMB Control Number:* 1076-0111.

*Title:* Payment for Appointed Counsel in Involuntary Indian Child Custody Proceedings in State Courts, 25 CFR 23.13.

*Brief Description of Collection:* This information is required in order for States to receive payment for counsel appointed to indigent Indian parents or custodians in involuntary child custody proceedings under 25 CFR 23.13. The information is collected to determine applicant eligibility for services.

*Type of Review:* Extension without change of currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* State courts eligible for payment of attorney fees pursuant to 25 CFR 23.13.

*Number of Respondents:* 4 per year.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 2 hours for reporting and 1 hour for recordkeeping.

*Frequency of Response:* Once, on occasion.

*Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:* 12 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost:* \$0.

Dated: January 14, 2013.

**John Ashley,**

*Acting Assistant Director for Information Resources.*

[FR Doc. 2013-00976 Filed 1-17-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-4J-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Bureau of Indian Affairs**

**Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Point Molate Resort and Casino for the Guidiville Band of the Pomo Indians, Contra Costa County, CA**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces that the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA), as lead agency, intends to cancel all work on an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the BIA Federal action of approving the fee-to-trust transfer and casino project located within Contra Costa County, California.

**DATES:** This cancellation is effective January 18, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Rydzik, (916) 978-6051, Pacific Regional Office, Bureau of Indian Affairs, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California 95825.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The BIA is canceling work on the EIS because the Department of the Interior has denied the application to take the land into trust pursuant to a negative Indian lands determination and there is no longer an application pending with the BIA. The Notice of Intent to prepare the draft EIS, which included a description of the proposed action, was published in the **Federal Register** on March 11, 2005 (70 FR 12229-12230). A public scoping meeting was held on March 31, 2005, and public hearings were held on August 12, 2009, and September 17, 2009; the meeting and hearings were held in Richmond, California. The Notice of Availability of the Draft EIS was published in the **Federal Register** on July 10, 2009 (74 FR 33236). A Final EIS for this project was not filed with Environmental Protection Agency.

**Authority**

This notice is published pursuant to section 1503.1 of the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR parts 1500 through 1508) and the Department of the Interior Regulations (43 CFR part 46), implementing the procedural requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4371 *et seq.*), and is in the exercise of authority delegated to the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs by 209 DM 8.

Dated: January 10, 2013.

**Kevin K. Washburn,**

*Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 2013-01062 Filed 1-17-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-W7-P

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR****Bureau of Land Management**

[LLNVE0000 L51100000.GN0000  
LVEMF1200580; 12-08807; MO#  
4500036816; TAS: 14X5017]

**Notice of Availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Arturo Mine Project, Elko County, NV**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA) and the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, as amended (FLPMA), the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has prepared a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Arturo Mine Project and by this notice is announcing the opening of the public comment period.

**DATES:** To ensure that comments will be considered, the BLM must receive written comments on the Arturo Mine Project Draft EIS within 45 days following the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes their Notice of Availability in the **Federal Register**. The BLM will announce future meetings and any other public involvement activities at least 15 days in advance through public notices, news media releases, and/or mailings.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments related to the Arturo Mine Project by any of the following methods:

- *Email:* [ArturoMiningEIS@blm.gov](mailto:ArturoMiningEIS@blm.gov).
- *Fax:* 775-753-0255; or

• *Mail:* Bureau of Land Management, Arturo Mine Project, Attention: John Daniel, Project Manager, 3900 Idaho Street, Elko, NV 89801.

Copies of the Arturo Mine Project Draft EIS are available in the BLM Elko District Office at the above address; and on line at [http://www.blm.gov/nv/st/en/fo/elko\\_field\\_office/blm\\_information/nepa/nepa\\_archives/NEPA\\_Front.html](http://www.blm.gov/nv/st/en/fo/elko_field_office/blm_information/nepa/nepa_archives/NEPA_Front.html).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Daniel, Project Manager, telephone: 775-753-0277; address: 3900 Idaho Street, Elko, NV 89801; email: [ArturoMiningEIS@blm.gov](mailto:ArturoMiningEIS@blm.gov).

Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Barrick-Dee Mining Venture Inc., proposes to develop the Arturo Mine Project by expansion of the existing open-pit Dee Gold Mine which is currently in closure and reclamation. The Dee Gold Mine is 45 miles northwest of Elko in Elko County, Nevada. The proposed project would create approximately 2,774 acres of surface disturbance on public lands administered by the BLM. While dewatering is not proposed for this project, pit lakes would form as a result of cessation of dewatering at Goldstrike Mine, located approximately 8 miles to the southeast. An updated inventory of lands with wilderness characteristics was used for this project to inform the analysis of the EIS.

The project proposal would include the expansion of the existing open pit from one to three lobes, construction of two new waste-rock disposal storage facilities, a new heap leach facility, new support facilities to include an office, substation and associated power transmission lines, water wells, water distribution and sewer systems, landfill, mined material stockpile, communications site, stormwater control features, haul roads and an access road, and continued surface exploration.

Mill-grade ore would be transported to the Barrick Gold Mining, Inc.'s Goldstrike Mine using the Bootstrap Mine Haul Road and would be processed at the existing mill facilities located approximately 8 miles to the southeast of the proposed project. Low-grade ore would be processed on-site at the proposed heap leach pad and associated processing facilities. Mine operations and processing would continue for approximately 10 years, followed by an estimated 3 years of site closure and reclamation. Reclamation

would occur concurrently with mining to the extent possible.

Cooperating agencies in the development of the EIS include the Nevada Department of Wildlife, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the Elko County Board of Commissioners. The Nevada Department of Wildlife is concerned about loss of mule-deer and Greater Sage-Grouse habitat associated with mine disturbance. The EPA has previously raised concerns about potentially acid-generating material which may impact water resources, and the Elko County Board of Commissioners have raised concerns about economic impacts to local communities, including impacts to livestock grazing.

The notice of intent for the proposed project was published in the **Federal Register** on June 21, 2010. Dear Interested Party letters were mailed to 244 interested parties including tribes, Federal, State and local agencies.

The BLM received a total of 15 written comment submissions containing 140 individual items during the public scoping period. Most of the comments the BLM received were from Federal and State agencies.

Key issues identified by individuals, groups, and governmental entities during the scoping process include: Wildlife concerns (potential impacts to sage-grouse habitat and mule deer migration); cultural resources; livestock movement; access; discharges to surface water; air quality (including mercury); and impacts to stream drainages, seeps and springs resulting from groundwater drawdown. Additionally, the BLM received some comments in general support of the project.

Comments received during the scoping period were addressed and evaluated, and appropriate issues are incorporated into the draft EIS as project alternatives. These alternatives include partial pit backfilling, a single waste-rock storage facility, the no-action alternative, and the proposed project. The preferred alternative for the Arturo draft EIS is the proposed project.

Please note that public comments and information submitted including names, street addresses, and email addresses of persons who submit comments will be available for public review and disclosure at the above address during regular business hours (8 a.m. to 4 p.m.), Monday through Friday, except holidays.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your