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List of Subjects

36 CFR Part 242

Administrative practice and procedure, Alaska, Fish, National forests, Public lands, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Wildlife.

50 CFR Part 100

Administrative practice and procedure, Alaska, Fish, National forests, Public lands, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Wildlife.

Proposed Regulation Promulgation

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Federal Subsistence Board proposes to amend 36 CFR 242 and 50 CFR 100 for the 2014–15 and 2015–16 regulatory years. The text of the proposed amendments to 36 CFR 242.24, 242.25, and 242.26 and 50 CFR 100.24, 100.25, and 100.26 is the final rule for the 2012–14 regulatory period (77 FR 35482; June 13, 2012).


Peter J. Probasco,
Assistant Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Acting Chair, Federal Subsistence Board.

Steve Kessler,
Subsistence Program Leader, USDA-Forest Service.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[40 FR R06–OAR–2012–0639; FRL–9769–9]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans:

Arkansas; Prevention of Significant Deterioration; Greenhouse Gas Tailoring Rule Revisions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing to approve two revisions to the Arkansas State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted by the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) to EPA on February 17, 2010 and November 6, 2012. The February 17, 2010 proposed SIP revision to the Arkansas New Source Review (NSR) Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) program updates the Arkansas SIP to incorporate by reference (IBR) requirements for the Federal PSD permitting program under EPA’s November 29, 2005 Phase 2 8-hour Ozone Implementation rule. The November 6, 2012 proposed SIP revision to the Arkansas NSR PSD program provides the state of Arkansas with the authority to issue PSD permits governing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and establishes appropriate emission thresholds for determining which new stationary stations and modifications to existing stationary sources become subject to Arkansas’s PSD permitting requirements for their GHG emissions. The November 6, 2012 proposed SIP revision also defers until July 21, 2014 application of the PSD permitting requirements to biogenic carbon dioxide emissions from bioenergy and other biogenic stationary sources. EPA is proposing to approve the February 17, 2010, and November 6, 2012 SIP revisions to the Arkansas NSR PSD permitting program as consistent with Federal requirements for PSD permitting. EPA is also proposing to rescind the GHG PSD Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) for Arkansas that was put in place to ensure the availability of a permitting authority for GHG permitting in Arkansas, upon final approval of the November 6, 2012 PSD SIP revisions. EPA is proposing this action under the Clean Air Act (the Act).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before February 11, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R06–OAR–2012–0639, by one of the following methods:

- Email: Mr. Mike Miller at miler.michael@epa.gov.
- Fax: Mr. Mike Miller, Air Permits Section (6PD–R), at fax number 214–665–6762.
- Mail: Mr. Mike Miller, Air Permits Section (6PD–R), Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733.
- Hand or Courier Delivery: Mr. Mike Miller, Air Permits Section (6PD–R), Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733. Such deliveries are accepted only between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. weekdays, and not on legal holidays. Special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA–R06–OAR–2012–0639. EPA’s policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information the disclosure of which is restricted by statute. Do not submit information through http://www.regulations.gov or email, if you believe that it is CBI or otherwise protected from disclosure. The http://www.regulations.gov Web site is an “anonymous access” system, which means that EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to EPA without going through http://www.regulations.gov, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment along with any disk or CD–ROM submitted. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters and any form of encryption and should be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about EPA’s public docket, visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at http://www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm. The public docket is open to the public, and different documents in the docket are listed in the http://.
I. Background for Our Proposed Action

The Act at section 110(a)(2)(C) requires states to develop and submit to EPA for approval into the state SIP, preconstruction review and permitting programs applicable to certain new and modified stationary sources of air pollutants for attainment and nonattainment areas that cover both major and minor new sources and modifications, collectively referred to as the New Source Review (NSR) SIP. The CAA NSR SIP program is composed of three separate programs: Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD), Nonattainment New Source Review (NNSR), and Minor NSR. PSD is established in part C of title I of the CAA and applies in areas that meet the NAAQS—"attainment areas"—as well as areas where there is insufficient information to determine if the area meets the NAAQS—"unclassifiable areas." The NNSR SIP program is established in part D of title I of the CAA and applies in areas that are not in attainment of the NAAQS—"nonattainment areas." The Minor NSR SIP program addresses construction or modification activities that do not emit, or have the potential to emit, beyond certain major source thresholds and thus do not qualify as "major" and applies regardless of the designation of the area in which a source is located. EPA regulations governing the criteria that states must satisfy for EPA approval of the NSR programs as part of the SIP are contained in 40 CFR sections 51.160–51.166.

Arkansas submitted on February 17, 2010 and November 6, 2012, a collection of regulations for approval by EPA into the Arkansas SIP, including some regulations specific to the Arkansas PSD permitting program. The February 17, 2010 SIP submittal includes the PSD permitting provisions that were adopted on December 5, 2008 at Regulation 19, Chapter 9 to ensure consistency with the federal PSD permitting requirements promulgated in EPA’s Phase 2 8-hour Ozone Implementation Rule (70 FR 71612, November 29, 2005). The November 6, 2012 SIP submittal includes the PSD permitting provisions to (1) establish that the state of Arkansas has the authority to issue PSD permits governing GHG emissions, and (2) establish appropriate emission thresholds for determining which new stationary sources and modification projects become subject to Arkansas’s PSD permitting requirements for their greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions consistent with the "PSD and Title V Greenhouse Gas Tailoring Final Rule" (75 FR 31514, June 3, 2010) hereafter referred to as the “Tailoring Rule”, and 3) defers the application of the PSD requirements to biogenic carbon dioxide emissions from bioenergy and other biogenic stationary sources consistent with the EPA’s final rule “Deferral for CO2 Emissions from Bioenergy and other Biogenic Sources under the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) and Title V Programs” (76 FR 43490, July 20, 2011).

Today’s proposed action and the accompanying Technical Support Document (TSD) present our rationale for proposing approval of these regulations as meeting the minimum federal requirements for the adoption and implementation of the PSD SIP permitting programs. Note that Arkansas is currently subject to the GHG PSD Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) at 40 CFR 52.37(b)(2). See 75 FR 82246, December 30, 2010. We are also proposing to rescind the GHG PSD FIP for Arkansas when we finalize today’s proposed action.

A. History of EPA’s GHG-Related Actions

This section briefly summarizes EPA’s recent GHG-related actions that provide the background for this action. Please see the preambles for the identified GHG-related rulemakings for more information.

EPA has recently undertaken a series of actions pertaining to the regulation of GHGs that, although for the most part are distinct from one another, establish the overall framework for today’s final action on the Arkansas SIP. Four of these actions include, as they are commonly called, the “Endangerment Finding” and “Cause or Contribute Finding,” which EPA issued in a single final action,1 the “Johnson Memo Reconsideration,”2 the “Light-Duty Vehicle Rule,”3 and the “Tailoring Rule.”4 Taken together and in conjunction with the CAA, these actions established regulatory requirements for GHGs emitted from new motor vehicles and new motor vehicle engines; determined that such regulations, when they took effect on January 2, 2011, subject GHGs emitted from stationary

1 ''Endangerment and Cause or Contribute Findings for Greenhouse Gases Under Section 202(a) of the Clean Air Act.” 74 FR 66496 (December 15, 2009).
2 ''Interpretation of Regulations that Determine Pollutants Covered by Clean Air Act Permitting Programs.” 75 FR 17004 (April 2, 2010).
sources to PSD requirements; and limited the applicability of PSD requirements to GHG sources on a phased-in basis. EPA took this last action in the Tailoring Rule, which, more specifically, established appropriate GHG emission thresholds for determining the applicability of PSD requirements to GHG-emitting sources. PSD is implemented through the SIP system, and so in December 2010, EPA promulgated several rules to implement the new GHG PSD SIP program. Recognizing that some states had approved SIP PSD programs that did not apply PSD to GHGs, EPA issued a SIP call on December 13, 2010, that would require those states with SIPs that have approved PSD programs but do not authorize PSD permitting for GHGs to submit a SIP revision providing such authority. The State of Arkansas, along with several other states, did not submit a corrective SIP revision to apply their EPA PSD programs to sources of GHG emissions by the established deadline. EPA published a finding of failure to submit the required SIP revision by the specified deadline and then promulgated the GHG PSD FIP to ensure the availability of a permitting authority for GHG emitting sources subject to PSD requirements in Arkansas and the other states. For other states, EPA recognized that many states had approved SIP PSD programs that do apply PSD to GHGs, but that so for sources that emit as little as 100 or 250 tpy of GHG, and that do not limit PSD applicability to GHGs to the higher thresholds in the Tailoring Rule; therefore, EPA issued the GHG PSD SIP Narrowing Rule. Under that rule, EPA withdrew its approval of the affected SIPs to the extent those SIPs covered GHG-emitting sources below the Tailoring Rule thresholds. EPA based its action primarily on the “error correction” provisions of CAA section 110(k)(6).

B. EPA’s Biomass Deferral Rule

On July 20, 2011, EPA promulgated the final “Deferral for CO₂ Emissions from Bioenergy and other Biogenic Sources Under the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) and Title V Programs” (Biomass Deferral Rule). Following is a brief discussion of the deferral. For a full discussion of EPA’s rationale for the rule, see the notice of final rulemaking at 76 FR 43490.

The biomass deferral delays until July 21, 2014 the consideration of CO₂ emissions from bioenergy and other biogenic sources (hereinafter referred to as “biogenic CO₂ emissions”) when determining whether a stationary source meets the PSD and Title V applicability thresholds, including those for the application of BACT. As with the Tailoring Rule, the Biomass Deferral addresses both PSD and Title V requirements. However, EPA is only taking action on Arkansas’s PSD program as part of this action. Stationary sources that combust biomass (or otherwise emit biogenic CO₂ emissions) and construct or modify during the deferral period will avoid the application of PSD to the biogenic CO₂ emissions resulting from those actions. The deferral applies only to biogenic CO₂ emissions and does not affect non- GHG pollutants or other GHG’s (e.g., methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O)) emitted from the combustion of biomass fuel. Also, the deferral only pertains to biogenic CO₂ emissions in the PSD and Title V programs and does not pertain to any other EPA programs such as the GHG Reporting Program. Biogenic CO₂ emissions are defined as emissions of CO₂ from a stationary source directly resulting from the combustion or decomposition of biologically-based materials other than fossil fuels and mineral sources of carbon. Examples of “biogenic CO₂ emissions” include, but are not limited to:

- CO₂ from fermentation during ethanol production or other industrial fermentation processes;
- CO₂ from combustion of the biological fraction of municipal solid waste or biosolids;
- CO₂ from combustion of the biological fraction of tire-derived fuel;
- CO₂ derived from combustion of biologic material, including all types of wood and woody waste, forest residue, and agricultural materials;
- CO₂ derived from fossil fuel and biologically-based fuel, and/or combusting mixed fuels (e.g., tire-derived fuels, municipal solid waste (MSW)), the biogenic CO₂ emissions from that combustion are included in the biomass deferral. However, the fossil CO₂ emissions are not. Emissions of CO₂ from processing of mineral feedstocks (e.g., calcium carbonate) are also not included in the deferral. Various...
methods are available to calculate both the biogenic and fossil portions of CO₂ emissions, including those methods contained in the GHG Reporting Program (40 CFR part 98). Consistent with the other pollutants in PSD and Title V, there are no requirements to use a particular method in determining biogenic and fossil CO₂ emissions.

EPA’s final biomass deferral rule is an interim deferral for biogenic CO₂ emissions only and does not relieve sources of the obligation to meet the PSD and Title V permitting requirements for other pollutant emissions that are otherwise applicable to the source during the deferral period or that may be applicable to the source at a future date pending the results of EPA’s study and subsequent rulemaking action. This means, for example, that if the deferral is applicable to biogenic CO₂ emissions from a particular source during the three-year effective period and the study and future rulemaking do not provide for a permanent exemption from PSD and Title V permitting requirements for the biogenic CO₂ emissions from a source with particular characteristics, then the deferral would end for that type of source and its biogenic CO₂ emissions would have to be appropriately considered in any applicability determinations that the source may need to conduct for future stationary source permitting purposes, consistent with that subsequent rulemaking and the Final Tailoring Rule (e.g., a major source determination for Title V purposes or a major modification determination for PSD purposes).

EPA also wishes to clarify that we did not require that a PSD permit issued during the deferral period be amended or that any PSD requirements in a PSD permit existing at the time the deferral took effect, such as BACT limitations, be revised or removed from an effective PSD permit for any reason related to the deferral or when the deferral period expires. Section 52.21(w) of 40 CFR requires that any PSD permit shall remain in effect, unless and until it expires or it is rescinded, under the limited conditions specified in that provision. Thus, a PSD permit that is issued to a source while the deferral was effective need not be reopened or amended if the source is no longer eligible to exclude its biogenic CO₂ emissions from PSD applicability after the deferral expires. However, if such a source undertakes a modification that could potentially require a PSD permit and the source is not eligible to continue excluding its biogenic CO₂ emissions after the deferral expires, the source will need to consider its biogenic CO₂ emissions in assessing whether it needs a PSD permit to authorize the modification.

Any future actions to modify, shorten, or make permanent the deferral for biogenic sources are beyond the scope of the biomass deferral action and this proposed approval of the deferral into the Arkansas SIP, and will be addressed through subsequent rulemaking. The results of EPA’s ongoing review of the science related to net atmospheric impacts of biogenic CO₂ are incomplete. The framework to properly account for such emissions in Title V and PSD permitting programs based on the study is also incomplete. Thus, we are unable to determine which biogenic CO₂ sources currently subject to the deferral would be subject to any permanent exemptions, or would be potentially required to account for their emissions in the future rulemaking EPA has committed to undertake. Only in that future rulemaking can EPA address the question of extending the deferral or putting in place requirements that would have the equivalent effect on sources covered by the biomass deferral. Once that rulemaking has occurred, Arkansas may address related revisions to its SIP.

II. Summary of State Submittals

EPA most recently approved revisions to the Arkansas PSD SIP on April 12, 2007, where we updated our approval of the Arkansas PSD SIP to include the revisions adopted by the State on February 3, 2005 (72 FR 18394). Since that time, Arkansas has submitted two revisions to the AR PSD SIP on February 17, 2010, and November 6, 2012.

A. February 17, 2010

In a letter dated February 17, 2010, Governor Beebe submitted revisions to the Arkansas PSD SIP that were adopted by the Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission on December 5, 2008, and became effective on January 13, 2009. This February 17, 2010, SIP submittal consisted of the following revisions to Regulation 19, Chapter 9, Prevention of Significant Deterioration, of the Arkansas Plan of Implementation for Air Pollution Control:
- Non-substantive revisions to Regulation 19.902 to correct general formatting and typographical errors,
- Substantive revisions to Regulation 19.903 to update the incorporation by reference date of the federal PSD program through November 29, 2005,
- Substantive revisions to Regulation 19.904 to update the incorporation by reference date of the federal PSD program through November 29, 2005, and
- Non-substantive revisions to update internal numbering within the Arkansas rules.

Taken together, the revisions to Regulations 19.902—19.904 ensure consistency with the federal PSD permitting requirements promulgated in EPA’s Phase 2 8-hour Ozone Implementation Rule (70 FR 71612, November 29, 2005).

B. November 6, 2012

In a letter dated November 6, 2012, Governor Beebe submitted revisions to the Arkansas SIP that were adopted by the Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission on June 22, 2012 and October 26, 2012. This SIP submittal consisted of the following revisions:
- The request to withdraw the existing SIP-approved fee regulations at Regulation 9 and replace with the submitted provisions at Regulation 9, Chapters 1, 2, 3, 5 and 9. This submittal includes substantive revisions to Regulation 9, Sections 9.502(B) and (C) for fee assessments of GHG permits;
- Substantive revisions to Regulation 19, Chapter 1 to add a rescission clause pertaining to GHG PSD permitting;
- Substantive revisions to Regulation 19, Chapter 2 definitions to add definitions for CO₂e, federally regulated air emissions and GHGs;
- Substantive revisions to Regulation 19.407(C)(3) for permit amendments;
- Substantive revisions to Regulation 19, Chapter 9, Section 19.904(G) establishing GHG PSD permitting requirements and the provisions of the GHG biomass deferral;
- Substantive revisions to Regulation 19, Appendix A Insignificant Activities List to clarify GHG permitting applicability;
- Substantive revisions to Regulation 26, Section 26.401 to clarify an applicant’s duty to apply for permitting in Arkansas.

The revisions submitted on November 6, 2012 to the AR PSD SIP at Regulation 19, Chapter 9 will (1) establish that the state of Arkansas has the authority to issue PSD permits for GHG emissions under the PSD program, (2) establish appropriate emission thresholds for determining which new stationary sources and modification projects become subject to Arkansas’s PSD permitting requirements for their greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.
consistent with the Tailoring Rule, and (3) defer the application of the PSD requirements to biogenic carbon dioxide emissions from bioenergy and other biogenic stationary sources consistent with EPA’s Biomass Deferral Rule.

EPA’s proposed action today will only evaluate the revisions necessary to adopt and implement the permitting of GHG emissions subject to PSD permitting at Regulation 19, Chapter 9. EPA is severing and taking no action at this time on the remaining components of the November 6, 2012 SIP submittal.

Specifically, we are severing and taking no action on the revisions to Regulation 9; Regulation 19, Chapters 1, 2, 4, and Appendix A; and Regulation 26. By severing, we mean that the submitted portions of the SIP revision that address Arkansas’s ability to issue PSD permits for GHG emissions subject to EPA’s Tailoring Rule can be implemented independently of the portions of the submittal relating to air permit fees, general air definitions and permitting below PSD thresholds. EPA anticipates taking action on the remaining portions of the November 6, 2012 SIP submittal at a later date.

III. EPA’s Analysis of State Submittals

A. February 17, 2010

The Phase 2 8-Hour Ozone Implementation Rule required states to submit applicable SIP revisions to EPA no later than June 15, 2007, to address this Rule’s SIP requirements for both the PSD and NNSR programs. The SIP revision submittals were required by this Rule to revise the major source thresholds, significant emission rates, and offset ratios for ozone such that nitrogen oxides (NOx) are recognized as an ozone precursor. Arkansas’s February 17, 2010 SIP submittal updated the incorporation by reference date of the federal PSD program to November 29, 2005, which is the date of the Phase 2 rule. Therefore the Arkansas PSD SIP updates are consistent with the federal Phase 2 PSD permitting requirements.

EPA partially approved and partially disapproved the Arkansas Infrastructure SIP on August 20, 2012 (77 FR 50033). In this action we approved the revisions in Regulation 19.903 and 19.904 specific to NOx as a precursor to ozone for the implementation of the Phase 2 8-hour ozone rule. However, we did not update the amendatory language table at 40 CFR 52.170 to reflect that the revisions to Regulation 19.902—19.904 as adopted on December 5, 2008, and submitted on February 17, 2010, were approved. Therefore, today’s proposed action includes a proposal to fully approve the AR PSD program revisions adopted on December 5, 2008, and submitted on February 17, 2010.

A. November 6, 2012

As explained more fully in the accompanying TSD in this rulemaking, Arkansas has adopted and submitted regulations that are substantively similar to the federal requirements for the permitting of GHG-emitting sources subject to PSD. The detailed analysis in our TSD demonstrates that the regulatory revisions adopted on June 22, 2012 and submitted on November 6, 2012; establish that Arkansas has the authority to issue PSD permits for GHG-emitting sources subject to PSD consistent with the federal PSD requirements of EPA’s final GHG Tailoring Rule. The revisions also establish thresholds for determining which stationary sources and modification projects become subject to permitting requirements for GHG emissions under its PSD program. Our analysis also demonstrates that the regulatory revisions adopted on October 26, 2012 and submitted on November 6, 2012, appropriately defer the applicability of these thresholds for biogenic CO2 emissions from bioenergy and other biogenic stationary sources consistent with EPA’s Biomass Deferral Final Rule.

IV. What action is EPA proposing?

EPA proposes to approve the February 17, 2010 and November 6, 2012, submitted revisions to Regulation 19, Chapter 9 into the Arkansas PSD SIP. Arkansas’s February 17, 2010, proposed SIP revision updates the incorporation by reference date of the PSD program to address the permitting requirements in EPA’s Phase 2 8-hour ozone final rule. Arkansas’s November 6, 2012, proposed SIP revision (1) provides the state of Arkansas with the authority to issue PSD permits governing GHGs, and (2) establishes appropriate emissions thresholds for determining PSD applicability to new and modified GHG-emitting sources in accordance with EPA’s Tailoring Rule. EPA has made the preliminary determination that the February 17, 2010 and November 6, 2012 revisions to Regulation 19, Chapter 9 are approvable because they are adopted and submitted in accordance with the CAA and EPA regulations regarding PSD permitting for 8-hour ozone and GHGs. Therefore, under section 110 and parts C and D of the Act, and for the reasons stated above, EPA proposes to approve the following revisions to the Arkansas SIP:

- Substantive revisions to Regulation 19, Chapter 9, Sections 19.903 and 19.904 to update the incorporation by reference date of the PSD program,
- Substantive revisions to Regulation 19, Chapter 9, Section 19.904(G) establishing GHG PSD permitting requirements and the biomass deferral provisions.

As explained in today’s proposed notice, Arkansas is subject to the Federal Implementation Plan for PSD permitting of GHG emissions. This GHG PSD FIP remains in place and EPA remains the PSD permitting authority for GHG-emitting sources in Arkansas until EPA finalizes our proposed approval of the November 6, 2012 submitted revisions to the Arkansas SIP. Therefore, we propose that upon finalization of today’s action, EPA will rescind the GHG PSD FIP for Arkansas at 40 CFR 52.37(b)(2).

Consistent with the analysis presented in today’s proposed notice and the accompanying TSD, EPA is severing and taking no action on the fee related regulations at Regulation 9; as well as the revisions to Regulation 19, Chapters 1, 2, 4 and Appendix A, and Regulation 26, submitted on November 6, 2012.

V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Clean Air Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. Accordingly, this action merely proposes to approve state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a “significant regulatory action” subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive
Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
  - Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
  - Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
  - Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act; and
  - Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this rule does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the state, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52
Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: December 17, 2012.

Ron Curry,
Regional Administrator, Region 6.

[FR Doc. 2013–00429 Filed 1–10–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans: Idaho

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing to approve revisions to Idaho’s State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted by the Director of the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (IDEQ) on July 13, 2011, for approval into the Idaho SIP. The submitted revisions relate to Idaho’s open burning and crop residue disposal requirements and establish a streamlined permitting process for spot burns, baled agricultural residue burns, and propane flaming. The submitted revisions also make minor changes to the existing crop residue disposal rules to update cross references and clarify certain administrative information. This action is being taken under the Clean Air Act (the Act or CAA).

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before February 11, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R10–OAR–2011–0640, by one of the following methods:
A. www.regulations.gov. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
C. Hand Delivery: EPA, Region 10 Mailroom, 9th Floor, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101. Attention: Donna Deneen, Office of Air Waste, and Toxics (AWT–107). Such deliveries are only accepted during normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.
D. Email: R10–Public.Comments@epa.gov.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA–R10–OAR–2011–0640. The EPA’s policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through www.regulations.gov or email. The www.regulations.gov Web site is an “anonymous access” system, which means the EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to the EPA without going through www.regulations.gov, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, the EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD–ROM you submit. If the EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, the EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: All documents in the electronic docket are listed in the www.regulations.gov index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in www.regulations.gov or in hard copy during normal business hours at the Office of Air, Waste and Toxics, EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Donna Deneen, (206) 553–6706, or by email at deneen.donna@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Throughout this document whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean the EPA. Information is organized as follows:
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VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. Background

Idaho’s federally-approved rules at Idaho Administrative Procedures Act (IDAPA) 58.01.01.617 through 623 contain the open burning requirements for crop residue disposal in Idaho. These rules were approved by the EPA on August 1, 2008, (73 FR 44915) and were submitted to the EPA as a result of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals decision in Safe Air for Everyone v. USEPA, 475 F.3d 1096, amended 488 F.3d 1086 (9th Cir 2007). More information regarding the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals decision and the federally-approved requirements for crop residue disposal can be found in the EPA’s proposed and final actions on the state’s 2008 SIP submittal. 73 FR 23155 (April 29, 2008) and 73 FR 44915 (August 1, 2008).

Idaho’s federally-approved crop residue disposal rules at IDAPA 58.01.01.617 currently provide that the open burning of crop residue on fields where the crops were grown is an allowable form of open burning if conducted in accordance with the provisions at IDAPA 58.01.01.618 through 623. The provisions at IDAPA 58.01.01.618 through 623 are described...