

Dated: December 21, 2012.

David Mussatt,

Director—Midwestern Regional Office.

[FR Doc. 2012–31165 Filed 12–26–12; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–583–837]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip from Taiwan: Partial Rescission of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 28, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sean Carey or Milton Koch, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3964 or (202) 482–2584, respectively.

Background

On July 2, 2012, the Department of Commerce (Department) published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet and strip from Taiwan covering the period July 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012.¹ The Department received a timely request for an AD administrative review from Petitioners² for two companies: Shinkong Materials Technology Corporation (Shinkong), and Nan Ya Plastics Corporation (Nan Ya). On August 30, 2012, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review with respect to Nan Ya and Shinkong.³ On September 26, 2012, DuPont Teijin Films, one of the petitioners who requested the review, withdrew its request for an administrative review of Nan Ya and Shinkong. On November 30, 2012, Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc., SKC Inc., and Toray Plastics withdrew

the remaining request for an administrative review of Nan Ya.

Rescission, In Part

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. Petitioners' withdrawal requests were timely submitted within the 90-day period.⁴ As the withdrawal letters filed by Petitioners are timely and no other party requested a review of Nan Ya, we are rescinding this review with respect to Nan Ya, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). Because the review request for Shinkong was not withdrawn by Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc., SKC, Inc., and Toray Plastics, Inc., the Department will continue to conduct the AD administrative review of Shinkong.

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Nan Ya shall be assessed antidumping duties at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested.

⁴ The 90th day fell on November 28, 2012; however, as explained in the memorandum from the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll deadlines for the duration of the closure of the Federal Government from October 29, through October 30, 2012. Thus, all deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by two days. The revised deadline for withdrawing a review request was therefore, November 30, 2012. See Memorandum to the Record from Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, regarding "Tolling of Administrative Deadlines As a Result of the Government Closure During the Recent Hurricane" (October 31, 2012).

Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: December 20, 2012.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2012–31320 Filed 12–27–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Application(s) for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106–36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before January 17, 2013. Address written comments to Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. at the U.S. Department of Commerce in Room 3720.

Docket Number: 12–058. Applicant: Regents of the University of California, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, MS 46R0125, Berkeley, CA 94720. Instrument: Neodymium Iron Boron (NdFeB) Magentic Block—HXU Model (Vacodym 776). Manufacturer: Vacuumschemelze GmbH & Co KG, Germany. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to study matter on the fundamental atomic length scale and the associated ultrafast time scales of atomic motion and electronic transformation. The NdFeB magnet blocks must be of high magnetic field density to achieve the base spectral range. They must also be of high uniformity in order to achieve Free-Electron Laser (FEL) saturation. In addition to meeting these requirements, the unique capabilities of this instrument are expanded spectral reach, x-ray beams with controllable polarization, and "pump" pulses over a vastly extended range of photon energies to a sample, which are